1 Day 1- Tuesday, October 23, 2023

2

Opening formalities

4

3

- 5 Nancy Jokinen
- 6 Good morning, everyone. I hope everyone had a restful evening. For those of you who were not
- 7 here last night, we had an enjoyable dinner. And my name is Nancy Jokinen. I'm a member of
- 8 the planning committee that planned summit activities. I would like to introduce members of
- 9 the planning committee. First and foremost, I need to give some thanks to the team from
- 10 Reena, who were exceedingly helpful. Ziv over there, who's busy taking photographs for us, and
- welcomed you at the desk. And Gary here, our excellent go between for various activities.
- 12 Thank you. And there are other members of the Reena team who aren't present at the moment
- but were very helpful. Who else? Matthew Janicki, Phil McCallion. Excellent sources. Cathy
- 14 Service down there, Nurse Practitioner on the NTG board. Sandy Stemp, my good friend and
- 15 colleague from Reena and Dr. Karen Watchman, who joined us via Zoom from many miles
- away. Between me being on the west coast of Canada, and her in Scotland, it's sort of amazing
- to try to get people together. But we did it! And I want to thank all the committee members for
- their help. Right now, I'm going to turn things over to Sandy, who is going to keep us on track
- 19 today, hopefully.

20

- Sandy Stemp
- 22 Thank you, and lovely to be here this morning. For those of you who were not with us at the
- 23 dinner last night, this is your official welcome to Toronto. I always like to say the most diverse
- city in the world. So, I am very, very happy to host and also certainly my pleasure as it is Reena's
- 25 50th year. Reena is a developmental service agency, and we support people in the Greater
- Toronto Area. And throughout many years, we've had a focus on Aging, so not just sort of
- 27 within our local region, but provincially as well as nationally and been certainly my incredible
- 28 pleasure to work with Nancy. So, we wanted to recognize all the incredible people in the room.
- 29 Where are all the Canadians? Let's see your hands up there. Okay. Woo-hoo for the Canadians!
- 30 Yeah, tried to spread you around, just like a wedding. Right? I wanted to get you to meet new
- 31 people. Okay, what about the Americans? Woohoo, there's, oh, there's a bit of a cluster over
- 32 there. Oh, that's going to be a problem right there. And overseas, all the folks who traveled very
- far to get here. Wonderful and welcome. So, I did mention last night and it's also worth
- mentioning this morning that although we are celebrating certainly Reena's 50th, we're also in
- 35 significant distress related to some of the world events in Israel. Reena really has a lot of strong
- ties and connections to Israel, we work with a lot of the developmental services agencies there,
- 37 so you know, just to mention that, as I mentioned, we're here with you at but our hearts are

- also in Israel with all of those individuals. One of the things, as I mentioned, aging and dementia
- 2 has been near and dear to our hearts at Reena for almost 24 years and most of that time, it was
- 3 myself trying to try to lead or co-lead various events and snaffling people like Nancy to assist
- 4 me, so really such a great match for us to be able to host this event and really our pleasure. To
- 5 help us with some of the more acknowledgments around sponsorships, and those that we are
- 6 going to call up to speak, I'm going to call upon Gary Gladstone, the lead for Stakeholder
- 7 Relations, and also the lead for Reena at 50 activities.

8

9

Gary Gladstone

- 10 Thank you very much. So, first of all, everybody, welcome to Toronto. Thank you for coming.
- 11 Can't believe everybody got here. Unbelievable. We are thrilled to be hosting you as part of
- Reena's 50th anniversary, as Sandy said, and for the important second Summit, so thank you.
- And we wouldn't be here if it wouldn't be for our incredible sponsors. In addition to Reena, and
- the Azrieli Foundation, who several of you heard from last night, we have Surrey Place and
- 15 Temple University's School of Social Work the Butz Family Fund. And our supporters, of course,
- the National Task Group on Intellectual Disabilities and Dementia Practices, both the US,
- 17 Canada, the International Association for the scientific study of intellectual and developmental
- disabilities, the University of Stirling and the Health Matters program of the University of
- 19 Chicago, Illinois. If we can just have a great round of applause for our sponsors and supporters
- 20 because we wouldn't be here without them. And thank you very much. When I started with
- 21 Reena, and I think I'm going on about eight years now, I needed to take people on tours of our
- intentional community, the initial one would be opened up that's now been renamed the Sandy
- 23 Keshen Reena Residence. And we thought it was very important that we give the tour from an
- individual supported individuals perspective, as opposed to my perspective. I met many people
- 25 there. And there was one individual in particular, Jason Marcovitch, who was an incredible tour
- 26 guide, who would take us all around, who would be chummy with everyone and was one of the
- best ambassadors that Reena has. So, it's doubly my pleasure right now to introduce his mom,
- 28 who also doubles as a Reena board member, to say a few words. Dr. Sharon Marcovitch, if you
- 29 could please come up and say a few words of welcome.

30

31

Sharon Marcovitch

- 32 So hello, I'm truly honored to address you today as we kick off the second International Summit
- 33 on Intellectual Disability and Dementia, building on the success of your inaugural Summit held
- in Glasgow, Scotland in 2016. My name as Gary said, is Sharon Marcovitch, and I'm a member
- of the Reena board. I'd like to offer you a glimpse into my personal journey and the profound
- impact that Reena with its intentional community housing model has had on our family,
- 37 particularly on our son Jason. When we began the quest to find a supportive and nurturing
- 38 environment for Jason, who has been a resident of the Reena Community Residence since its

inception, probably more than 10 years ago, we were filled with a mix of hope and anxiety. Our 1 search was not without challenges, as we were searching for a place that could provide Jason 2 with the care, compassion and understanding he truly deserved. From the moment we first 3 entered the Sandy Keshen Residence, our concerns quickly transformed into a deep sense of 4 5 reassurance. The staff's genuine warmth, unwavering dedication, and boundless empathy 6 enveloped us, instantly making us feel like an integral part of the Reena family. Throughout 7 Jason's residency, we have witnessed firsthand the life changing impact of the Sandy Keshen 8 Residence, the commitment to individualized care, the fostering of personal growth and 9 development, and the nurturing of a sense of belonging have all profoundly influenced Jason's life, including becoming a tour guide. When Jason's evolving needs demanded a creative and 10 collaborative approach, the Reena team, in partnership with the Ministry of Community and 11 Social Services, worked with March of Dimes, another agency under the Ministry of Health to 12 13 develop a coordinated care plan for Jason. It was within the walls of this residence that Jason 14 has thrived, forming deep connections and achieving milestones, which we once believed were 15 unattainable. He, when he lived at home, was an anxious person. He didn't have any 16 connections with any community and was just happy to sit in his room at home and never 17 connect with anyone. And this was about age 33,34,35. So he wasn't ready to move out and moving him to the Reena Residence, just like every day he would say when am I coming home? 18 19 And that was 12 years ago, and now he is an ambassador and asking when tours can start again. He's actually in a wheelchair now and he wasn't in a wheelchair before. So that's been a very 20 big transformation. But he's actually happier now, less anxious, and much more involved in a 21 community that's important to him. So, Reena has made a huge difference. And this very 22 23 exciting collaborative model, where health and social services work together and need to work together. And I see that in the future for so many people as they age. It's, it's really an 24 incredible opportunity and not dividing people into silos, separate silos. The other thing that I 25 learned about living in this residence for Jason was the idea of an intentional community. And I 26 27 remember when we first talked about the intentional community, what was that? And I 28 thought, well, this is just a great place for him to live. And we heard people way back saying, well, intentional community is just another institution. And I remember thinking, oh, my gosh, 29 30 this certainly is not an institution. So how do we make people understand what an intentional 31 community is. And slowly over time, it's been exciting to see that people are understanding and 32 respecting the idea that you've got so many people with so many things happening and their 33 staff and connections to the community outside that are part of an intentional community. And that really having an intentional community is the way to go for people as they age, and they're 34 35 in the community and being supported, to be continuing to be part of society, rather than going into hospitals, or long-term care homes, if they don't have to. So, I was pretty excited about the 36 37 concept of intentional community. And that's been something that I am very thankful to Reena 38 for, that we've been part of that. As we convene here, I wish to express my gratitude to every one of you, who have dedicated your time and effort to advance research, generate reports 39 and peer reviewed articles that will expand clinical knowledge and research in this field. Your 40 41 unwayering support for Reena, and its mission has my deepest appreciation. Through our

- collective efforts, we can continue to provide essential support for aging individuals. I extend
- 2 my thanks as well, to the generous donors and everyone involved, including Reena, Surrey
- 3 Place the Azrieli Foundation, Temple University's School of Social Work and the Butz Family
- 4 Fund, as well as the National Task Group on Intellectual Disabilities and Dementia Practices
- 5 (now we know what NTG stands for), encompassing both the American and Canadian chapters,
- 6 for their valuable contributions and support towards the Summit. Have a very good two days.
- 7 Thank you.

8

- 9 Gary Gladstone
- 10 Thank you very much, Sharon. If I could also ask those of you who are already seated, you
- already know who you are, if you can flip your name tags around the other way, so everybody
- else can see you most have Thank you very much. So now, Minister Kamal Khera, the Minister
- of Diversity, Inclusion, and Persons with Disabilities Federally, was unable to join us this
- morning, but is delighted that we're all here and wanted to bring some greetings. So, if I could
- turn your attention to the screen, thank you very much everyone.

16

- Kamal Khera
- 18 Hello everyone. I am Kamal Khera, Minister of Diversity, Inclusion and Persons with Disabilities.
- 19 Before I begin, I want to acknowledge that I'm speaking to you from the traditional territory of
- 20 the Algonquin people. I want to thank Reena and the National Task Group on Intellectual
- 21 Disabilities and Dementia Practices for inviting me to participate in the Second International
- 22 Summit on Intellectual Disability and Dementia right here in Toronto. Today, academics,
- researchers and support professionals attending from around the world. I want to start by
- 24 thanking you for the work that you do. Your research and advocacy are changing the landscape
- 25 for persons with intellectual disabilities and dementia. My goal as Minister of Diversity,
- 26 Inclusion and Persons with Disabilities is to lead a whole government approach when it comes
- 27 to building a more inclusive, accessible, and diverse Canada. Our government has made a lot of
- 28 progress with a coalition of accessible Canada Act, the launch of the disability inclusion action
- 29 plan, and most recently, the passage of legislation to create a Canada Disability Benefit. But
- 30 still, we know that a lot of work needs to be done; work that government cannot do all on its
- own. That's why I'm so grateful for events like these. They bring the brightest minds together to
- 32 better share research and results on some of the most pressing issues facing persons with
- disabilities. Thanks to your work, we're finding new and innovative ways to provide the best
- 34 possible care and support for persons with intellectual disabilities and dementia. Again, I want
- 35 to thank Reena, and the National Task Group on Intellectual Disabilities and Dementia Practices
- for organizing this wonderful event and for your tireless work that you do on behalf of persons
- 37 with disabilities. Your efforts have lasting impact and help us to build a more acceptable,
- inclusive Canada, for everyone. Thank you. Merci.

1

3

Gary Gladstone

Thank you, Minister. And I also want to point out that when Canada released their National 4 5 Housing Strategy about seven years ago now, and the National Dementia Strategy shortly after 6 that, individuals with intellectual and developmental disabilities were included in both, for the 7 first time ever, recognized federally as a vulnerable group that needed extra supports. So, thank 8 you very much Minister and the Government. Last night, Minister Raymond Cho, the provincial 9 Minister of Seniors and Accessibility, came and spoke to us and filled us with laughter as he encouraged everybody to put their hands up, but with a big belly laugh, ha ha, ha, everybody 10 starts their day in a positive way, and keeps them young. He does it much better than I ever 11 12 will. Now a word from some of our sponsors. In addition to Reena and the Azrieli Foundation, who you heard from last night, I'm honored to call on Terry Hewitt, CEO of Surrey Place and one 13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25 26

27 28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

14

Terry Hewitt

of our sponsors, to say a few words. Terry.

Thank you. My name is Terry Hewitt, and I'm CEO at Surrey Place. Surrey Place is a clinical service agency. And we provide clinical services in Ontario, mostly in Toronto, but also in the Northwest, in parts of Ontario that are hard to reach and don't get a lot of services. We provide services for individuals from birth all the way through to adulthood. And so, you know, it's really important to us that we look at all ends of the age spectrum and make sure that the clients that we serve are as healthy and well as possible. I've been at Surrey Place now for over 30 years. And when I started, I worked in the adult clinical program as a psychologist, I'm a psychologist by trade. And one of the things that we did regularly at the very start of my career was make sure that we were doing baseline assessments on anyone who had Down syndrome, so that we could ensure that when they were referred back to us querying dementia, we had the ability to sort of have that database of information about the individual to say yes, or no, or maybe depression or whatever. But without that, we couldn't do anything. And so, I can tell you, I have a colleague, I swear that in a mall, if she met someone with Down syndrome, she would invite them in for a baseline assessment. And then as time went by, you know, our program, our adult program grew. And now we have a particular team that is called, Plus 45 Team, that specifically supports individuals who are over the age of 45. And that's really important, and it's important work. We're very excited that everyone here is working on developing more clinical knowledge and more ability for us to support those individuals. Thank you very much for having us as a part of this. And thank you very much for the work you do.

36

37

Gary Gladstone

- 1 Thank you very much, Terry, and keep up the wonderful work. Dr. Phil McCallion, I'm telling
- 2 you, I was hearing so much about you before I started to get involved with the conference. And
- 3 our sessions online did not disappoint. It's been a pleasure working with you on the Organizing
- 4 Committee. And thank you very much for organizing the sponsorship from Temple University
- 5 School of Social Work, the Butz Family Fund, and if you could say a few words, we'd be
- 6 delighted.

7

- 8 Phil McCallion
- 9 I hope when you say that you enjoyed my participation in the committee that you are not
- talking about my missing so many meetings. So, I'm the Director of the School of Social Work at
- 11 Temple University, very pleased to welcome this group here. But I feel I personally need to start
- with a word of thanks to Susan and Brian Butz, who are donors to our school who established
- the research fund in aging and health. And it's really their sponsorship that I'm representing
- 14 here. Their personal interests are around Parkinson's disease, but they have a really interesting
- perspective, that sort of everything that is good for aging, everything that is good for aging and
- health will also benefit those who have Parkinson's. So, they've been very generous in allowing
- me to support activities beyond Parkinson's, although there are some things we're doing in the
- area of Parkinson's as well. I also bring greetings from the Special Interest Group on Aging, for
- 19 the International Association for the Scientific Study of Intellectual and Developmental
- 20 Disabilities. But I'm really looking forward to the discussions today. And thank you all for being
- 21 here.

22

- 23 Gary Gladstone
- 24 Thank you very much. I'd like to add one item that's not on the agenda. And that's this morning
- at 1015, when we're supposed to have the first coffee break, just before the coffee break, I'd
- like everyone to come up to the front so we can take a family photo. Must have a family photo.
- 27 So, if we can do that at 1015, I'd appreciate that. Nancy, back over to you. And thank you very
- 28 much.

- 30 Nancy Jokinen
- 31 Thank you, Gary. I'll try to be visible to everybody. So, I get the pleasure of doing housekeeping,
- which is always just so much fun, right? Name tags are out facing outwards, so everybody can
- see. One of the suggestions, when we're having the discussions, if you want to make a
- contribution/comment/ suggestion/question is, if you tilt your name card up like this, we'll be
- able to track who's sort of next to be acknowledged, okay. Let's see, you all know where the
- 36 washrooms are, down there. What else, breakfast is here in the morning time. Lunch is here

- and all the breaks are here. So, you don't have to go anywhere, all right? We're trying to
- 2 confine you a little bit, I think, but that's okay. Dinner tonight is on your own. So, if you have a
- 3 couple of people, you want to go out and have dinner with the concierge might make some
- 4 suggestions where that is in the local area, you might want to decide to meet downstairs in the
- 5 lobby and go together, it's up to all of us to make our own arrangements. Nancy is not involved
- 6 in those, just so you know, okay.

7 End of formalities

8

9

Segment 1 of Day 1 Summit Discussions

10 Nancy Jokinen

- 11 You'll see that there are microphones spread around the room, and we're encouraging their use
- so that everyone can hear everyone's comments or questions. So, they have to be turned on
- and then turned off afterwards, otherwise, we're probably going to get a lot of feedback or our
- wonderful AV tech over here is going to cut you off anyway. Okay. We have a very ambitious
- schedule today and tomorrow, so we're very cognizant of time. And Sandy taskmaster over
- there is going to try to remind us of the time from time to time to keep us on track. Okay. You
- have an agenda that gives you an overview of today and tomorrow in your pack, along with
- some very interesting swag, I have to say, because I had a look in there. Okay, so I think that's
- everything. Does anybody have any questions for us at this point? Thank you. We'll make that
- short. So, the way the process works, again, is each of the co-leads from the three topics, will
- 21 do presentations in turn, each group has been given approximately two hours to do that and
- have a discussion on their topic area with the group. Then when they're done, the next group
- does and the next group. So, today is focused on those presentations and discussion. Tomorrow
- 24 is another whole agenda. Okay. Just so we know what we're doing today, and I don't want to
- 25 overwhelm anybody with anything else. So, our topic one, Human Rights and Equity, the co-
- leads are Karen Watchman, and Frode Larsen, from Scotland, Norway, respectively. And they'll
- 27 start out the day with us. Okay.

28

29

Karen Watchman

- 30 Thank you very much and hello, everybody! I'm delighted to see you all here. I'm Professor
- 31 Karen Watchman. I'm from the University of Stirling in Scotland. And I'm delighted to see
- 32 Summit two happening, fantastic! And also, that there are some familiar faces here, from the
- first Summit that we held in Glasgow, as well. So that's great, and equally delighted that there's
- so many new faces, as well and we're bringing fresh perspectives to the table too, which is
- fantastic. So, I'm speaking today, and Frode will pick up tomorrow. So, we've split it this way in
- 36 terms of presentations, on behalf of group one. So, Addressing Human Rights and Equity
- 37 Concerns. And I'll be speaking for around 15 minutes maximum, and then we'll turn it over to

- you in terms of discussion, presentation. I probably have more questions to leave you with, 1
- then statements or anything else. 2

3

4

- Nancy Jokinen 5
- 6 I'm sorry to bother, but I neglected to mention that the sessions are being audio recorded. The
- 7 recordings are going to be restricted use, but it's so that we don't miss any of the comments or
- 8 important ideas people bring forward. Okay? I just needed to do that. Sorry.

9

10

33

Karen Watchman

- That's important. And I assume everyone's okay with that? Yeah? Brilliant. Thank you. Thanks, 11
- Nancy. So, in terms of the next 15 minutes or so, I'll talk through the process that we went 12
- through with group one very briefly, and remind you what our guiding statement is, and the key 13
- 14 issues that we identified. And then, as I said, put back to you, in terms of mostly questions for
- us to talk about rather than particular discussion points. But this is us, would group one just like 15
- 16 to raise your hand, so everyone knows who's who in the room? Thank you, excellent. I think
- 17 almost everyone's made it. And in terms of our process, probably like the other two groups, we
- 18 worked in a shared area online, so we used SharePoint, OneDrive, where we shared our bios,
- 19 first of all, because most of us hadn't met each other in person at that point. And then that's
- where we built up the evidence and the document that was prepared the background 20
- document for today, and also the presentation as well. So mostly working remotely. We had 21
- two meetings online, and inevitably, not everyone could attend all the meetings. But that was 22
- 23 our process for getting to where we are today. And in terms of our guiding statement, that we
- were asked to produce, as you can see, examining the multifaceted issues of human rights and 24
- equity faced by adults with intellectual disabilities affected by dementia and their caregivers. 25
- The group were keen that we added "and their caregivers", the care partners as well, to make 26
- sure that was upfront to. I'm not going to just repeat what's in our background document, 27
- 28 because hopefully, everybody's read that. But I just wanted to pick out a few things. And even
- before we kind of get into the detail as well, this topic really is going to extend through the 29
- entire two days. So, it's not in isolation, it really impacts on the other topics that we're going to 30
- be discussing as well. So, it's quite core and central. But particularly, of course, we've tried to 31
- 32 keep our focus on ID and dementia. But to be aware that we and possibly you in your groups as

well, the evidence that we found was mostly in English, it was primarily from the same group of

- countries. And there were a whole host of parts of the world that we haven't represented, and 34
- possibly, you may be the same as well. So, I think that's really important to be aware of, and so 35
- that we don't fall into the trap of getting too caught up in local issues. Now, that's inevitable, 36
- 37 we did it in the groups. And it's not because those local issues are not important. But we maybe

just need to keep reminding ourselves of the global picture. And actually, you know, we know 1 what we know, in this room, but we shouldn't make assumptions about what else might be 2 known in other parts of the world that are not representative, especially. Just as an example of 3 that, I still come across people on probably too regular basis, whether it's families, staff, 4 5 commissioners, who are not aware of the link between dementia, early onset dementia, young 6 onset dementia, in people, particularly with Down syndrome, and that's in the UK, and that 7 that's still an issue. So, a lot, we're going to be talking about new areas today, perhaps new 8 directions to go in. I think we still need to not lose sight of that as well, that that's still a really 9 important issue for our Down syndrome societies and organizations and other support and service providers as well. An example of that, I don't know if any of you saw or have read the 10 most recent Alzheimer's Disease International World Alzheimer's report that was launched just 11 a month ago. And the focus for this year's report was on risk, risk reduction, risk awareness, 12 identifying factors that may be risk factors for dementia. And when that was launched, during 13 14 their launch webinar, the person who wrote the entire report was asked if anything in there had surprised him. And he said one thing, Down syndrome, he wasn't aware of that link, and he 15 16 wrote the entire report. So, I think we still need to really be mindful that yes, new stuff, we need to be going in new directions as well, but we need to make sure everyone comes along 17 with us too. I tend to go off track, I've done it already. And I've only been here two minutes. But 18 19 with this in mind, back to the topic. So, the second part of the slide, really, equality is not the same as equity. And you'll have seen that we went into those differences in our background 20 21 document. And of course, the legislation is intended to be for all, that's not in any question. But 22 the equity comes in when we think about how it's actually applied, or how it can be accessed by 23 different groups, by different individuals, by families, and how it's implemented with different populations as well. So how is it actually equitable, when we have different starting points? And 24 as I say, there are going to be more questions as we go through, that's just the first one. 25 26 So, we're not just talking about health, obviously, we're talking about dementia, but it's not specific to only health, when we're looking at what equity might actually look like in practice. 27 But equity in terms of where people access information, where people find support, their social 28 support from, how it's accessed, where support services, particularly if we're talking about 29 dementia in younger people. How equitable is that support and that treatment? That goes 30 interventions, be it medical or be it non-pharmacological. How equitable is that when we look 31 32 at it in practice? For example, in our groups, one of the things that we talked about in preparation, was the equity in terms of access to ventilators, for people with intellectual 33 34 disability during the pandemic. So that was an example of, okay, there may be equality legislation in place, but how equitable is this, for all the people that we actually support or live 35 36 with. The four key themes that came out were equality, not equity, non discrimination, participation and inclusion. They had come through strongly in the evidence, but of course, 37 people with ID were very rarely, if at all involved in putting that evidence together. So, we have 38 to keep that in mind as well. Inclusion to a point I think, would be perhaps fairer there. Our 39 topic as given, was addressing human rights and equity concerns. So, I did notice on the NTG 40

page, that there was also a focus on dementia as a disability. It wasn't something we initially 1 looked at, but we did go back and look at that. And just for some context, dementia, as a 2 disability is something that has come through the self advocacy movement of people with 3 dementia, not ID. This is people, self advocates with dementia, who has been pushed over 4 5 years, over recent years to have dementia recognized as a disability. And we talk in our 6 background document, our briefing document about what the benefits might be, for people 7 with dementia, not intellectual disability. We don't know if that's a benefit or not for people 8 with intellectual and developmental disabilities, because nobody's asked. So, it was very much coming from a different place. But it's something, is it helpful? And for who? Is it helpful to have 9 dementia identified as a disability, when someone already does have a disability, so it's that 10 different starting point again. So that might be something that we want to look out. As 11 12 someone in the group said, it's not comparing apples with apples, we don't have the same 13 starting point here. Communication is so important that I wrote it three times. Looking at all of 14 the information that's out there, it's often not accessible for people with intellectual disabilities 15 or even for families, or even support staff as well. Just need to think about how it actually reaches the people who need to know what their rights are, before we can start to think about 16 equity in any more detail. And actually, one of the articles that we refer to in our background 17 paper, by Trevor Parmenter, the title of that article is, Rights are necessary, but insufficient for 18 19 the achievement of the full inclusion of people with intellectual and developmental disabilities. 20 And I think that's perhaps something that is maybe even a starting point, but very succinctly, just brings together some of the challenges that we also saw when looking at the evidence. And 21 that the picture up there is representing silos and that's something that we certainly saw 22 23 through the evidence. So, we've got legislation around human rights and equality. Separate to that we've got guidance or strategies around dementia, dementia care in different nations. 24 We've got convention the rights of people with disabilities, and similar legislation or guidance 25 for people with intellectual disabilities. And they're separate. And there's very little crossover 26 27 and there's very little coming together. And there's very little about application, the equity and 28 application of these different approaches, different legislation, different guidance for people with ID and dementia. So those are the key points. So just moving on to some of the discussion 29 30 questions. Now, we had more questions than this in the actual document, and you can see that, 31 but we've just pulled out some of the things that might be worthy of further discussion. So how 32 do we stop people falling between these gaps, these silos that are either specific to one sector 33 or another? And how do we ensure that rights are translated into practice to best support people, regardless of their settings? Or of where support is provided from? Or who the care 34 35 provider or care partner is? Should we be looking at something like a charter, a dementia charter for ID and dementia in the way that we have charters in many countries, for dementia? 36 37 Now, that's not to say that those charters don't apply to people with intellectual disability 38 either, of course they do. But are they equitable in their content, when we've got different starting points, and the pre-existing disability? So that's maybe something that we want to 39 think about as a group, do we have a position on that? So also, how might we actually highlight 40 41 those specific equity challenges that are affecting people with ID and dementia? Not so much

1	the equality, which is covered in legislation, but actually the equity in how they're
2	implemented. And I've called this a summary, but it's just some more questions, basically. So,
3	the kind of things that we looked at and came out as core from the evidence, how equitable are
4	that the human rights and equality legislation and dementia charters are similar, when applied
5	to people with ID and dementia? How are people with ID and their care partners supported to
6	actually access and know those rights? We heard about some good and strong localized
7	examples through the group development, but how can that be scaled up and shared more
8	widely? And the focus on dementia as a disability has come from and really focuses on people
9	who don't have ID. What does that mean for people with ID, if this is a direction or something
LO	that will grow in the future? So, I hope I've done justice, justice to the group. Happy to get us
l1	started with some conversations or take any questions. We've got till just before 10:30, I think?
L2	10:15? Thank you.
L3	So, I'm going to sit back down, but I'll keep talking. Any thoughts or comments or anybody in
L4	the group, anything I've missed in particular? Because I'll just keep talking otherwise. Laura?
L5	
LJ	
L6	Laura LaChance
L7	Thank you. Good morning, Laura LaChance from the Canadian Down Syndrome Society. What
L8	recommendations would you have, or the groups have with regard to the type of research and
L9	providing new evidence moving forward with regard to equity in service provision?
20	
	Karen Watchman
21	Karen Watchman
22	I'm going to pass that out to the room.
23	
24	Matthew Janicki
25	Laura, could you perhaps expand on your question? I think that, you know, we realize that
26	people with Down syndrome generally have a very strong advocacy system behind them in
27	most countries. So, what are you looking for in terms of a response?
28	
29	Laura LaChance
30	Thank you. So, I guess what I'm looking for, and it was secondary to an interview that I had with
30 31	care providers at the Baycrest community in Toronto last week. Do individuals with Down
32	syndrome, in particular, or expand that to intellectual disability, do they understand their
33	rights? What kind of research, what kind of evidence, how could we expand in gaining the voice
34	from the community?

1	
2	
3	
4	Frode Larsen
5 6 7 8	In the paper, when we worked with the background, we came across the World Health Organization's Toolkit for Human Rights and Intellectual Disability People, and they also did a survey for different countries and we see the differences from some countries, but it's just a small part of the European Union. So, I think, to, dive into that toolkit can be one possibility.
10	Mary McCarron
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Thank you, Karen, for your presentation, really interesting. And I suppose just going back to the point, how can we involve people more. Within the center that we are running, we've employed somebody with Down syndrome within the center. And that person with Down syndrome has set up her own PPI panel. So, she has set up her own panel of people with an intellectual disability within the panel to advise on every project and every idea that we have. So, we have an idea, we're going to set a research question, if we're going to think about something that we're going to do, it must go to that panel at its inception, not after we've decided what we're going to do or how we're going to do things. So, I think that for us all, and I'm only speaking from my own role as somebody who's working in academia, I think that is the starting point in terms of changing the culture. And that was not to try to undertake and to change the culture in university, even to have people implied in the same manner as every other academic, both through the system and probation periods and everything else been similar. So, I think a starting point for me is having people involved at the beginning, in setting the agenda, and in really trying to understand what's important for them.
26	Karen Watchman
27 28 29 30 31 32	Thanks, Mary. And I think I would agree with that from a university perspective. That what we've done, my team has some core researchers with intellectual disability, not employed on a permanent basis, but for specific funded pieces of work. So, from a university perspective, I think we need to practice what we preach. And I think that's one way of doing that, certainly, and having that involvement from the start. And so, we're with Phil McCallion, and then Matthew Janicki.
34	Phil McCallion

I'd like to move it beyond universities and beyond research. There are serious inequities in the 1 availability of services. There are inequities based on geography. There are inequities in terms 2 of the people who are already in systems are more likely to get other services. There are huge 3 inequities in terms of the amount of resources that are provided to some people with 4 5 intellectual disabilities and dementia and that to others, you know, the reality that the majority 6 of people in a number of countries live at home with family and always have, means that, you 7 know, like when I talk with states in the United States, they talk to me about who they know, and who they don't know. And they acknowledge that the majority of people with intellectual 8 disabilities are getting older, they don't know, because they've not received services to this 9 point. And there was a time, and I'm thinking, Matt, of sort of, some of the early work that you 10 did with the administration and community living, where we actively then went out and looked 11 for people who were unknown to the system, our thinking on that has now changed. And it's 12 like, well, when people are doing fine where they are, and we're supporting aging in place, 13 14 we're not actively looking in the same way because our act of looking was about moving people to group homes and into out of home placements, and now we're sort of questioning all of 15 that. But I do you think that as the population gets older, and grows, we have a growing 16 number of people who are not within the system. And we've not seen budgets grow to the 17 18 same extent as the population is growing. But we then run in to, and this is true in the general 19 aging population as well, we have groups who are already receiving services and are concerned 20 that if we are going to cut the same small pie up even more, that their share gets smaller as well. And so, the seriousness with which we look to include more people is really dependent 21 22 upon our ability to increase the size of the pie to be shared. And most countries, particularly 23 post COVID, are not in a position to grow the size of the pie. So, to the question that was raised, I think this is a very fundamental issue that we kind of gloss over. We look at improving the 24 services that we are providing, but are we looking at how do we include more people? And are 25 we looking at that even if programs are demonstrated to be effective, is that actually the most 26 equitable way to share the resources? 27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34 35

36

37

38

39

Matthew Janicki

Jumping in and sort of just throwing things out. There's a political process too at play here in defining dementia as a disability. I know that we have in the States, I think dementia has not been really recognized as a full-blown disability whether its contentions whether... And we have these Americans with Disabilities Act, whether that really covers people with dementia, or it doesn't cover. And even the national group that represents, the most vested interest in Alzheimer's disease, the Alzheimer's Association, has not really come up forthrightly about that issue. I think part of it is bound into stigma, and the fact that they don't want to create more problems for people with dementia, in terms of being seen as different in society, as a result of having dementia, so characterizing them as having a disability might even go that route. My guess is that's where they're going with this. The other thing is, in terms of equity for services,

- and I think maybe that's what you were getting at, Laura. In some countries, someone who has
- 2 a lifelong disability receives support services, either under the health scheme or some other
- 3 governmental support program, because they do have an intellectual or developmental
- 4 disability. But as they get older, they are then transferred over into the aged care system, which
- 5 has a less investment by government into support services. And so, their supports are
- 6 diminished in many ways. It not so true in the States, because there isn't that nature of
- 7 supports for older people that there is vested in intellectual disabilities. And then certainly the
- 8 other aspect is, and maybe talking internationally, I don't know too many countries that have
- 9 invested a lot of money in long term dementia supports for people, notwithstanding
- institutional care, nursing home care, home based care. And that is a dilemma in the States as
- well, where there isn't the same funding mechanisms, and I don't want to say wealth, but at
- least it's there, some money is there, to provide continual long term care in group settings or
- individual family settings for people with intellectual disabilities as they age and as they
- potentially show signs and are diagnosed with dementia. So, there's a value to retaining the
- identity of the intellectual disability, as opposed to simply the dementia. But again, you know, is
- there a positive side to having dementia determined to be a disability for ID folks, or is it a
- 17 negative side? Just kind of throw that out as a maybe a thought point.

18

19

Dawna Mughal

- Okay, I'm Dawna Mughal. I would like to extend the broad conversation to something more
- specific, and that is nutrition. In nutrition we have this campaign message, nutrition as a human
- 22 right. We have many guidelines in nutrition. I'm very familiar with the literature, I'm familiar
- 23 with the nutrition related to people with IDD and dementia. We have these guidelines, how are
- 24 they implemented? And there is a difference between guidelines and practice. It's the same
- 25 with healthcare and people without disability. We have guidelines for diabetes management,
- 26 how are they implemented? Access to care, access to nutrition, access to food, food and
- 27 nutrition insecurity is prevalent in the US. It's worse, I think, among the population with
- disability. So, it's a universal language. So, as we talk about the different issues today, I would
- 29 like us to remember the importance of food and nutrition. That is a universal language. Without
- food and good nutrition, caregivers cannot do the work well, right. The clients, the consumers,
- 31 cannot function well. Medications won't work well. So, I'm biased because I'm a registered
- dietician. But my practice includes academia, and also research and hands on work, with people
- who have IDD. So, keep in mind the importance of nutrition. We all ate here. I would like to
- thank the staff, the culinary staff of the hotel for feeding us. We often neglect the people who
- 35 clean the rooms, and who serve the food. I would really like to acknowledge them and have
- thanked them repeatedly. Thank you. The food is good, it's nutritious, it looks good, it tastes
- 37 good.

1	Karen Watchman
2	Thank you. And I think nutrition is certainly one example of where we need to look at equity in terms of information.
4	
5	
6	Lucy Esralew
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Okay, hi. I'm Lucy Esralew. Thank you for raising this conversation to the level that you're doing today. I work for the California Department of Developmental Services. And California is unique. And so far, it's the only state that actually has mandated legislated services for individuals with intellectual and developmental disabilities. However, and very interestingly, in thinking about equity for individuals with ID and dementia, I'd have to step back and wonder about equity for individuals with ID who are aging, in general. So, my experience is that it is a very youth- oriented department, very youth focused, most of the funding and activities has been generated around the young, and I have nothing against the young, I like the young. However, there's been no thought about what happens when they age, you know, in a number of ways. So in a sense, my kind of dilemma or challenge, in terms of services in California - and there are many issues that, touch on equity, because we have very great geographical challenges, socio economic challenges, and we have a very diverse state linguistically, culturally, etc. and just kind of making sure that people get access to what they need - is to really kind of help people come along to this idea that people have lifespan issues. And that we have the privilege of seeing a cohort of individuals, who are actually living longer than, you know, preceding generations. I mean, I think the average age for an individual with intellectual disability has doubled since the 1980s. And so that is an amazing phenomenon. But I don't think our thinking, at least in talking about this service system that I'm in, has kept abreast of that changing demographic.
26 27	Karen Watchman
28	Thank you, Lucy.
29	
30	Yumi
31 32 33 34 35	Hey, this is Yumi. And I have a background in university, as well as the practice in the field, and I always felt the gap. I started as a movement therapist, and working physically with the individuals, then went to university with the research. And I'm just going back to Laura's question, how can we really capture it with the research? I think, moving forward, Nancy suggested a fantastic article about building an ethical community. And, that kind of more

contextual study, you know, not just relying on the numerical data, what works in terms of 1 2 intervention. So, smaller studies, equity-based studies may need to come up out from the 3 inclusive research. Right. So, I think it's really the popping out different ideas that really are connected to address Laura's issue to how we can move the field forward is to look at the 4 5 research from different perspectives. And also federally, we need to really acknowledge, not the numerical base data is important, fix the issues for the world issues. And Karen always 6 7 acknowledged that this is an international issue, not just the U.S. and developed countries. And 8 can we really learn based on the cultural practice that we haven't really captured, it may be 9 working, may not filled by the governmental supplement, for the support. And I think those kinds of studies have not been well highlighted, or prioritized in the government-supported 10 research, and government has limited funding, and how can we divide those pies? And maybe 11 12 we need to switch some of the conception of what is the body of research and how we invest in research. So that's kind of from the, all different shelling, I kind of thought of that. Thank you. 13

14

15

- Karen Watchman
- 16 Thanks, Yumi. And so, Eimear, and then Seth, and then back over, back again.

17

- 18 Eimear McGlinchey
- 19 I just wanted to add another issue around equity and compounded inequities within the Down
- 20 syndrome population. So, particularly around race and socio-economic status. And it's coming
- back to the point that Phil McCallion and Lucy Esralew both made actually, around access to
- services, but also around life expectancy. So, there was a meta-analysis done recently that
- 23 showed that black people with Down syndrome are dying younger than white people with
- 24 Down syndrome. And that this is around access to services and health care throughout their
- 25 lives. But I think as if in the future, we're moving towards disease modifying therapies that we
- think about this and we think about who's going to access it and is there going to be equitable
- access for people with Down syndrome.

28

- Seth Keller
- 30 I'm going to pick up on that comment. I'm Seth Keller. I'm a neurologist with the National Task
- 31 Group. And I think since this is an international and a global perspective, and equity, equitable
- care is relative. So certain places, certain countries, how far did they have to go where they're
- at now to reach equitable care as to other countries. So, I think it's important to take a deep
- 34 dive into the issue of equity, equitability from place to place to place and not gloss over it and
- make it like a general conversation about equitable care. Because it really does certainly make a
- 36 difference if you live in Canada versus Ukraine or other countries that have nothing, you know,

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	they really have nothing. I think it's important to kind of give a deeper perspective on the level of equitability on where people live and what they have. So, I think that's number one. I think those are important to not gloss over. And then the second part of that equitable care is what are we referring to in terms of equitability of care, and I'll just make the reference to like, what's happening now, in terms of the changes in the focus of care standards and practices for this population in research, is the biomarker and the therapeutics, it's huge. It's a huge issue that's happening all around us every day. And that's a major thing that's happening, it's staring us in the face, and we have to really focus on that also, as a very important aspect of issues of equitability. And I know that we're working on that now and in the National Task Group and other things in terms of that. So, I think that's something that we just, we can't stay on the sidelines and watch this happening and outmaneuver external powers and how we can continue to keep up with that. Thank you.
14	Janice Barr
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	Morning, everyone. I'm Janice Barr. I'm from Vancouver, BC and the CEO of the organization called Community Living Society. It is interesting thinking about the comments around silos, and I think some of our challenges, certainly in BC are rooted in our structure and rooted in our history. In the 80s, we made a commitment to downside or deinstitutionalization of all people with intellectual disabilities, which is wonderful and hence we see around the world the life expectancy changed significantly, which is wonderful. However, the structure of services for people with intellectual disabilities are often funded and provided through a social care system. And as they age, the services and supports for people with dementia are funded through the health care system. And I know in BC, we are often at the mercy of the health care system trying to be acknowledged or even on the radar of that system. And really, for the most part in the health care system, it's, you have an intellectual disability, you're provided support over there, not over here. But over there in the social care system. There aren't the resources, knowledge, skill, to support diagnosis, treatment, and often nursing and other clinical support for people with intellectual disabilities and dementia. So, I think some of our history and structure prevents us from moving forward in this area.
31	Karen Watchman
32	Thank you. I'm trying to see who's out here, is it Brianne?
33	
34	Brianne
35	Good morning. I'm Brianne Samson. I'm also from British Columbia, Canada. I work for

Community Living B.C, which is like the government service delivery in that province. One thing

- 1 I reflect on a lot is the ability for us to translate the knowledge that we do have in academia to
- 2 those that actually need the information. And I think that's something that's really important.
- 3 So, when we're talking about people with Down syndrome, I think, presenting that information
- 4 that we do have, whether it be to Donna's point, the high-level issues that we know affect
- 5 everybody into ways that they understand it, is really important. And I think that's something
- 6 that we need to work on. I work with Janice quite a bit and there's some strong self advocate
- 7 community in British Columbia, there's a lot of societies that exist, led by, we call them self
- 8 advocates, but led by self advocate groups throughout our province. And they really aren't
- 9 asking for information, they want to be involved. But I think we just need to do also think
- through how we can translate knowledge into an accessible way. And I think that looks like a lot
- of different ways. I think people understand, to what Karen had mentioned in terms of
- 12 communication, I think that's something else that we need to consider in terms of equitability.
- 13 If it's not equitable information, then, you know, they also need that too.

14

15

Unknown

- So, I think just listening to these conversations, kind of two ideas popped up. One is the
- importance of co-design and ensuring that, you know, people who are impacted, and who live
- that experience, are involved and included at our agency. We actually have three staff who are
- 19 lived experience staff, and do the translation work for us and, you know, sort of are involved in
- 20 the development of programs, so that we're sort of kept focused and making sure that we're on
- 21 target when we're thinking about different programs. But as well, just that idea that, certainly
- in Toronto, which is a pretty big city, you know, 85% of people with intellectual disability live in
- their family homes, and don't necessarily know about or get access to the services that people
- 24 who are well connected and have had the privilege really of connecting to awesome services
- like Reena are, you know, exposed to. And that's one issue. Many of them, we connect with
- because their parents, in fact, become ill, break a hip and someone says, oh, this person is
- 27 actually caring for their parent. And it becomes quite traumatic to change that home
- 28 environment. But, as complexity increases, so does equity decrease. And I think that's really
- important. You know, we do a lot of work in the shelter system. Many people in shelter who are
- 30 homeless, do not have intellectual disability, and certainly would be, it would be hard for us to
- determine, and we do a lot of screening, where we separate is this person head injured? Is this
- 32 person, mental health? Is this person, intellectual disability? And often, more than one, right?
- 33 So, it's really important to recognize that that we rarely hear their voice and rarely are they
- 34 supported with food, with necessary housing. Also, in Toronto, recently, the respite system for
- adults ended. I think there's a plan to resume it. But really what was ensured was that the
- 36 children's respite system is still in place and is coordinated. And I think it's just evidence that
- 37 the older you get, the less important frankly, the service delivery is from a legislative
- 38 perspective. And then I think that as you increase in diagnosis, the complexity increases. So, we
- 39 have many clients who, you know, not just intellectual disability, but also may have autism and

- then get dementia. And that looks very different, then intellectual disability or Down syndrome
- 2 and dementia. And the service providers, who are now in Health or Long Term Care, are really
- 3 stumped in terms of how to support these individuals, who may have been doing very well at
- 4 home, in a group home, having learned a lot of skill, and yet forgetting it through dementia and
- 5 becoming quite a handle in terms of putting them in an environment with other individuals
- 6 with dementia. It's just, it can create chaos. So, just that concept that, you know, the more
- 7 complex I think the less equitable is really important.

8

- 9 Karen Watchman
- 10 Some good points. Thank you. Matt and then is it Leslie?

11

- 12 Matthew Janicki
- 13 I guess, throwing something else into the hopper, someone mentioned a comment about
- disparity, based on race in terms of longevity and Down syndrome. There's a lot of work now
- being done and so issue of differences between different population groups, and how they
- access health services or are not able to access health services or have decent nutrition, have
- food insecurity, etc. The issue is coming up also, in terms of, big effort in the States to look at
- risk reduction of dementia, that the Centers of Disease Control is funding these major projects.
- And one of the affiliations that I have is University of Illinois in Chicago, and I apologize, my two
- 20 colleagues who are supposed to be here, from the University program on Intellectual
- 21 Disabilities and Risk Reduction, went to Atlanta to participate in a funders program meeting
- that CDC was running. And they were told that if they didn't show up, that would be
- 23 problematic. So, they were going to be here, and I suppose they could speak to this issue. But
- anyway, what I'm trying to get at is one of the things that we're looking at is the disparity of
- 25 health, and other factors in terms of longevity and potential effect on the increased evidence,
- 26 excuse me, the expression of dementia in people with intellectual disabilities. And the notion
- 27 that we're getting at, and Kathy Service might want to talk about this as well, is the issue of
- 28 weathering. And that's the concept that's now starting to emerge in terms of what other
- 29 lifelong factors, the stress factors, the lack of access to decent nutrition, sanitation, housing,
- 30 etc. And particularly for people who may be marginalized with intellectual disability who are
- 31 not in a very stable situation with care at home families, etc., are affected, and whether that
- 32 contributes to their earlier aging, obviously, but also to the higher rates of dementia, and how
- that affects equity, in terms of trying to minimize that through a risk reductions program.
- 34 Anyway, I'm just throwing that out into the hopper because I think it's something that you
- 35 might want to think about in terms of long-term effects.

36

37

Leslie

- 1 Hi, I'm Leslie Udell from the National Task Group Canadian consortium. And there's a couple of
- 2 points that are just resonating with me, and one of them is around engaging the voice of people
- 3 who have an intellectual disability, and I think engaging the voices of their families and support
- 4 networks. And there's a lot of great work out there about how to do that in a meaningful way.
- 5 But those are very small pockets, we have a long way to go in in helping everyone to
- 6 understand how you meaningfully engage people. And until we figure that out on a broad-
- 5 based manner, we're never really truly going to get the voices that are important in all of this.
- 8 The other point, you know, is that taking that piece of the pie and dividing it, and I know forever
- 9 that we've talked about silos and working together, but sometimes you can expand that piece
- of the pie when you invite others in and find ways to work together. So, it's not always about
- dividing the pie. It's about expanding the pie. And looking at the gifts and ideas that come from
- other sectors and finding ways to come. We do and we've always talked about this in our
- sector, we've always, we've often come as beggars, right? Rather than coming to say, here's
- what we have to offer. And if we can come from that perspective, then maybe those pieces of
- the pie see that it's advantageous to invite in people who have an intellectual disability and
- their families and the people that are important to them.
- 17
- 18 Karen Watchman
- 19 Thanks, Leslie. We'll go Kathy Service, Lucy, Frode Larsen and Dawna Mughal. And I apologize if
- 20 I'm not getting you all in the right order, feel free to interrupt.
- 21
- 22 Kathy Service
- Yeah. Hi, everybody. I'm Kathy Service. Initially, I thought about bringing up the notions that are
- big in the States on DEIA, Diversity, Inclusion, Equity, and Accessibility. Accessibility goes back
- 25 to what you're talking about in terms of getting information out to those who need to know
- and those who matter. But then the other thing that is big in the field of dementia, is including
- 27 people with ID in the discussion. It's going back to the pieces of the pie, and making sure that
- 28 people, and we look at other diverse groups of people, and how their voices when they're
- 29 hurting the field of dementia. But making sure as we've done, and it's having a member in
- Napa, is making sure that people with intellectual disabilities are included in the big picture of
- 31 when we talk about dementia capable care. But the other thing is a notion that is gaining much
- more acknowledgement in the States is intersectionality. And that's the point that people with
- 33 ID also are parts of other groups and what does that mean in terms of access to services and
- care. And I agree with Leslie, there's only so much in the pie, how are we going to partner with
- other people in working together for that? And I also wanted to kind of add a little bit more
- about that notion of weathering, and this is a topic that came up in the late 1990s with a social
- 37 scientist, Arline Geronimus, and she talked about how these microaggressions in a way because
- 37 Scientist, Armie deronnings, and she talked about now these microaggressions in a way because
- of discrimination, fear, you know, not just in terms of some of the physical issues, but in terms

of how people are regarded in their own social settings, and how that wears at people and they can cause what we call allostatic load, which is actually physically stress hormones that then actually go down and continue on to do such effects on the body such as increased blood pressure, diabetes, etc. And then when we look at risk factors, what does it mean for our population? And where can we affect some change? And we don't know right now, I mean, it may be some of these stressful things, and in fact, when we look at different groups of people, for instance, people with cerebral palsy, or autism, what are these allostatic loads? What does that mean for these groups of people, in terms of being at risk for developing a dementia of some sort? So, those are a lot of important notions, I think we need to kind of keep involved with our discussion. Thank you.

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

2728

29

30

31

32

33 34

35 36

10

1

2

4 5

6

7

8

9

Lucy Esralew

Thank you, Lucy Esralew here again. So, there are three kinds of things I've been thinking about as I listened to everybody. One is building on this idea of risk reduction, and thinking about how when we look at what leads to dementia in individuals with ID or in dementia in general, we're needing to take kind of a backward glance to what has happened earlier in a person's life. And also think about equity for early intervention services. And what might that mean in terms of people then having the resources coping individuals and their families that then lessens the risk later down the road? So, I always like to say, what did we learn about this person with dementia and ID that would help us understand where we could have intervened a little bit earlier and understood and again, I take the perspective of a clinician, I'm not a researcher, I'm a clinician and to me, I'm like, what it has clinical utility here? Where can I kind of understand how to intervene? The other thing, and again, not being a researcher, but what would be beneficial is to have more funded qualitative research in which we are involving individuals with intellectual disability and dementia and their caregivers, their families, in really understanding what their life, their lived experience is with regards to having dementia, and unfortunately, you know, qualitative research is not well funded. And it would be really nice to be able to put ourselves behind that with this understanding that qualitative research often leads us in a direction that can be followed up with more empirical practices. And the last thing, related to systems use, that was said before, was that many of the individuals with whom I work are cross systems users. So, they're using that intersectionality multiple systems. And there is a concept in the States, I don't know how well it's implemented, but it could be useful to be built upon about, this notion of No Wrong Door. So, if systems are working together collaboratively, it doesn't matter where they've entered, presumably they can get information about all the relevant services for the individual and for the family, it often does not work that way. However, that model, I think, is a very good one to keep in mind and to strengthen, because I think it has potential.

Frode Larsen

1

- 2 Yes, just to go back, you mentioned that the family should be involved. When we were working
- in Norway with the National Dementia Plan, then the relatives were invited to engage in this 3
- 4 plan. All this work, it was all around in Norway. And now we're talking about dementia in
- 5 general. But here we also have work for people with intellectual disability, and there were
- 6 nearly no family members that were engaged in these activities. So, we tried to get family
- 7 members to engage in this work, but it seems there's something we don't know why they are
- not engaged, when the kids are growing up and then the parents, maybe they are exhausted 8
- 9 from the grown up parenting, I don't know, but they're not engaged in the aging process.
- 10 They're more afraid they're going behind, so that's, that's struggling, because as a professional
- that is working with the government about the need for people with intellectual disability, we 11
- don't hear a voice from the people themselves. So maybe it's cultural differences between 12
- 13 Europe, Norway, and other countries. And just the last comment about this financing of the
- welfare in the future. I only know the figures in Norway, but I know that it is also similar in 14
- other European countries. But in Norway in three years from now, we are more aging group 15
- than younger group. So, we have huge challenges in the future to get financed the welfare 16
- 17 system. And we know that people with intellectual disability are behind the line, struggling for
- 18 money.

19

20

Dawna Mughal

- 21 Four points on my list, but I'll be brief. Number one, I work with a group that uses also
- Inclusion, Diversity, and Equity, but we add 'A', accessibility. So, it's an idea. Now if you 22
- abbreviate diversity, inclusion, and equality is DIE. There's a difference, right? DIE versus IDEA. 23
- Point number two, you mentioned the complexity of the care and the more complex, the less 24
- equity. Correct? So, I see a problem, care coordination. It's a huge problem, even in people who 25
- 26 do not have this ability is huge. So how do we promote better care coordination, better
- 27 interdisciplinary communication? I cannot emphasize enough the importance of
- 28 communication; among us, between us, with our audience. I do not use the word 'to', use
- 'with'. Communicating with, talking with, rather than talking 'to', so words matter. Point three, 29
- Lucy mentioned 'participated research', that has pros and cons. We know the barriers and we 30
- know the benefits of doing that participated research, where we involve the community, the 31
- 32 family and also the clients. Lilian Thorpe and I presented that research, I think in Norway, Frode
- was there. And I heard three terms; one is culture, the other one is system and the other one is 33
- structure. So, what is our problem? Cultural, systemic, structural. We need to think about this, 34
- 35 what are we talking about? What kinds of problems are we talking about? Words? What is
- 36 culture? Define culture. System? I know how to define system. Structure? I know how to do
- that. Culture is something very different. What is the culture of NTG? Good question. What is 37
- the culture of your organization? Does everybody buy into it? How do you translate that culture 38
- 39 into actions? Broad questions, but very important. How do we translate these big ideas into

- actions that are practical and relevant? We do not want to be irrelevant. Being relevant is
- 2 important to the clients. I'm done.

3

- 4 Karen Watchman
- 5 And then Mary McCarron.
- 6 Yona
- 7 Well, you took my "communication, communication, communication". I loved that, Dawna! And
- 8 I do think with a lot of the things we're talking about here, if we think about equity, with
- 9 communication, we have to be thinking within intellectual disability, how we vary the way
- we're doing our communication, right? So not just about at the end what we figured out, but
- even just how to help people understand what the heck we're going to be doing. And that we
- have to explain it not only for people with ID, but differently within the ID population, which we
- do through a lot of the partnerships and ideas that have come out. And then a tiny comment,
- just to react to one of the things you said Frode, about parents and use the word parents and I
- totally understand it, and we all do. But I think when we think about intellectual disability,
- because we start thinking about children, we're always thinking about parents. And so, parents
- get older as their children get older. Right? And, sometimes burnt out, but sometimes they're
- actually not alive or not around, and we need to be thinking about family. And, of course, a
- really important group that gets more involved as people age are siblings. So, not to critique
- 20 you or anything, but just for all of us to be thinking about, it's one of these biases or culture
- 21 things that we've just all sort of inherited, which is thinking about it from a parent perspective.
- We need to be thinking about how we bring in siblings who aren't necessarily, like when you
- talked about the leader not knowing around Down syndrome and aging and those issues on the
- 24 World Health Organization report, you know, I think that happens as well with families that
- sometimes siblings don't have this information. So, communication, again, just keeping in mind
- the family perspective, I agree.

27

28

- Frode Larsen
- 29 Just a short comment about communication, as I'm not native to English. So, sorry. But when
- 30 I'm talking about parents, I also mean, the organizations for people with intellectual disability
- 31 that were silent in this work, because all the organizations for dementia care in the general day
- was very, very active in this work, but not the organization for family members.

33

34 Yona

- 1 Yeah. And just that its parents. So, in the dementia organizations, it's often children of people,
- 2 most often, right? Or perhaps spouses. But, in the disability sector, in our sector, it's often the
- 3 parent organizations that have started all this work, and they're involved. We haven't figured
- 4 out the sibling group is kind of a yeah, when other people aren't around, it becomes siblings,
- 5 but I do understand the language. Thanks for highlighting that.

6 7

8

Frode Larsen

- 9 Yes, but there are also younger people in this organization that are working for the
- organization, so it's a very mix of people both in the dementia organization and an organization
- 11 for people with intellectual disability.

12

13

Mary McCarron

- 14 Thank you. I just want to follow up on Yona's point, and I met with Yona's group yesterday and
- spoke about the issue for sibling carers and certainly in Ireland we're conducting, as part of the
- longitudinal aging study, we interview a lot of family units. And really, you're talking about most
- of the care being given by sibling carers and there are unique and very different issues for
- sibling carers. That's the first thing. But we are running a longitudinal study on aging in Ireland
- on people with intellectual disability an add on supplement to the Irish longitudinal study on
- aging. But there's many longitudinal studies in aging happening all around the world and people
- 21 with intellectual disability have never been included in those studies. So that's one thing I think
- it's really important to, are to actually be able to understand the differences and determinants
- 23 of health and well being between both populations. And I think I would encourage that we've
- shown it can work, we've shown how it can happen. But we then need to be taking the data
- 25 from the studies and getting it into policy. Because when policy is written, the train has left the
- station, and it's too late. So, when Ireland was developing the National Policy on Dementia, we
- 27 made sure we got the data that we had into the policy. So now when they have now how we're
- 28 going to implement a strategy, people with intellectual disability are included within the
- 29 national model of dementia care in Ireland. So, funding well follow that. And we've just got
- 30 significant funding. But when they're developing the obesity strategy, the nutritional strategy,
- 31 the women's health strategy, we need to be at the table to make sure that people are in. So
- 32 that's where the intersectionality of all of these services will happen. But we need to be in at
- the policy level. And that's something I really think is just so critical and key. And the other
- important thing I do want to mention is I think we had a very firm mandate, and a philosophy
- 35 that drove the deinstitutionalization of people with an intellectual disability. And that was really
- important and really good, which we don't have the same moral compass in some ways to help
- us to understand how we support people who are aging. And my concern, really, from what I

- 1 see that is happening, there is very little money in countries to support changing needs. There is
- 2 money maybe for new services, but not to support changing needs. And we have
- 3 institutionalized people with an intellectual disability in the past, we have moved people into
- 4 institutions. When we knew better, we did better, and we moved them back out into
- 5 community-based alternatives or supportive people to live at home. And now at a time in
- 6 people's life, when they are most vulnerable, when they're developing a memory impairment
- 7 and decline, they're running the risk, because we lacked that moral compass to be moved back
- 8 into a bigger institution than they ever left in the first place. And I think for this group, we need
- 9 to cost services. We're doing a big costing study at the moment. It's going to cost money and
- we have no information on cost, or very little information on cost. So, I think the books on how
- successful we were with respect to deinstitutionalisation, the last chapters have to be yet
- written, because we have not understood how we have been able to successfully engage
- people to enable people to engage in the community of their choice.

14

- 15 Karen Watchman
- 16 Thank you. We're heading towards the break. We have three more cards up. If we don't get
- around everybody, don't worry, we'll pick up again after the break.

18

- Vikram Palanisamy
- 20 Thank you, I'm Vikram Palanisamy, I'm a psychiatrist. So, speaking about equity, from a clinical
- 21 perspective. When I think about equity, I look at people with intellectual disability with
- 22 dementia, how comparable are the services they receive, compared to people without
- 23 intellectual disability. So, in most situations, people without intellectual disabilities have better
- 24 access earlier, the waiting times are lower, access to medication, everything is better. But in
- 25 some situations, I don't want patients with intellectual disability to access generic services. So,
- some examples are I don't want people with intellectual disability with dementia, when they
- 27 develop psychiatric services to be admitted to geriatric psychiatric units because, they're really
- 28 struggling to manage the situation. And Brianne gave an example, I think, somebody from
- 29 Community Living Services gave an example of how people with intellectual disability, when
- 30 they become dementia, their access to long term care facilities is less than adequate. And in
- fact, I actually don't promote that they be referred to long term care because staffing levels are
- 32 hugely disproportionately lower compared to community living services. So, we sort of think
- okay, in certain situations, services for people with intellectual disability is better. But we're
- 34 missing the perspective that that means that we are restricting access to better inpatient
- 35 services respect and access to better long-term services. So, this is where the concept of
- 36 reasonable adjustments, which is quite popular in England, reasonable adjustments and people
- buy into this. So why do we have to accept that long term care homes are not good? Because
- they're reduced staffing levels? So why should they not accommodate the intellectual disability

- needs on top of dementia services? So, I think, as a Summit, we could do with defining what a
- 2 reasonable adjustment looks like in this population. So, at the patient level, so what would a
- 3 reasonable adjustment look like when a person with intellectual disabilities with dementia? So,
- 4 there are good practice guidelines, you know. MMSE is not good. So, the sort of assessments in
- 5 NTG, based on assessment too. So those are reasonable adjustments at personal level. I think
- 6 we need to define what are the reasonable adjustments at a service level, you know, make it
- 7 mandatory for family caregivers and support agencies to be invited and to make sure that they
- 8 don't discriminate, and people with intellectual disability can readily access dementia clinic.
- 9 And what are the reasonable adjustments at an agency level? So, what are the, for example,
- any organization dealing with aging services should have training about dementia. So, if we can
- 11 come up with some practical definitions, I think it will be that will give us a lot of mileage. Thank
- 12 you.

13

- 14 Karen Watchman
- 15 Thank you, Nancy said she'll go after the break. Phil, do you want to take us into the break?

- 17 Phil McCallion
- 18 Sure, I'll borrow from Dawna Mughal, and I'll have a short list. So, as much as anything, I
- wanted to pick up on a couple of things that were said in the presentation that haven't been
- 20 addressed yet. I think this issue of either creating our own charter or getting into charters, and I
- don't want to take away from the value of that. But I want to emphasize what Mary McCarron
- raised and what I see other people in this room doing that it's much more important that we be
- 23 at the table in the development of primary legislation than that we write these charters that we
- all read and get very excited about, but other people don't read them. And so, I think we need
- 25 to, that equity is probably going to happen more, if we get involved. But in getting involved, we
- 26 have to think about how we want to change too. You know, broken record, Lucille sitting here
- 27 next to me, she's going to, you know, hit me for saying this one more time. But screening for
- dementia. In the general population, screening is about, at least in the United States now, it's
- 29 about an eight-item scale. And we keep saying to people, no, you've got to spend hours doing
- 30 screening. We're not spending hours doing screening, we spend hours doing a diagnosis. We
- 31 have to start thinking about and sort of take that all the way through the dementia journey. We
- have to think about where is it, for example, that we could make change, that we give up some
- The second will be selected and the second make small be give up some
- of our sacred cars that we've developed for really good reasons, but are resulting in people with
- dementia and intellectual disabilities not receiving services because they can't fit into some of
- 35 the community-based models. And then one area that I think, again, started thinking just about
- the whole assessment issue, saw this really great example recently, in the area of autism.
- 37 Clearly, if children are diagnosed very early, we have great opportunities to do really good
- programs for them. Yet, in a lot of places, that assessment takes years, in terms of waiting lists.

So, Vanderbilt University in Tennessee, developed a virtual assessment, and within six months 1 2 had wiped out the backlog in the state of Tennessee. We did a lot of stuff during COVID online. I 3 understand the dangers in that and the limitations of that. But are we willing to start, as we think again about these equity issues, about geographic inequities, about black and brown 4 5 inequities, socioeconomic inequities? Expanding the opportunities for access is how you change 6 inequities, not saying we need to address inequities. And so, I think that there's a requirement 7 on us to be willing to move in directions that sort of actually produce results and get people to 8 want to work with us. And again, I think there are examples already in several countries where 9 when we have stepped in, and we have talked the same language as everyone else, that we've had much greater openness to change. Still a lot of barriers, but much greater openness to 10 change. Thank you. 11 12 13 Karen Watchman I'm keen to hear some of those examples, but we'll save that for after the after the break. 14 15 16 Sandy 17 I think that now would be great. So, before everybody runs off, we're going to have you, as 18 Gary has said, we have to get the family photo while everybody is here. So, if you wouldn't mind coming up to the front of the screen, and then we'll get our photo and then we'll have a 19 20 break till just a little bit past 10:30. 21 Karen Watchman 22 We're just going to get started again, I'm just conscious of time. We're going now to 1130 and 23 we'll pick up where we left off with Nancy. 24 Nancy Jokinen 25 26 Thank you, everyone for such great comments and ideas and thought-provoking things. My 27 mind is going like a million miles an hour right now. And I know I'm supposed to be thinking globally, but I'm thinking locally, being Canada, and about what we've accomplished here in 28

29 Canada. At this point, we're in the National Strategy, we've been funded on a national basis.

30 But I'm already thinking much to Sandy's displeasure, probably about five months from now, 31 and where we go from here. Because the project isn't the end. Sorry, Leslie. One of the things

that I heard mentioned several times is "communication, communication, communication", and 32

how we need to communicate with family members, staff and people with intellectual 33

34 disability. But I don't want to forget that there's a small group of people with intellectual

35 disability with dementia that we need to communicate with too, and how our communication

- strategies need another twist to do that appropriately, and in a timely fashion. So, that's where
- 2 I'm sitting right at this moment, thinking about those kinds of things. One of the project's aims
- that we're on, is to provide communication in various formats. And I'm not sure we're at the
- 4 format of how to communicate for people with intellectual disability and dementia at various
- 5 stages, because it will change with stage.

6

7

8

Lucy Esralew

- 9 Nancy, I'm glad you brought that up, because, and this is kind of getting back to a conversation
- that Karen and I had before the conference. And that is this issue of pulling the person with IDD
- and dementia into the situation as fully as possible. And I think that's a notion that hasn't even
- occurred to a lot of individuals that that would be even a conversation that one would have,
- 13 you know, so I think back to the wonderful work, Karen, you've done in Scotland, in terms of
- 14 Jenny's diary. And, you know, I use that, I utilize it quite a bit in my training. And it is often eye
- opening to staff and family members to even think about how you would have a conversation
- with a person with IDD and dementia, with their significant other, in the case of Jenny's diary,
- 17 her boyfriend, or with housemates of the individual or co workers of the individual who have
- 18 ID. And then I think you mentioned Karen, there was somebody who was actually involved with
- counseling individuals with ID and dementia, which I thought was brilliant. I just still think it is
- 20 brilliant today. And I think that's the kind of thing that I will take back with me to California as
- 21 ideas that I would like to strengthen and promote. So, thank you for Nancy for bringing that up.

22

23

Shahin Shooshtari

- Hello, everyone, Shahin Shooshtari from University of Manitoba, in Winnipeg, Canada. As a
- researcher, Mary McCarron said it well, but I just want to emphasize the importance of
- 26 including people with intellectual disability in large scale, national studies. In Canada, we do
- 27 have the Canadian longitudinal study on aging, but people with intellectual disability are
- 28 excluded. So, when it comes to understanding the experience of people with intellectual
- 29 disabilities aging, that's of no use to us. We have the Canadian survey on disability. It doesn't
- 30 have that much health-related information or things about cognitive functioning. We have the
- Canadian Community Health Survey, which collects a wealth of information on health, but it
- doesn't allow us to identify people with intellectual disability. So, when it when we talk about
- the disconnection of disability and health sectors, that's also very clear in the way that we
- 34 collect data. And I believe it's essential to have the data to understand the aging experience of
- 35 people with intellectual disability. So, it was well said, but I just want to emphasize that.

1	
2	Mary McCarron
3 4 5	I just want to comment and say, we're very happy to share all our experiences, all our materials and support any country in the world that wants to develop a longitudinal study on aging, in comparison to your own studies. So go for it.
6	
7	
8	Kathy Service
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Yeah, hi, it's Kathy Service again, Service. And I just wanted to call a couple notions that are really important towards this conversation, because we were talking about families and siblings, etc. But this whole notion that, you know, I first heard in the UK, in the gerontological, nursing field, and, and of course, we're involved with person centered care, we need to do that. But then what about relationship centered care? And how does that figure in with, you know, supporting people who are living with dementia, and their care partners and everybody in the picture? So, I think it's important, you know, and bringing in the other notions of lifespan and life story, when we're looking at people so, and we're getting back to people, because in terms of doing education with people and supporting people, we need to have this to help guide us in terms of how we work and support people.
20	Karen Watchman
21	Thank you, keep going along your table. Is that Ivan? I can't quite work out who.
22	
23	Ivan
24 25 26 27 28 29	Ivan Brown here, from Toronto. I just want to add to the conversation here, I've heard so many ideas, I wasn't quite sure what to respond to. But I like to add to the conversation point about siblings and how we need to support them. And I like this think of siblings in kind of a broad sense, not just brothers and sisters, but sometimes their nieces and nephews or aunts and uncles and so on, or even nonblood related people. But these kinds of relationships often start in childhood, within a family. And it's really, really important, I think for us as a field to remember that. Brothers and sisters, when they're children, and growing up, are going to form
31	relationships that will last a lifetime. And if those relationships are not ones that are supportive

in childhood, then the likelihood of them being supportive when the person gets older, and

in Toronto here, while I was interviewing people about family quality of life. And she was

develops dementia are probably not going to be there. Many years ago, I interviewed a woman

32

33

- talking about her two daughters, one daughter had an intellectual disability, and the other
- 2 daughter did not, she was a professional woman. And she said, my daughter who is a
- 3 professional, moved to Vancouver on purpose, so she wouldn't have to look after her sister.
- 4 And I found that very, very sad. Because the relationship that woman had with her sister had
- 5 somehow not developed in childhood, and to the point where she actually moved across the
- 6 country to get away from her. And when I look at the literature in our field, I don't see as much
- 7 emphasis as I think we need to put on developing relationships among children, with their
- 8 siblings, which will carry on through life. And when we talk about equity, it's those people who
- 9 are closest who will try to work towards ensuring equity. And a final point on that is sometimes
- in our field, and sometimes governments and so on in our society in general, we assume that
- family members are both capable and willing to be advocates and to provide good care. And
- that's simply not the case. Sometimes people are don't have the skills, they don't have the
- knowledge and they don't have the willingness to do it. And our field, I believe, has not
- addressed that very well. We haven't really understood that some families are not able or
- willing to be advocates and to ensure equity when we assume that they will, and we don't seem
- to have a way to deal with that. So that's all I have to say.
- 17
- 18 Karen Watchman
- 19 Thanks, Ivan. We'll just work down the table, in the order.
- 20
- 21 Alyt Oppewal
- 22 Alyt Oppewal, from Rotterdam, the Netherlands. Linking into the longitudinal studies, in the
- Netherlands, we also have a longitudinal healthy aging study for people with an intellectual
- disability. And also linking into how research can help policy, we also had a good number of
- 25 samples that our research results really got into the policy making in the Netherlands, which
- really helps people with an intellectual disability. So, Mary McCarron raised that, I think that's a
- very important route that we need to think of. And also, with regard to the community of
- 28 communication, and including people with an intellectual disability, in the like the last couple of
- 29 years, we are really including the wishes of people with an intellectual disability, but also their
- 30 whole support network. So, if that's family, siblings, or carers or volunteers, in which issues they
- 31 run into, and which issues they want us to study in our Healthy Aging study. And I think that's a
- very important route to take. So, I totally agree with everybody saying that we really need to
- include the network of people around them. And the same goes for the communication. And
- 34 actually, in the Netherlands, with all our funding schemes, we are now obligated to write a
- 35 piece on how we include people with an intellectual disability themselves and how we
- 36 communicate it back to them as well. So, I think that's a really good development in really
- 37 getting some improvement on that aspect.

1 2 Karen Watchman 3 Absolutely. And that is becoming a funder requirement in a number of places now, which can 4 only be a good thing. 5 6 7 8 Janice 9 Excellent points. I think in BC anyways, we lack the connection between research and policy 10 development, and we certainly could do a whole lot better. I just wanted to pick up on something that Vikram Palanisamy had said. My comment's around structural problems, with 11 respect to the social care system and the health care system. And certainly, the social care 12 13 system has done an exceptionally good job in supporting people, in BC anyways, post 14 deinstitutionalisation. But that system doesn't have the knowledge, at this point and the clinical skills to support people as they age. I think that the system can learn and as long as the system 15 16 can get access and carers can get access to outpatient supports, diagnostic, treatment. But I 17 would absolutely agree, what we don't want to get access to for the people we serve is long 18 term care, or the long-term care system because the healthcare system has not done well in 19 terms of supporting people as they age in that long term care system. And that would really 20 harken back to the institutions from which the people we support came from. So, I couldn't 21 agree more. 22 23 Dawna Mughal 24 I'm Dawna Mughal again. The context of my comment is "communications, communications, 25 communications". Let me tell you about myself. I retired in 2017. But I'm Associate Professor 26 Emerita at a university. And since then, I have taken courses in health communications, media, strategic, public relations, organizational, but not for another PhD. It's just for intellectual 27 28 stimulation, because I believe that intellectual stimulation is good for the brain. And my social 29 network at school is another pillar of brain health. So, it's very important to do that. But going 30 back to my context is communications. Many factors affect communications. And my 31 experience is that we really have to have the ability, as a care provider, to individualize the 32 guideline to that person. If we're talking about person centered care, education is very individualized. You talk about dietary guidelines; those are only guidelines. We modify them to 33

suit the client, according to health goals, cultural preferences, and resources. So, I had the

privilege of counseling family members and people with intellectual disability. And luckily, food is a good language, they may not be able to tell me in complete sentences, but there's I like

34 35

potato, or I like this fruit, they understand food. So really, individualizing the method of 1 communication is so important, I don't care about the guidelines, how I am able to translate 2 3 that to something that is practical and useful to that person at that person's level, I have to have the ability to assess the communication ability of that person and personalize my way, my 4 5 language. And another problem in professional communication is too much gobbledegook. You 6 know, plain language is very important to follow. There are so many resources for writing in 7 plain language. Government language is not plain language. And so, it's very good to translate the professional language that we use to plain language. If you analyze your sentences, you 8 9 subject your sentences, a smog test, I predict that you're using too many words. Too many poly syllables, too many long sentences, right? So, it's very, very important to do that. And language, 10 verbal, nonverbal, my body language, am I smiling at you or with you? That makes a difference. 11 12 My position on my body, am I doing that, or I'm not really paying attention to you? So, it's really very important. My brain is swirling around listening to all the issues here, and I'm trying to 13 14 filter it down to something that will give some meaning to me. That is really bad to the clients 15 and relevant also to my ability. Knowledge is not enough. I can tell you that when you teach 16 care providers, knowledge is not enough. My research on diabetes is on self efficacy, meaning my ability to be able to do something because I'm skill building, makes a difference. So, 17 18 knowledge plus training, education to build the skills for that person to continue the behavior 19 and support along the way, their support system to maintain the behavioral change that 20 occurred is very important, because if you don't support my new behavior, it will decay back to 21 baseline. It's very complicated. Communication is very complicated. I predict that it will be an 22 ongoing discussion, because it's been ongoing for a long, long time. Communications is really a 23 problem. And there's a difference between communication and communications. I learned that 24 in school.

25

26

- Karen Watchman
- And hopefully that's exactly what we'll do tomorrow, which is to distill everything that we're 27 28 talking about today and decide what we're going to do with it. And in what format.

29

30

Lucy Esralew

So hello, again, Lucy Esralew here. So again, I'm coming from the perspective of being a clinical 31 neuropsychologist, who is interested in brain behavior relationship, but also is a family systems 32 33 practitioner. And I wanted to get back to something that Kathy Service said, and something that 34 Ivan said. I loved the fact that you talked about relationship centered care, because for me, the 35 paradigm shift is I think we've kind of gotten a pretty good sense of person-centered care, but we have a less good sense of relationship centered care. And that's what I would like to have as 36 kind of a focus of my activity a little bit more so. And I agree with Ivan, I mean, one of the things 37 38 I've noticed in working with families is sometimes the individual or couple who've been

- caregivers to their child, their adult child with ID and dementia has presumed that another child 1
- or offspring of theirs will take on the care, without having that conversation. You know, and 2
- then when things happen that the family is no longer able to provide care in the same way, as 3
- had been traditionally, it is really a struggle for that child, the adult child who has been basically 4
- 5 elected by default, to take over the care of their sibling with dementia. And those conversations
- 6 and that advanced planning has to happen a lot sooner. I think the same thing happens in the
- 7 general population. I don't think it's specific to the population of individuals with ID and
- dementia, I think that is true generically the case, at least in my experience. So, I'd like to see us 8
- 9 promote advanced planning, in terms of just the family understanding and embracing what it is
- that they're dynamic, in terms of what happens when the caregiving situation changes, because 10
- of the illness or death of the primary caregivers. 11
- Colleen 12

- 13 Hi, everyone, Coleen Hatcher, from the National Down Syndrome Society in the US. I think to
- provide some context and talk a little bit about siblings. First, I have a best friend who I grew up 14
- 15 with who has Down syndrome. She's the same age and was born almost the same time as my
- little sister. So, I grew up with her. I think of her as a sister, but I'm not technically defined as a 16
- 17 sibling. And if you ask Gina, is her name, she'll tell me I'm not her sister. But I think that that
- context is really important, as we talk about this family and not family being necessarily 18
- 19 biological, but this group of people who supports loved ones with Down syndrome or other
- 20 disabilities, because Gina only has one sister and her sister has sort of known her whole life, at
- 21 some point, Gina will become her responsibility. But at the end of the day, I am happy to be
- 22 part of that group that provides care, so it's not on just one person. But I think Lucy, you said it
- really nicely. We have conversations at work with siblings of. How do you have these 23
- conversations, so it's not necessarily just put on a sibling, right? Or if you have four siblings, or 24
- there's four children and one has Down syndrome, how do you have conversations about who 25
- 26 supports what, so it's not just put on one sibling who maybe doesn't want it? And that's fine,
- 27 right? But how do you have those conversations? We are diving, as an organization, a little bit
- more into that sibling space to figure some of that out. So hopefully, we'll have resources for 28
- 29 you all shortly to share with families, on the sibling piece. One other thing I want to talk about
- is, is health care access and equity there. This is not my area of expertise. But as an 30
- 31 organization, we do a lot of work, or have been doing a lot of work recently, to look into the
- Down Syndrome clinics that are available in the United States. The majority of those clinics only 32
- support people with Down Syndrome till either 18 or 21 and then it's sort of like a free for all of 33
- where do you go. And so, I think one conversation that we are having, and that, as a society, we 34 need to continue having, and I'm sure the United States is not the only place where this is
- 36 happening, is how do we provide equitable access to clinicians who understand Down
- 37 Syndrome and aging generally, but also Down syndrome and Alzheimer's disease? A lot of our
- families are coming to us and saying, well, we went to a neurologist, but the neurologist doesn't 38
- understand or the neurologist says that my 25-year-old son has Alzheimer's and giving them 39
- 40 that diagnosis because the doctor doesn't understand that it will talk about co-occurring

- 1 conditions, right, but any of the other pieces that could be going into some of that regressive
- 2 behavior. And so, I think that there's that conversation that needs to continue to happen is how
- do we take all the minds in this room and share them with the clinicians on the ground who
- 4 maybe don't focus on the IDD community, but are seeing patients with IDD, so that everybody
- 5 has access to good health care.

6

- 7 Karen Watchman
- 8 Thank you, I think is that Leslie along the table? And then Seth and Brianne.

9

- 10 Leslie
- 11 I just wanted to echo something about relationships. But, you know, I see a lot of people that
- really are not known to the system. I mean, I'll see people in my neighborhood all the time and
- etc. So, what about people who don't have any kin, kinship, and how do we support those
- people where they are? I mean, they come into the system when they go into the hospital and
- then you know, in the States, there's this, this system called PASA, where they get assessed
- whether they have an intellectual or developmental disability, and then they become known to
- us. And neighbors and friends help to support a lot of people. And then what do we do about
- that? And then going in another direction, is looking at paid providers, people who provide
- direct support, get paid terribly. And so, we're always struggling, that even we're trying to
- 20 provide supports for people, whether it be in group homes, or helping families and other
- 21 people, people who are in shared living, etc., getting support for respite and stuff like that, you
- cannot find staff. Many of the staff are marginalized themselves and work two and three jobs.
- 23 So how can we address that and in terms of our conversations, that people deserve to have
- trained care providers, we provide all the care, but there's always a turnover of staff, you can
- 25 train one person, and then they're gone. So how do how do we understand those kinds of
- 26 things? I think we need to be able to kind of call attention to people who provide hands on
- support. How can they get compensated decently and be able to provide the kind of care that
- any of us want for any of our family members, and people and friends in our community? I
- 29 think notions like that, that we, you know, it's a big like, the monkey on the person's back. We
- don't talk about that. I mean, we always talk about it, but it's, it's just overwhelming, because
- even in terms of equity, how can we keep people in homes and etc.

- 33 Seth Keller
- I just want to add to a comment of the drivers of care that are different from one country to
- another country. In the United States, it's for profit. I mean, the economics that drive care for
- me as a neurologist, my own practice, is money, it's really very money based. I will see a

patient, that I can say make money, but that's kind of really how it is. My practices survive as a, 1 in my private practice, it's the economics. So, for me to even see a lot of people with 2 developmental disabilities, unfortunately, it's like a money loser based on the complexities and 3 time and their insurance that they have. So that's one thing about what drives a good quality 4 5 care in the United States. And I use the United States as an example, because a lot of you are 6 not for the United States, or you have your own issue or in good things that might be more 7 socialized systems of care, which is very different. When you also then look at long term care, 8 or actually what happens to individuals when they age and they need more long-term care 9 supports, live at home and bring in more care supports. But again, in the United States, we have a cottage industry in the United States, that's popping up every corner, of units that are 10 basically meant for assisted care, long term care, and it's these business models that actually 11 are pushing where the future of long-term care should be. And does that mean that that's 12 right? Does that mean that's wrong? So, I think there's the driver that really pushes the reality, 13 14 sort of realities of where people want to be. And then also, from a cultural standpoint, what 15 does that mean for us as people, so like, for me, Oh, I don't want to, I'm growing up, and I don't want to have my mother and father and care how to care for them. And I'm not going to say I'm 16 17 going to move 1000s of miles away. But that's an expectation that a lot of people are going to have. And then there's, of course, different cultures of your nationality, and what culture you 18 19 have as an individual, and what's going to drive it. So, these nuances, you know, obviously make a difference. It's certainly not a black and white issue. So that's kind of why when we think 20 21 about these, it's interesting to kind of pare down and think this through and what's right or 22 wrong, you know. And I would never want to be very judgmental. So, you know, when I say to a 23 family member, that, Oh, I don't want to bring care supports into my home, aging in place and I'm really looking to get them out into a care facility. And I'm thinking like, what do I think is 24 right? And try not to be judgmental to them and say, what would I think for them, and I don't 25 want to do that. It's also very important to be very broad and open minded about how I think, 26 or we should think about what the so-called right thing is for people. 27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34 35

36

37

38

39

Brianne

I just wanted to touch a little more on the family systems and the sibling relationships. I think one thing that is, in the province of British Columbia and Canada that is getting a bit of momentum is around better advanced care planning, because I think those are good opportunities to start early. We hear a lot from the family networks that drive actually a lot of the systems in our province that they want to plan not when they're older, but when they're young, which means that their child is probably in their 20s or their 30s. So, I think using advanced care planning and there's an advanced care planning framework in Canada, which targets legal, financial and health. And so similar to any of us, as we're also involved in our own planning, I think the equitability of looking at those models is a valuable way to sort of start planning earlier. And particularly if we're talking about someone with Down syndrome, we

- 1 know that the odds of them aging younger are very high. So, what we can do earlier on to
- 2 address some of the changes that we know are going to happen, is something that could be a
- 3 valuable approach, I think, as we learn more. The second thing I wanted to touch on is what
- 4 Vikram said before the break. I liked what you said about reasonable adjustments. I think what
- 5 we see a little bit in British Columbia is we do have some policy. And it's more around, it's kind
- of guidelines, and they're meant to be the roles and responsibilities between our health sector
- 7 and our community living sector. And I have to say, they've probably created more conflict than
- 8 they have actual support between the two. So, I think a good sort of ground, in the middle,
- 9 would be something related to reasonable adjustments, because I think that's where a lot of
- the conflict is happening right now. Sort of, whose role is what? Anyway, so I just appreciate
- that comment. I think it's something to explore a little bit more.

12

- 13 Karen Watchman
- 14 Yeah, I think so too. Thank you, Mary and then Lucy Esralew.

- 16 Mary McCarron
- 17 Just to comment again on the sibling carers and Ivan, what you have said. One question we are
- asking is, where does the locus of care responsibility lie? I mean, that's the fundamental
- 19 question that we need to consider. And from our work with family carers and sibling carers, like
- 20 many siblings in Ireland are working, they have their own children, mortgages and property,
- and everything else is extremely expensive, so it's often not realistic. I do think there has to be a
- conversation around that. We have developed, as people can access, a future planning tool,
- 23 which is very accessible, it's on the site. And there's also because we also have advanced care
- 24 planning tool, which is kind of a little bit different, because it more deals with people maybe
- 25 who are new and at a more advanced stage of their lives or just future planning tool is much
- 26 more geared for people to discuss what's about to happen. And in that way, it brings in, who is
- 27 their extended friends and friendships that they want to be involved in their lives. So, they're all
- free, they're accessible. And you can download both of those sets of tools from the website.
- 29 And then just come back to Karen's point, I think one of the most fundamental things, and I
- 30 know we've had a discussion in the center around this, is relationship-based care and person-
- 31 centered care. I think one of the most fundamental human rights, and I know we can differ
- 32 about this is the right of human security. And people very often working in, living in these care
- 33 settings, have a constant movement of staff. So tomorrow, they wake up and there's a different
- person looking after them, there's a different person there than was there the previous week,
- and different expectations. People are struggling with memory issues, or even without memory
- issues, and they have a disability in care. This is a fundamental issue is the constant movement
- of staff into people's lives and out of people's lives. And I don't know what we need to do at the
- 38 system level, to address that issue, and keep people because I do think it impacts hugely. And

- any of us that have anyone who was ever in care, oftentimes, were going in thinking, Oh, I
- wonder what staff is on? I went into a fantastic care setting that we have developed, that I'm
- 3 supporting, and out of nine staff on duty, there was two permanent staff and seven agency.
- 4 And that has major impact on the lives of the people living there. And we can do all the training
- 5 we like but it's a revolving door in terms of training.

6

7

Lucy Esralew

- 8 I wanted to comment on something that you just said, and then kind of go back to something
- 9 else I was thinking about. And what occurs to me, Mary is this idea of equity for staff who are
- carers. And that kind of goes back to what Kathy Service said, until we have that moral
- compass, thank you for introducing that into the discussion, in which we value individuals who
- provide supports and care and show that value by paying them a living wage. Now I'm talking
- about the United States, I don't know what the Canadian situation is, although I can't imagine
- it's much different. And so until we do that, and we have equity for those individuals who are
- their formal caregivers, not family or you know, caregivers or until we kind of recompense
- family members so that they are not burdened with the care financially of individuals with
- dementia, then we're going to, you know, be missing a very important piece of that stabilization
- and safety for all concerned in this equation. Then the other thing, you know, just kind of
- thinking out loud about this is, I think one of the equity issues is, at least in the States, we are
- very confused about what dementia is. Is it a medical condition? Is it a psychiatric condition? Is
- 21 it a social or a disability issue? And depending on how we define what dementia is, will
- determine what kind of services get pulled in, or even who the person sees, who will eventually
- 23 give a diagnosis or determination that they meet criteria. So, it was also kind of that arcs back
- 24 to what Colleen was talking about in terms of, I think educating the practitioner, the primary
- care physician, most of the people that I work with, are not going to go to a psychiatrist, they're
- 26 not going to go to a neurologist, they're going to go to their family care practitioner. And to the
- extent that that practitioner knows, or does not know, what they're looking at, that person may
- or may not get a diagnosis or be diagnosed with having one or another neurocognitive disorder.
- 29 And then, Seth had mentioned earlier about equity for the therapeutics, that NTG is working
- 30 very hard, in terms of making sure that individuals with ID have access to these therapeutics, if
- and when that would be available to them in some way. The problem is, we need to determine
- 32 who has mild cognitive impairment. I have never, in my multiple decades of practice, seen
- anybody with ID with an MCI diagnosis. Never. I mean, I've given that as a diagnosis, but I have
- not seen that given by anybody else. So, this idea of just how do you access something when
- we're not even talking about things in the same language? We don't have a common way of
- referring to whatever it is that we want to access. So again, are we medicalizing dementia? Is it
- a medical condition? It's in the DSM-5 and the DM-ID-2, is it a psychiatric condition? We're very
- ambivalent, I think about how we understand what we're even talking about with regards to
- dementia, and I think we have to come to some better consensus, if we're going to really have

- some equity around whatever services can be developed to support individuals with ID and
- 2 dementia.

3

- 4 Karen Watchman
- 5 Thank you, Phil. And then Leslie.

6

7 Phil McCallion

I want to comment on several things. I want to comment, first of all, on the sibling issue. And, I 8 9 was struck by Ivan, when you told your story that simply because that sibling had moved away, 10 does not mean that that person may not at a future time, be the caregiver. And it's a reality of our world, that no matter what we do, we still fundamentally place responsibility on families. 11 12 And because so many people are not known to the service system, and it's very hard to get into 13 services when you're not already part of those systems, that that's the reality that happens. The 14 fastest growth that we see in family caregiving is in long distance caregiving. I always think that 15 we have a choice when we see those things, we can rail against it or we can look at, okay, if this 16 is the reality, how do we better support that? I'm struck the same way in terms of direct care 17 staff. I ran an agency 40 years ago. The things that people are talking about today, we talked 18 about 40 years ago, nothing has really changed. Well, some things have changed. There are other countries that pay their direct care staff a lot better than is true, certainly in the United 19 20 States. And for a long time, those countries seem to have a much more stable workforce. But over time, what I'm seeing, when I look at the data, is that they are also experiencing the same 21 issues with turnover. Perhaps not as great an extent as some parts of the United States, but it's 22 happening. The other thing that I find really interesting, and this is more anecdotal than firm 23 24 data, is that post COVID, a lot of salaries in areas like direct care came up substantially. And so iust even talking to my own students, who most of my students work, and many of them work 25 full time. And they were the group that you talked about as having two to three jobs. Post 26 COVID, they didn't need to have two to three jobs, because hourly rates went up so much, so 27 28 the job they gave up was the direct care job. When you look at all of the research around how we support staff and care positions, the staff themselves say yeah, I'd like to be paid more, but 29 that's not the real issue. And I think there's a fundamental issue as to whether or not we can 30 solve the turnover in direct care, because none of the things that we've thrown at it have 31 worked. As I said, I remember what it was like 40 years ago, it's still the same today, in many, 32 33 many ways. So, the definition of madness is we do the same thing over and over again and 34 expecting a different result. As we think about the organization of long-term care, not just for people with intellectual disabilities and dementia, but everyone, are we continuing to do the 35 same things over and over again, argue for the same solutions, and then get the same results? 36 37 And then the last thing is, again, future planning has been something we have talked about in 38 this field for a very, very long time. There are two things that happen. One, most people don't

participate. If you look at the published studies, they're always based on very small numbers. 1 And that's true too in the general population, we don't get a lot of people participating. But 2 then the other piece is, what happens after you've developed your plan? Is it actually possible 3 to implement the plan? My favorite story is a story from my own family, I have a cousin with 4 5 Down syndrome, she's the same age as I am, and her father thought that he had it all worked 6 out. What he did was, and remember, this is like going back a very, very long time ago, without 7 acknowledging my age. And he said, Okay, he told his other two daughters, whichever one of 8 you will assume the responsibility to care, I'll leave the house to you. Okay, and that's what he 9 did, with the best of intentions. And in his lifetime, he came to the realization that both of his other daughters got married, both of his other daughters, who were working together with 10 their spouses, bought their own houses, bigger houses and better houses than his. But the most 11 12 important thing that happened, was that his daughter with Down syndrome turned around to her two sisters and said, "This is my house, and when you move in here, I'm the boss". And so, 13 14 if we form future plans, will the future plans be realized? And there is a skepticism among 15 families. And the denial sometimes, of the intent of a sibling to say, I'm going to provide care, is 16 because it's actually quite functional and I don't have to do it right now. I'm not going to deal with that right now. But when the time comes, it turns out that many of them will. But the real 17 18 concern is that there just aren't that many siblings anymore. The literature on caregiving talks 19 about that there's always one family member, there's they're the one that you always turn to in 20 the family, when there's a crisis, and they'll take care of it, and that's the person that will become the caregiver. Well, that kind of thinking works when there's four to seven siblings, as 21 22 some of us experienced growing up in our lives. When it's just you, it's the luck of the draw, 23 whether you're that person who can be the scheme. So, i'm going to argue again that we need to be thinking about new and different models and not trying to fix things that don't work.

25

26

24

- Karen Watchman
- Leslie, and then we'll go around the table if we can, but keep it really, really tight, because of 27 28 the time.

- 30 Leslie
- Quick story. Lucy, I actually supported a lady who received a diagnosis of mild cognitive 31 impairment. But I'm going to say that there are a whole lot of healthcare practitioners out there 32 33 who don't even understand what MCI is. And so, they said she has mild cognitive impairment, 34 which I agreed with, and I know everything, right? But then they said it's dementia. And I had 35 such a time trying to work through this, like, no, this isn't a type of dementia. It may always remain stable, it may eventually progress, but it's not a diagnosis of dementia right now. So, 36 37 getting somebody diagnosed with mild cognitive impairment was not a helpful process. The 38 other piece I just want to speak to very quickly, when I talk about relationships, I think that

organizations, in particular, suck totally at recognizing the importance of peer relationships for 1 2 the people that they provide supports to. And there's such a discontinuity, and people get 3 moved and shifted, and there's never a thought about who gets left behind and how do we 4 maintain connections and relationships? And I think that peers are such a significant piece for 5 advocacy for dealing with grief and loss, for sharing historical perspective, and organizations 6 decimate those relationships. 7 8 Karen Watchman 9 Thank you. Is it Ivan that's along? No? I can't see. One minute. 10 Alyt Oppewal 11 12 Hi, I just want to go back to what Lucy alluded to earlier on. So, I work with the National 13 Intellectual Disability Memory Service in Dublin, Ireland, which was set up in 2020. And Lucy 14 just alluded to the fact that often GP is the first point of contact. And GPs, I find, are very 15 reluctant to diagnose dementia in people with intellectual disability, they're afraid. So, what 16 we're doing now is we're supporting GPs, we meet with them, we help them and look at their 17 neuro imaging, look at supports that they can provide for people with intellectual disability. 18 And this is working well. We are also diagnosing MCI earlier on. And at that point in time, we're 19 drip-feeding information to family members and staff members about what's coming down the 20 road. We're providing information to services, we're providing information to families, and 21 we're also providing easy read information to people with, perhaps the diagnosis of MCI, which has been made a consensus diagnosis, and allowing them to read around what MCI means for 22 them? 23 24 25 Karen Watchman 26 Thank you. And we've got two more cards up. So, one minute each. 27 Arianna Esposito 28 29 Hi, Arianna Esposito, Autism Speaks U.S. I just wanted to go back and comment on the piece 30 about the adult service providers and the lack of equity that really exists, especially in the US 31 system, where you have mostly a well resourced, in comparison to the adult service system,

and usually highly trained professionals. And you enter the world of adult services, and the cliff

is there, and it's called a cliff for a reason. Not just because funding changes, but really from the

staff perspective. And it really has me kind of thinking about, okay, to the point that was raised

32 33

34

40 | Page

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	earlier about, well, we've looked at increasing wages, and the turnover is still there. And part of me thinks when you're looking at profession, and I used to do this before joining Autism Speaks was training future behavior technicians at the undergraduate and graduate level. And there's no sense of professionalization in adult services that exists in younger, in school aged, K to 12 in the US, or 0 to 21. And part of me thinks, if we look at are there other models, other types of training models that we can learn? Perhaps it's not in special needs or disabilities at all, that we could think about can we take any of the successful findings that exist out there and apply it within this population? I think there's a, speaking for the U.S., there's a de-incentivizing about solving this piece, because the more professionalization and the higher rates, the higher hourly rates, which put pressure on an already incredibly strange service system, than the public service system. And then the other piece just about looking at successful models overall, trying to solve some of these larger problems, that often we couldn't look to whether it's another disability category or perhaps another industry and see those well paved paths. And straightening out to Kathy's point earlier about increasing the pie, are there other avenues that we can really learn from here and apply?
16	
17	Karen Watchman
18	Brilliant, thank you. Last word from Donna.
19	
20	Dawna Mughal
2122232425	Yeah, one sentence. This model emerged in my head while listening to you. And that is research, education practice, and public policy and digitalization are all interrelated. So, we identify the issues under each category, they're all interrelated. So, organizing the stuff I heard today into this model. To repeat, I hear research, education, practice, and legislation. I was told before that if it's not legislated, it may not happen.
26	
27	Karen Watchman
28	Thank you all very much. And beat that for timing, Hey Sandy?
29	
30	Nancy Jokinen
31 32 33 34	Well, that was most interesting. And I have been writing away like crazy during this whole discussion. So, I want to thank Karen and group one members for a very interesting conversation. And, of course, to all of you for contributing your thoughts and ideas. Stay tuned to group one, topic one tomorrow, for a synopsis and moving forward, on our agenda. We're

going to move on now, I think I'm looking at the co-leads. Phil McCallion and Mary McCarron

will do on topic two, which, if I'm not mistaken, is brain health and risk reduction. So, thank you

3 again, Karen.

4

5

Phil McCallion

6 I thought it was a great discussion and a great presentation, I want to thank the organizers, 7 again. I will admit that I missed some of the organizing meetings, so the rest of the committee 8 should take more credit for the program than I should. But I also want to really recognize our 9 committee. I put everyone's names up here. There were two things that we tried to do. We certainly tried, in our meeting, to really discuss all of the issues. But the other thing that in our 10 background paper that we tried to do, was to reflect a variety of literatures. A variety in terms 11 12 of disciplines, but also a variety in terms of countries. And so, I really appreciate the work that the committee did, in in bringing those materials together. Mary and I are co-leading. Mary said 13 I had to present because she's never seen me present before with a set of slides that were 14 developed a week ago. Usually, my thoughts have moved way on, but I'm going to try to be 15 good. I'm going to start off that as we think about these issues of prevention and risk reduction. 16 17 We're a field that started with a medical model, and we're still very influenced by medical models. So, when we think about this in a medical model way, we tend to think about, okay, we 18 know things work. As Donna said to me at one point, what you should do and what you 19 shouldn't do. And we've got the research evidence for it, and we lay that out. But we tend to do 20 21 those studies in very controlled situations, then we think that these things will then move out beyond the clinical setting, and they'll be equally effective. Kate Lorig, who developed the 22 23 Chronic Disease Self Management program, talks about that we act as if either the people will 24 just believe us and do it. Or we start acting as if what we should do is that we should be 25 standing next to you in the kitchen and in your bathroom, and sort of telling you what to do. 26 And clearly, we can't do that. So, we need to move forward in a different way. And so instead, 27 the way in which we advance brain health, the way in which we advance, a risk reduction is 28 really about turning this into something that just becomes part of life. I know, the idea that I'm 29 going to have to give up all these things is never great. However, I was really pleased to see 30 and, I think this was Dawna's influence, I found in my bag dark chocolate, acai and blueberry. 31 Thank you, Dawna. So that actually is not too bad in terms of if that's all I'm allowed to eat. But for people with intellectual disabilities and dementia, started to think about how we move this. 32 But when we think about it from a population perspective, we're not just talking about when 33 you're 40, 50, 60, we're talking about decisions that are made over a lifespan. When Mary and I 34 35 were talking, as we were preparing this presentation, she was talking to me about someone that she works with who talked about that, in many ways, what you end up eating, particularly 36 as a person with an intellectual disability, when other people are preparing food and giving you 37 food, is it's probably decided by the time you're two and a half. And then, we rely so much 38 upon food as a motivator, as a reward, that we build up these habits that then become lifetime 39

habits. And so, we can think about that for people with intellectual disabilities. But probably, 1 this has worked the same for most of us. So then, when we start thinking about the 2 determinants of health, of brain health across the life course, and this is from the World Health 3 Organization, we think about modifiable and non modifiable issues. But an awful lot of the 4 5 modifiable issues are not necessarily issues that are under our own control. So really, if we're 6 interested in brain health and the reduction of risk, we probably should be climate change 7 warriors. Because that's going to really influence things. We should be talking about pollutants. 8 We should be talking about the management of our communities to be walkable communities. These are kinds of things that will really influence, without saying to us, you 'should', or you 9 'shouldn't'. Suddenly, you're in a walkable community and you're able to walk around. Several 10 people asked me about things I like about being at Temple University, and it's an urban campus. 11 12 And I always say that when I arrive on campus, I'm guaranteed 5000 steps, whether I want to do it or not, because that's the way life is there to get around the campus. So, to me, that's sort 13 14 of the ways in which modifiable factors can be modified. But you know what, then there's the 15 other things that you do. And so, Mary's a great cyclist, and even Mary admits to me that there are some mornings she gets up in the morning and she's like, "it's too cold", or "it's too wet, I'm 16 not going to cycle this morning". Then she tells herself, "Yes, but here are all the reasons why I 17 should do it" and she gets out on the bike. The 'Yes, but' conversation doesn't happen for many 18 19 people with intellectual disabilities. They're going out to walk or they're going out to cycle or whatever, because someone is telling them that they have to do it. And that's the Kate Lorig 20 model, that somebody stands behind you and tells you that you have to do it. And if it doesn't 21 22 work for the general population, it doesn't work for people with intellectual disabilities. And 23 then there is that idea again about when does this need to start? For none of us, should it be discovered when we're age 60 and we're getting ready to retire and it's like, Oh, I've lived this 24 great life. I've really, really enjoyed all these things but now I'm going to give them up. That 25 doesn't work. So, how does this then become part of what we're doing? But when it's not part 26 27 of what I understand to be of value to me, but somebody else, it makes for not a particularly 28 nice life, to be required to do these things. That's why I liked the dark chocolate and acai and blueberry, because that seemed nice. As we talked, we were very influenced by something that 29 30 was put out by the Lancet, talking about that, beyond dementia, risk reduction, a consensus 31 statement on brain health, that it really is about a life course perspective, that it's more than 32 just dementia. We should be really looking at how we integrate the strategies that we want for 33 brain health, with the strategies that we want just for good health, the strategies that we want for a good quality of life, and that all of those things then contribute. And then we start 34 35 addressing some of those risk factors. But again, the Lancet report talked about, that addressing the risk factors may prevent or delay up to 40% of dementia cases. But how many of 36 37 those things does an individual have an opportunity to directly modify themselves? So again, it 38 sort of takes this much more holistic approach, it takes this more organizational systems, or as Dawna said, structures. All of these things come into play as things that we need to influence, if 39 we're going to improve brain health and reduce risk. We looked at, I pulled together from all 40 41 the literature that people had sent to me, I looked at everybody's list. What are the things that

are demonstrated to make a difference? I know I'm going to put this up, and somebody's going 1 to say, "well, what about..." and they didn't make the list, but they are all important. But there 2 are some things that met a lot of people's lists. Mental stimulation, you know, there's a science 3 behind that, in terms of connections between nerve cells, and perhaps even to help the brain 4 5 generate new cells. We talked a lot about plasticity. We talked about the building of reserves. 6 And so, it seems like pretty straightforward things - reading, taking courses, word puzzles, math 7 problems, and also a manual dexterity aspect to this as well. So, when I did presentations at 8 senior centers in the United States, I'm talking about dementia issues, one of the things that 9 people always ask me is, well, what can we do? What can we do to avoid it? And so, I would encourage, particularly these mental stimulation aspects, because they don't want to hear 10 about modifying their diet or walking more. But again, it's that idea that if I explain it, and I'm 11 pretty upfront and honest, about the amount of evidence there is around these things, people 12 want to do something. They want to feel some agency in the midst of this, and so many people 13 14 will do these things. Again, for not all, but for many people with an intellectual disability, 15 there's not necessarily that commitment to attending that kind of agency, or even to understanding what that agency is. So how do you create a situation where people will increase 16 17 the things that they're doing, that contribute to mental stimulation, without it being you 18 'should' or you 'should not'? I think actually, in the area of mental stimulation, at the end of the day, it's often about habits that people have developed. And so, for many people with 19 20 intellectual disabilities, that's probably the easiest one to do, is it's about encouraging people to continue to do things that they've always done, figuring out what adaptations that you can 21 22 make. But we also have examples, and one of the articles that we cited was Eimear 23 McGlinchey's article were sort of purposeful mental stimulation interventions, people with intellectual disabilities will participate in those and more importantly, will get benefit from 24 them. So that encourages us to think about those things. Physical exercise, again, all kinds of 25 things, but we move into physical exercise, and we move into improving diet. One of the things 26 27 that we talked about was that here are multiple benefits from that. There are benefits in terms 28 of reduction of other health conditions that potentially increase risk for dementia. And so, thinking about how these things then, the increases in physical activity, improvements in diet. I 29 30 always think of it like, you know, people say eating a healthy diet. I remember, one of our local 31 TV stations did a piece about, they went into, I don't know if people have a TGIFs. Okay, and 32 they went in there and they had the fried onion bloom and they walked around the tables and 33 they talked to people about how many calories that represented. Until they got to this one guy, and he looked at them, and he said, "Yeah, you're so right. The only reason I ordered that was 34 because I thought it was the healthiest item on the on the menu". Like sometimes we just like 35 those things, but we know that there are things that will really be of benefit. Blood Pressure. 36 37 Clearly, the management of blood pressure makes a difference not only for cognitive decline 38 but makes a difference for risk of heart disease. The data from IDS-TILDA doesn't support that there is as higher rate of blood pressure or as higher rate of cardiovascular disease in people 39 with intellectual disabilities. But there is a percentage to do. And so, perhaps if it's not showing 40 41 up in that way, perhaps it contributes to cognitive decline as well. Diabetes, and really just the

improvement of blood sugar is also one of the ones that's very high. The improvement in your 1 cholesterol. There has been so much work done on cholesterol, so many things supposedly 2 demonstrated in terms of cholesterol, so much evidence then overturned on cholesterol. But 3 on balance, it's still an issue to be followed. Some of the guidances that we reviewed, also 4 5 talked about the use of low dose aspirin. With the physicians in the house, let me say you 6 should not do that without consulting with your physician. But we have such an emphasis now, 7 and Mary, we've contributed to this. We have such an emphasis now on reducing polypharmacy. Are we depriving people of potentially beneficial things, because say, we don't 8 identify a disease specific treatment option? And so, I get to decide, do I want my vitamin E? Do 9 I want my low dose aspirin? You know, there's all manner of things that I'm often encouraged 10 to try. And very quickly, you could be up over five of those things and people who say, "Well, 11 that's polypharmacy", and you combine it with medications that you're taking, well, that's 12 13 hyper polypharmacy. But yet some of these things are therapeutic. So, I always think we also have to think about what are the purposes of things. Avoiding tobacco and avoiding alcohol are 14 two other things. There are lower rates, for most people with an intellectual disability, but that 15 doesn't mean to say we shouldn't be paying attention to them. I'm always a little concerned 16 when they say, "no more than two drinks", but I'm doing my best. But then, caring for your 17 emotions, the importance of the potential contributions of anxiety, depression, sleep 18 19 deprivation. But to what extent is that about scoring poorly on tests, versus actually representing cognitive decline? And so, I don't think we've done enough in terms of looking at 20 21 that. Protecting your head. I think we have a much higher appreciation of this because of what's 22 happening with sports celebrities, in later life. But you don't have to be a running back to have 23 lots of head injuries, including head injuries that may not have a diagnosed concussion that can increase the risk of cognitive impairment. To what extent are we really monitoring for that and 24 encouraging that? To what extent are we accepting that people who have gait problems just 25 fall? And then, the whole issue of building social networks, and I think that, the benefits of 26 27 building social networks are really well demonstrated. But as has been said already, the 28 numbers of people that a person with an intellectual disability has within their social network is compromised because they don't have children, they don't have spouses. They may not have a 29 30 lot of contact with other family members. But if we are devaluing their peer relationships, as 31 someone talked about, if we're devaluing their relationships with staff, we're actually 32 interfering with social networks that may be important for brain health. So, some of the other 33 modifiable factors, it was interesting to me that they didn't always make all of the lists, were maintaining a healthy weight. And our definitions of a healthy weight have changed over time. 34 But actually, we're still talking about the general population, what is a healthy weight for a 35 person with an intellectual disability? Particularly when we're seeing lower rates of 36 37 cardiovascular disease, even though we may see higher rates of overweight and obesity. 38 Treating hearing problems is an issue that we are talking about a lot for the general population. Several studies came out recently that are talking about how critical that is, and how many 39 people with an intellectual disability are receiving regular hearing screenings? I can personally 40 vouch for that as you get older, your hearing gets worse. And why isn't that true for people with 41

intellectual disability? Simply because they had a hearing screening 10 years ago, that is not 1 speaking to their hearing today. And then this issue, again, of sleeping well. There was a study 2 done in the U.S., they convened the consensus panel, and they find that there was encouraging, 3 but inconclusive evidence for three types of behavioral changes: increase in physical activity, 4 5 better blood pressure control, and the impact of cognitive training. Encouraging, but 6 inconclusive. So, some of that is about there just haven't been enough studies done, to be able 7 to say conclusively that something works, there's definitely a lot to be said for doing things that at least have good evidence, even if it's not... I remember once, for an intervention that I was 8 9 working on, talking with someone from the Centers for Disease Control. And a new study had come out on that particular intervention. It was the 32nd study that said that there were 10 positive effects. And I said to her, so what do you think that means? And she said, there's 32 11 studies. Now, she still wasn't ready to say, there was convincing evidence. When we have a 12 population that is excluded from a lot of studies, and we're struggling to get them included, 13 14 how many studies does it take for us to decide that this is an evidence-based intervention, and we should try it, particularly something that doesn't do harm? We had some discussion already 15 today about MCI and both its measurement and sort of what it means. And so, some of the 16 more recent studies are really looking, as is true for the general population, been looking at 17 18 MCI and really sort of looking, for example, at the impact of physical activity in the conversion 19 from MCI to dementia. But even there, they, take this systematic review that I mentioned here, 20 they said that their recommendations were based on a very low or low certainty of evidence. So, I think that as we present some of the interventions that are likely to reduce risk, we're 21 22 often working with things that don't have a lot of evidence. Nevertheless, things are changing. 23 There's a piece that came out this year, on the memory clinic as a future. And you can see here that what they now see as one of the pillars for memory clinics, is the building of cognitive 24 enhancement. For people with intellectual and developmental disabilities. I looked for a study 25 that had more than 36 subjects... 36 subjects is my favorite, because there was a study on the 26 27 effects of dark chocolate. And people came up to me and they said, "This looks really good, this 28 must be the answer". And I was like, okay, there were 36 subjects in it. And I said, and who funded the study? Nestle. But the statistics are very good. So, this is a cross sectional, 29 30 multicenter study that was completed in Japan with 1831 participants. We've talked already 31 about the importance of cross-national studies or cross center studies, which give us evidence 32 that we can utilize. So, hypertension, depression, head trauma and stroke, all associated with 33 the risk. Although diabetes and hearing disorders were clearly identified as risks in the general population, they didn't find that they were risks for people with ID. Higher baseline severity of 34 35 ID may increase the risk. But actually, if we prevented head trauma and stroke, if we treated hypertension and depression, they concluded we probably could reduce that risk. So, these are 36 37 interventions that will work for every person, potentially, with an intellectual disability. Now, of 38 course, we always have to talk about the limitations of studies. This was facility based, so it was not a community-based sample. Nobody smoked, nobody drank alcohol, which I thought we're 39 guilty everywhere of this, they all at the same thing. It really helps you to control for outliers, 40 41 but it speaks to not a great variety in people's lives. And then there was also this concern that

hearing loss was not well screened. So, they couldn't really conclude that hearing loss didn't 1 work. So again, because we were really anxious to include studies from a variety of countries, I 2 was really pleased that we had come across this study from Carmeli and Imam. I know Carmeli 3 quite well. In talking about health promotion and disease prevention and intellectual and 4 5 developmental disabilities in general, and again, we're thinking about this from a lifetime 6 perspective, their review really found that it was about attending to cardiovascular and respiratory concerns, addressing digestive problems, preventing falls, more focused on primary 7 prevention, physical activity, improve nutrition. But they pointed out that interventions require 8 9 reinforcing factors and joint effort among health professionals, organizations, policymakers, volunteers, community leaders. Brain Health is not going to happen unless we're all working 10 together to make this happen. Again, just want to highlight the study by Eimear McGlinchey on 11 12 examining the effects of computerized cognitive training on levels of executive function in adults with Down syndrome. I also think about well what exactly do we mean by brain health? 13 14 What aspects of the brain are we influencing? Eimear targeted executive function, but do we 15 need to be doing more to try to understand what it is that we're actually influencing? And then I just want to bring in self determination, my life by choice, my voice. Self determination, I 16 17 think, as we think about the things that are likely to be influential on brain health and reduction 18 of risks, and again, Mary, and I talked about this quite a bit, that it becomes an excuse for not 19 doing something. We say, well, the person has the ability to say, and they do, that "I don't want to do this". But to me, that's the conversation of you 'should', you 'shouldn't'. And if I say to 20 you, you should or you shouldn't, you get to say back to me, "I don't want to." But it speaks to 21 22 that we need to do so much more, that this becomes something that people want to do for 23 themselves. Okay. So that's kind of what we considered, what you'll see in the background paper, but we have a series of questions. When should brain health programming begin for 24 people with intellectual disabilities? And who should manage it? Are the brain health materials 25 for the general population suitable for people with intellectual disabilities? And if they're not, 26 what do we need to do, what additional is needed? Is a separate, evidence base for people with 27 28 intellectual and developmental disabilities needed on brain health interventions? And what would that look like? And how our autonomy and self determination issues, balanced with 29 30 encouraged brain health, when we know so little about the actual efficacy for people with 31 intellectual disabilities, of the interventions? And in thinking about all of those things, where 32 should the research and the practice agendas begin? I'm going to leave those up and we can 33 start the discussion. I understand this is all being recorded. So, for our group, we will have access to that tape. But nevertheless, I'd ask the members of the committee, if they would also 34 35 just take some notes because I think it will really enrich our discussion afterwards that we capture what is clearly going to be a really great discussion, because there's dark chocolate as a 36 37 reinforcer.

38

39

Mary McCarron

1 Yes, I'm being told. Okay, so great. And thanks, Phillip for that, and I'm going to roll it open for

2 some questions and comments. Great, lovely. Thank you, Lucy.

3

4

Lucy Esralew

5 Yeah. So, thank you very much. I really appreciated the presentation. I thought about a number of things. One of the things I thought about is how do you build the habit of doing those things 6 7 that are not only good for the brain health of individuals with ID, but all of us, essentially? I 8 mean, what you mentioned is not specific to the population of individuals with intellectual 9 disability. So, I'm thinking that it becomes a family affair, of kind of convincing people brain health is something they should be concerned about, from the very beginning. And the same 10 thing is for staff. So, I'll just give you a very brief vignette, when I worked in a developmental 11 12 center in New Jersey, several decades ago, I had a colleague, who was a psychologist, she was my counterpart. I was in the all-female unit; she was in an all-male unit. And every day, she 13 took a walk with individuals who are capable of being outside on the grounds of, we were at 14 North Princeton Developmental Center in New Jersey (in the States)... very lovely grounds. And 15 she took staff with her on that walk. One reason is that she needed to take staff with her for 16 17 supervision purposes. But the other thing is that one of the reasons she had the idea of taking everybody on the walk was she was a walker, that was something that she did on a daily basis 18 as part of her habit and routine. And she got the staff involved. So, it was built into their 19 program day, that every day at a certain time, you could see them circulating around the 20 campus. And they took a very healthy walk. And it was really good for everybody. And one of 21 22 the things that I've done, when I've consulted with group home agencies, etc. I've talked to 23 them about how do you build in some of these activities into the day, which are good for staff, 24 as well as for the individuals with intellectual disability whom they serve? The other thing, and to convince families of course of that. So, we're talking about lifestyle modifications and 25 26 changes. The other thing that I didn't see as much stressed, and maybe it does not appear in the literature, is the value of stress reduction and modifying the level of stress experience. I 27 know that Kathy Service is going to talk about weathering. Yes, you are. So, I'm not going to 28 steal that from her. But this idea of helping people of all ages build skills, in terms of being able 29 to manage stressors and reduce stressors. Two things that are kind of more IDD specific, in my 30 31 thinking, is the high incidence of sleep apnea among individuals who have, particularly Down syndrome. I don't know how much that figures into their overall brain health, how well that has 32 33 been studied? But I do know how difficult it is sometimes to get people to cooperate with the use of a CPAP or other kinds of interventions. And I have to be fully transparent, I have sleep 34 35 apnea. And it took me close to a year to become accustomed to using a CPAP. I had to submit myself to a gradual exposure, desensitization program in order to accept that, and I can imagine 36 how much more difficult it might be for some of the individuals whom we work with and serve. 37 The other thing that occurs to me is among a certain portion of the population, the degree of 38 self injurious behavior that might result in concussion, which might result in head injury. That 39

may not even be acknowledged, reported, investigated, that we know very little about. And what might the cumulative effect, over time be, for such individuals who have that within their behavioral repertoire, that has been very difficult to manage? What is the ultimate outcome, in terms of that for their brain health and their adaptive functioning? Two more things. So, COVID, kind of highlighted for a number of us the issue of social isolation, and the disruption in social networks, and how important consideration of loneliness, and disruption of those connections might be for overall well being. One of the things is to marry this idea of brain health to overall well being, it's not a separate thing. Brain is not separate from rest of the body, in terms of just overall functioning. And so, really are being more cognizant of just the importance of maintaining social connectivity, as people age, we all age, but certainly the people with whom we work. The last thing is really kind of my puzzlement as a neuropsychologist. I'm aware of cognitive training in the general population and I have actually done some cognitive rehab or training for people who have been identified as mildly, intellectually disabled. And I don't know really what that totally looks like, an agenda of mental stimulation or cognitive training. We would talk about executive functioning, which refers to a set of skills. So, are we talking about attention and attention training? Are we talking about working memory and working memory training? Are we talking about problem solving? There are any number of things that kind of go under this general category of executive function. So, I would be really curious if any of our colleagues here have experience with a cognitive training routine, because I personally would be very interested in that, and what's the data to support it? I've spoken enough. Okay.

Mary McCarron

Thank you so much and they are really important points. And just to say, certainly, post COVID in the memory clinic, we've seen a lot of cognitive and social frailty. And I think that is something that we needed to unwrap, was this dementia? Was it cognitive or social frailty? So yes, that is something that we are really interested in. We can certainly come back to you in relation to that. And I must say, in terms of sleep apnea, I just met a lady coming out from the clinic the other day with Down syndrome with our carers. And I said, "Hello, and how are you?" She looked at me and said, "I am wonderful, I got that machine, and I am back to normal" and everyone would probably support that. And this lady had regressed so much, like there's such a problem with both overdiagnosis and underdiagnosis. She had regressed so much that anyone would have sworn that she had dementia. But we decided to look at sleep apnea and do the full diagnostic workup and six months later, she came back a completely different woman. So, I just wanted to highlight that, and we can talk offline a little bit more about that. But it's really timely for me to hand over to my colleague, Dr. Eimear McGlinchey to speak next. And she will probably pick up on some of those points as well that Lucy Esralew has raised. Great, lovely Eimear McGlinchey.

1 Eimear McGlinchey

2 Thanks so much. Lucy, just on your question around executive function and what was measured. So, within that study, it looked at attention working memory inhibition. Now, it was 3 4 Phillip who talked about 36 participants. It wasn't many more, it was 40 participants in that study, so it was a very small sample. And it was a feasibility study. Is computerized cognitive 5 6 training feasible for people with an intellectual disability? And it was, so people enjoyed it, 7 people completed it. It was an eight-week training program, 20 minutes a day, five days a week. Adherence was really good, and people did complete the program. It was a very small sample, 8 9 but there appear to be some benefits in terms of some of the assessments, so inhibition and 10 working memory. We also then looked at do these translate to day-to-day activities? And it didn't, in that sample, but again, it was a small sample in a relatively short time. I know of two 11 other studies looking at cognitive training and intellectual disability, so I can share some of 12 those with you, if that's helpful. In terms of some of the other questions that you put up, Phillip, 13 I think in terms of is, is separate evidence base needed? I think certainly there is. I think even 14 when we talk about the Lancet Commission, and we talk about the 40% modifiable risk factors, 15 there's been some work done on population attributable fractions, with other, say, with low, 16 middle-income countries and the differences that these modifiable risk factors may be within 17 18 different populations. And there may be a focus on different factors depending on the population. I think this work hasn't been done yet in people with an intellectual disability, but I 19 think it's something that would be, knowing what are the areas that will benefit most and will 20 be most helpful to target would be really important. We've recently got a grant from JPND to 21 bring a working group together to develop a framework for multimodal interventions for 22 23 people with an intellectual disability. That is part of the worldwide FINGER study. So, to develop a finger, Down syndrome study. And whenever we're talking about brain health, I think, and as 24 25 my work with Mary McCarron and Phil McCallion in Trinity Center for Aging and Intellectual Disability, I'm also faculty at the Global Brain Health Institute, so I am a real proponent of brain 26 27 health. But I am also always conscious, particularly when we're talking about people with Down syndrome. When we are talking about brain health, research hasn't been done on the effects of 28 brain health, related to cognition, specifically, in people with a genetic risk for Alzheimer's 29 disease. So, either ADAD or DSAD, and I think it's really important that we think of that when 30 we're discussing brain health. I absolutely agree that the benefits of brain health are beyond 31 32 just cognition. So that has benefits around quality of life, many other health benefits and I absolutely agree, it's something that we should work on, work with. But in terms of the 33 34 messaging to people with Down syndrome and to their family members, we're conscious that I think this is a community, that for a long time, have wanted some kind of hope in terms of 35 36 dementia, been aware of this risk of dementia and Down syndrome. And I guess that we're sensitive in what we're saying this will do, if we do exercise, if we do diet, if we do all of these 37 things. We're not saying that you won't get dementia, we're not saying it's going to delay 38 dementia. I think it's just the messaging is important. 39

- 1 Mary McCarron
- 2 Thank you very much, Eimear. And Eimear has eked out on a whole body of work around brain
- 3 health, so we're really pleased to have her leading out on that. So, thank you. Kathy, I think it
- 4 was you that's next?
- 5 Kathy Service
- 6 As a nurse practitioner, I found over the years that no matter, you can write an order, you can
- 7 tell people what the science is, but the reality is how are we going to make it work? And I think
- 8 that that's the other thing, the motivation and working together. Over the years, you can look
- 9 at the person's lifespan and life history, but partnership, how can we work together on it? I
- must admit that the older I get, the more important, the fun factor is, for me, in terms of any of
- these kinds of activities I do, it's important to enjoy them. And that's going to be a motivating,
- not just for myself, I mean, when I get on the treadmill, almost daily, but keeps me going, I
- watch my British and my Polish TV shows. Figuring out these kinds of interventions, partnering
- with people, working together with people, what kinds of things that they want to do. When I
- think about some of the cognitive work but looking at the social aspects and making it again,
- you know, I think a lot of these multimodal kinds of interventions are really the things that work
- for a lot of people. And, of course, stress reduction. I've already talked about weathering and
- allostatic load and there's a researcher in Arizona, Blair Braden, who's working with people with
- autism. And granted, they are people with ID, but she's doing mindfulness with a group of
- women with autism and finding that it's working for them. So, looking at what can we do
- 21 around some of the stress reduction, because as I said, around weathering and allostatic load,
- 22 stress is dangerous for us all. But then, also in the big picture, looking at social determinants of
- health and cultural. My parents, I mean, "eat the fat on your meat, it lubricates your joints".
- 24 That's what I grew up with. So, how do I understand that? What does love and food, and Dawna
- 25 Mughal can attest to, what does all this mean for all of us growing up? And then lastly, this
- 26 notion of well being, and I really want to stress that even when somebody is living with
- dementia, we really need to pay attention to what wellbeing is for people. People can live for
- years with dementia. In fact, there's a lot of people with Down syndrome, because of well being
- 29 and what staff and families do, that have kind of gone off and on, believe it or not, hospice, a
- 30 couple times. So, I think we need to pay attention that just because a person has been
- 31 diagnosed with dementia, that doesn't mean the value of their life is any less. And so, I think
- part of what we need to do is look at either the pillars of health, or what was used in the UIC
- Healthy Brain Initiative, is the Six Pillars of Health. But calling attention to how are we going to
- 34 support these kinds of notion when somebody's living with dementia?
- 36 Mary McCarron

- Can you hear me now? Yeah, perfect, lovely. I'm standing here because I can't see people on
- 38 this end. Please go ahead.

1	
2	Vikram Palanisamy
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Thank you for the presentation. Excellent review. I find, to define all those factors that you described, it's like reading a textbook, summarizing all the things that are available. This is more like questions. You talk about blood pressure, cholesterol, and blood sugar. So, there are two ways to look at it. Right? Keeping it as close to the normal range, and then targeting when they're significantly outside the normal range. From your review, do you find any indicators that this works when your target, high blood sugars, hypertension, which is causing other problems, significantly high cholesterol levels? Or do you find that even, if there are no significant problems, keeping these values close to the normal range prevents dementia?
12	Phil McCallion
13 14 15 16 17 18	I think that in the literature that we reviewed and just from other literatures, it is it is much more about, well, if you're thinking about prevention and reduction of risk, it's much more about general management. But on the other hand, if people have elevated blood pressure, I think clearly that would be a group that you would particularly want to target. But I'm just being a little careful because I'm looking at the physicians in the room and I don't want to step over too many lines. But I think that that really though, that's what the literature is really saying.
20	
21	Mary McCarron
22 23 24 25 26 27	Yeah, and there may be different risk factors as well for people with intellectual disability and for those with Down syndrome. Eimear did a mapping exercise, looking at the IDS-TILDA data, to the general risk factors for the Lancet. And we might show that slide in the afternoon, because that was interesting to just look at the difference in terms of those risk factors. But yeah, I think that that's great. Okay, I think this gentleman down at the end, I can't see the name. Yeah, thank you.
28 29	Ashok Krishnamoorthy
30 31 32 33 34	Thank you. I'm Ashok Krishnamoorthy. I'm a geriatric psychiatrist, I'm a practitioner and I do memory clinic and dementia-related work in the community as well as the hospital. It's very interesting, our overview of this and I just thought I would try to take a little bit of a population based view to start with what we need to address, whether the brain health recommendations need to be different, compared to the general population. It's good to start with what applies to the general population should also apply to intellectually disabled population. Apart from the

fact that there is another layer of specific risk factors, which are more closely related to the 1 2 broader Down syndrome and intellectually disabled population. I was thinking in terms of 3 differentiating between brain health, where we talk about a population-based brain health recommendations, which includes avoiding concussions, protecting your brain. And in that line 4 5 of thinking, stress, and related oxidative distress to the brain is a significant factor, which is not 6 often talked about. I'm sure that there is evidence which you can highlight into that as well. And 7 then if you funnel that a little bit into a risk factor, risk prediction model, then we need to be 8 more specific in terms of the current longitudinal cohort studies across the world, in at least 9 two sites, which we have learned now, Ireland and Netherlands, as to which of those risk factor reduction models talks about? In the general population, dementia, there are studies that are 10 machine learning models, which automatically would start doing prediction towards dementia. 11 12 If you want to push it a little bit, we should probably go further and talk, or at least make a reference into the machine learning artificial intelligence models as well. There are certain 13 14 other basic elements, which have been highlighted, I really want to repeat it again. So, hearing 15 issues, sleep issues or sleep apnea. When we do a recommendation through this kind of a 16 Summit, it carries a lot of weight to take it to the primary care practitioners, family physicians and nurse practitioners as well. And one other thing is, treatment of psychiatric disorders, 17 18 especially anxiety and depression, carries a lot of weight in preventing or improving brain 19 health, as well as preventing dementia progression. With regards to cognitive, we talked a lot 20 about cognitive training, but in general population, mild cognitive impairment, we talk a lot about cognitive stimulation training. So, I presume we are probably using this very 21 22 interchangeably. But the cognitive stimulation training in the mild cognitive impairment stage does address attention working memory, executed functions, agnosia, and all those kinds of 23 stuff as well. So, it is found to have evidence. While pre-MCI stage in the general population-24 based suggestion, we can talk about those activities which are stimulating for the brain, like 25 how it's been pointed out in the presentation. From mild cognitive impairment progression, we 26 can also suggest things like cognitive stimulation as a form of brain protective mechanisms to 27 28 help with the brain, as with the reduction of stress and mindfulness-based recommendation. So, I don't know if all these things have a level 1a evidence, but sometimes you need to come 29 30 up with a consensus, if you don't have the highest level of evidence. And what is applicable to general population, should also apply to this population, but need to add another layer of 31 32 recommendations to this.

33

34 Mary McCarron

Excellent, thank you so much for that. There's a huge amount in that. That's lovely. I'm going to

36 hand over to Seth Keller.

37

38

Seth Keller

Aside from my work in dementia care, I am a stroke neurologist. I see vascular disease like 1 every day, it's kind of mainly what I do, aside from the dementia care. So definitely the 2 appreciation of vascular risk factors is huge. I mean, it's a huge thing. And often in the general 3 population, the dementias are really mixed. There's a mixture between, so called vascular 4 5 dementia and neurodegenerative disorders and I often see a patient that had a stroke and then 6 shortly thereafter, the stroke progressive decline. So, it seems there's definitely a correlation 7 with and that's true. And those with Down syndrome, I don't see as many strokes and that 8 definitely happens. So definitely the appreciation of the vascular risk factors, and many patients that I see with stroke, they're already smoking, their blood pressure, the weight, their sleep 9 apnea is already there, and may not be fair, but I use that as a motive for that motivation. I 10 actually use it as a fear factor as the motivation for them to say this is not an inevitability that 11 12 they're going to have another stroke, there is not inevitability they will develop a disability. I use almost like a fear basically of how do you get motivation to do anything? You can get into it, 13 14 or you can be scared to death. And I really think that people develop like a PTSD sometime, 15 when they have some kind of complication of some sort. I don't want to get a stroke again, I'm worried. Okay, well use it to your advantage. So, it's almost like flipping the coin, that kind of 16 17 take the fear of what brought him into that health issue and use it to what proactive approach 18 to get them motivated. So how do you do that in someone with, say Down syndrome, that has 19 a lifelong, high prevalence of getting Alzheimer's disease? And I think, that is the other issue about the issue of ambivalence or lack of motivation, and really to use the nature, the fact that 20 21 there is a high prevalence of Alzheimer's and Down syndrome, to use the advantage of almost motivating people to do that. And I really think that goes to the psychology of the messaging of 22 23 people with Down syndrome early on. And when you start bringing up the conversation, when do you start talking about it? And when the families and carers really start talking about the 24 issue of Alzheimer's, and it's often a denial at something kind of people don't really want to get 25 their mind wrapped around, until they start showing signs of decline, and it's almost late. So, 26 27 where's that middle ground between someone that's 20 years old and say 40 years old? I think 28 it really has to be marketed, in terms of really talking about, we should start thinking about this. And the issue of that also, rather than denial and not wanting to think about and talk about it is 29 30 really useful. And I think, to be honest with reality that is very highly prevalent in people with 31 Down syndrome, that most of them will be getting it at a relatively young, early age. And I think 32 it's a marketing approach, how do you do it in a very positive way of getting yourself motivated 33 to care for yourself in your life? And just finally, the issues about factors, you can treat the hearing loss and the sleep apnea. And I love Lucy, takes you a year - you're motivated, you're 34 35 very motivated to do it. And I think it's the motivation and self discipline you only do, so what does that mean for those with, you know, IDD and Down syndrome, and how they do it. And it's 36 37 not only them and their carers, but also what about the experts, the so-called sleep apnea 38 doctors or the audiologists or ENT doctors? So, you bring the individual with IDD to the specialist and what is the specialist going to do? Do you think they know enough about this 39 population to do the motivation? And they give up. They wave the white flag after one 40 41 therapeutic or one trial, and that happens, I'm sure all the time. So, trying to find the experts

- and what is our role and responsibility to help the experts to become better experts? I really
- think there's roles, responsibility that all of us have to help each other.

3

- 4 Mary McCarron
- 5 Okay great. So, I'm going to ask people now, we're going to rush through these next comments.
- 6 I have Alyt Oppewal next, then I have Dawna Mughal, and this lady here then. We'll get through
- 7 those three in the next five minutes. Okay, so Alyt.

8

- 9 Alyt Oppewal
- 10 Okay, I will make it very quick. It's also pretty in line with what other people already said, I think
- it's looking at the questions, do we need a separate evidence-base for people with an
- intellectual disability? When to start brain health programming? I think what's really needed in
- this population is that we get more insights in the early signs of MCI and dementia. Also, to
- 14 know when we should start with prevention programs or some sort of treatment. And I think
- the longitudinal studies can help really well in that, so it would be really interesting to look at
- early signs. And also, I'm a sports scientist by background, also very interested in the mobility
- signs that may precede the whole MCI and dementia part. And in addition, with the whole
- motivation, and how can we get people to change their lifestyle for a healthy brain? I think we
- 19 need to think about how to include the whole system in that, because we can look at the clients
- 20 themselves with an intellectual disability, but it also needs to include, again, the whole support
- 21 system, the care systems that they are in. Because from experience, I know if you don't do that,
- it won't last and it won't work. I think motivation and fun is a factor that we hear very often
- that helps, but also including the whole system around it.

24

- 25 Mary McCarron
- Okay, lovely. Yeah, I'm just going to take one more comment, because I don't want the only
- thing between you and your lunch is me and hosting questions. I'm going to ask Dawna then
- 28 next and after that, we'll break for lunch. I will resume with questions. People keep their names
- up and I'll resume there when we come back.

- 31 Dawna Mughal
- 32 All right, a few points on my long list. Number one, for sure that treatment for the prevention
- for dementia doesn't come in a pill. And number two, Phil, you missed the gut microbiome and
- brain health. Your GI tract, the bacteria there affects brain health and brain health begins in the

womb. The health of the mother affects brain health of the baby. The crosstalk between your 1 2 GI tract, your gut microbiome, and the brain. The next point is oxidative stress. Nutritionists 3 have answers for that. Antioxidant nutrients, Vitamin A, Vitamin C, okay, that's it. Eat those! Make sure that you eat your antioxidant food sources. Now, point number three, how to 4 5 establish good habits, Lucy? Number one, we talk about different modes for prevention, right? 6 That's very difficult for people to do. So, one is choice, make the goal simple, choose a thing 7 that can be encouraged to do, as Phil mentioned, and the power of goal setting. Simple goal setting, and people can do that. But I guess, choose your battles, right? Because you're going to 8 9 fight all the fronts. 10 Mary McCarron 11 12 Thank you. Excellent. Okay, perfect. We'll break there. I've been asked to break here for lunch. Lovely, great. We'll resume the questioning. 13 14 15 Nancy Jokinen So, we'll resume topic two after lunch with the discussion. And I want to thank Mary and Phil 16 17 and the group two members for a very interesting start to the discussion and presentation. I 18 already have a whole bunch of questions for afterwards, too. And I'm sure there will be lots of 19 discussions. So, lunchtime, 12:30-1:30, but if you could come back just a couple of minutes 20 early, we have a little tribute to, to do that's kind of special. So, we're going to start at about 20 21 after 1. Thank you. 22 Sandy 23 24 So, I think if everybody wants to come and take their seat. Mary, I'm going to put this back in 25 your capable hands here to continue to facilitate the great conversation from this morning. 26 27 Mary McCarron Okay, I'm going to pick back up on the questions, and I can't remember who was in order. 28 29 Karen, I'm not near as good as you. Okay, and that's because of my age probably. I'm going to 30 start my way around, so I'm just going to do it in this order. Okay. So, at the very back, yes, 31 thank you. 32

33

Laura LaChance

So of course, we all know the purpose and the title of why we're here. And I greatly appreciated 1 the slide presentation that we went through before lunch. And, you know, one of the wordings 2 that we've used is not so much that you're going to prevent dementia, but we mitigate the 3 development of dementia, you know, like, just living better, you're going to be better. But one 4 5 of the things I think we all have to remember, and notwithstanding the years of expertise that 6 many academics around this table have, is that kids today, in 10 or 15 years from now, they 7 come with great skills. And you know, perhaps they've had an upbringing where there hasn't been a focus on their deficits, but there's been a focus on their strengths. And particularly 8 9 children with Down syndrome have embraced technology and apps and all of the above for learning, that they are going to come to this time in their life where we talk about preparing 10 guidelines for now, but I think we also have to think about what the guidelines are going to be 11

like in, and it's not a generation, it's almost half a generation from now, because they'll come

with those skills, and they will not need to be introduced to them.

14

15

12

13

- Mary McCarron
- I think that's a really important point. And looking at that intergenerational difference that 16 17 we're seeing already in people who are 40 to 50 years old now and versus the group that we looked at, you know, 15, 20 years ago. And their lives are grossly different. Their opportunities 18 for education, the use of technology, as you have said and all of these things. So, I think the 19 population who are probably aging now are aging at a particular historic point in time and their 20 21 aging is very much influenced by the history of our past and that was the past that really we didn't give opportunities to people but I do think now that the next generation will look grossly 22 23 different. So, thank you. I think that's a very important point. Okay, so I'm moving up and this 24 lady. Great, lovely, thank you.

25

26

- Flavia Santos
- Flavia Santos from UCD, University College, Dublin. Just going back to what we were discussing 27 28 before the break. One word that I haven't heard here yet, but it's very frequent in this population, is the issue of sedentarism. I see a transition from when they are children, parents 29 are stimulating a lot, putting them in several activities. And then when they, let's say turn 18-20 30 31 years old, they become kind of independent and there is no further guidance or support or encouragement for doing things. So, when we talk about brain health, I think sedentarism is a 32 33 big problem and we need to change this attitude as well. Last comment, and I don't need to go 34 into it further now, because you probably will have an opportunity in another moment, but 35 Lucy was talking about interventions. We also have a study on memory clinics, so I would like to 36 talk about it in another moment if the opportunity arises. Okay, thank you.

1	Mary McCarron
2	Thank you very much. Okay.
3	
4	Unidentified
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Yeah, I just wanted to add to, when we're talking about prevention or mitigation strategies, I like that word. And I've heard the words motivation and goal setting. And I really think the other important piece to think through is how we break down the skills that we're talking about for people, because I think at a high level for anybody to talk about exercise can feel very overwhelming. And so how do we translate that to people, so it's meaningful to them? And sometimes I think we tend to have like an all or nothing, like, "Yeah, we're going to exercise 60 minutes every day", which can be incredibly overwhelming to someone. So, as we recognize all these brain health related areas to be really important, I also think people get very overwhelmed. How do we work with the carers and the people themselves to identify? Goals, I think for them, they have goals their whole life, and I think sometimes they're kind of done with goals, particularly in adulthood. So how do we support them to feel like it's actually an achievable thing, but maybe not so much, I think goals can sometimes come with a lot of loaded history.
19	Mary McCarron
20 21 22	Lovely, thank you very much. Very important points as well. Yeah. Really helpful. Okay, great. Yes. Thank you.
23	Lucy Esralew
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	There are several points that I wanted to pick up on. A lot of stimulating conversation here. One of the things I think about brain health, particularly for individuals that we work with, is that brain health is a lifespan issue anyway, just generally speaking. When I trained to be geropsychologist several decades ago, we talked about how aging begins at birth. So, if you want to take a real lifespan perspective, what we do early on has significance later. And what happens later helps inform what we might be doing differently early on. And I think it's particularly true for people with intellectual disabilities, many of them with a neurodevelopmental disorder, where their brains are different in some ways, or functioning differently than others, and we'll have more to say about that in group three. So, if we're waiting until people decline to talk about brain health, we've waited a bit too long, right? So, if we can move that conversation upward. Another thing in terms of motivation and lifestyle and habit change. In the general population, what we do is we do motivational interviewing in health care, where we look where a person is at. But first of all, we make sure they have

information available to them, so that they can make choices about whether they want to do 1 something or not. And I don't think that that strategy is used sufficiently among people with 2 intellectual disabilities and their families. It's not like, okay, you don't want to exercise? Okay. 3 Well, did you realize that they've had studies in which they found out that people who didn't 4 5 exercise had a bunch of health consequences. And saying it in a way which meets the person 6 where they are, they might still choose not to exercise. However, they've perhaps chosen with 7 a little bit more information. And we can be a little more confident it's an informed choice, and 8 not something that is just because, well, you told me I should and I'm not going to do that. The 9 other thing is that several people who might work with, when they reach a certain age, they are retired. Okay, so from their work program... I know you're supposed to be retired, Nancy, and 10 that you're not really retired. But in any case, people with intellectual disability are told that 11 12 they no longer are going return or I've had individuals who've told me, "I am no longer working. I do not have to work anymore. I have reached the age, I'm not going to the workshop or the 13 14 program or whatever it is". However, what else do we do? And it kind of picks up on something 15 that Flavia was saying is, we're not good with those transitions. So, what happens when somebody stops schooling, and what happens to transition into adult services, what happens 16 17 when somebody stops working or attending day program or whatever they were doing prior to 18 retiring, and are now sitting home? Now, they may not be able to access a cognitive training 19 program. But are there some activities that we can recommend that will be cognitively 20 stimulating, and helpful, and help them feel that they had a meaningful day and not just kind of sitting around? And then the last point, in terms that of what was just mentioned previously 21 22 about a new generation of individuals who have access to technology, then my response to that 23 is for some, yes, not for all. So you know, I work in California, and for a period of time, I was in the northern most part of California, where they have awful internet service. And a number of 24 families that I worked with, in California, did not have regular access to internet. So, it wasn't 25 even a matter of could they use it, they didn't even have the infrastructure in which to be able 26 27 to access it. So I mean, again, we talk about equity, that's an important thing, not only will the 28 people that are coming up in a new generation be able to use that because they've been exposed to how to use technology, but will they have access socioeconomically to what's 29 30 needed in the infrastructure?

31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38

Mary McCarron

They're both great and really helpful points. And certainly, in Ireland, it's very clear that COVID, if it did one good thing, is really exposed and opened up the world of technology to people with an intellectual disability. And we've seen almost a 50% increased use of technology. And we were wondering, would it stay post COVID? And we're happy to say it did, so that's really important. And as I get nearer my retirement, people are busy running programs for me and how to prepare for retirement. So, I think for people with intellectual disability, maybe we need

- to be running programs in terms of preparation. So, I think they're all really important points,
- 2 okay.

- 4 Kathryn Carroll
- 5 So, I thought I would dip my toe in the water here, as a non researcher, non doctor, I'll just start
- 6 just responding to a point, way back at the beginning of this section. And this relates to the
- 7 overall topic of looking at how we address goal setting and the ways of breaking it down or
- 8 building it up and making it more achievable for people. And I'm responding to something that
- 9 Lucy said, your story about the person who identified as a walker, and I'm wondering, and
- again, I'm a non-researcher, so there could be research out there, but I am wondering what the
- consequences are of people taking on those identities and saying, "I am a healthy person", "I
- am a walker", "I am a balanced eater", and what is the impact of adopting those things for
- myself on my ability to achieve those brain health education goals? So that was just a reaction I
- had. And then I thought I would try and respond to the one question about are the materials
- suitable for people with IDD? And in my experience, I would say largely, no. I'm at the
- 16 Association on Aging in New York. I work with, we call them area agencies on aging. So, aging
- services providers, and I do see the offer by these providers of the evidence-informed
- programs, the evidence-based programs, the chronic disease self management, the diabetes
- self management, the nutrition programs, all of these things that offices for the aging typically
- do. And I do know, based on my experience that those programs are just broadly not accessible
- 21 to people with all types of disabilities, and I do strongly believe that they could easily be. It
- 22 would not take much at the implementation level to make those things accessible. There are
- best practices for making materials accessible, there are amazing examples of adapting things
- 24 to people with a variety of disabilities. Even going as far as our state governments, making clear
- 24 to people with a variety of disabilities. Even going as fair as our state governments, making clea
- 25 to providers that if this particular curriculum or option doesn't work, we fully support and
- 26 would make happen, you are connecting the individual with this other option. So, I think it's
- totally doable. It's frustrating in my work to be working on that. That all being said, though, I do
- realize, I guess the big caveat is that while making those things as accessible as they could be,
- 29 which I think is very accessible, and suitable for people with IDD is that that doesn't mean that
- 30 people will opt into those or choose those or come to those with their attendance with their
- family members or caregivers. So, I fully realized that, and it doesn't address what I've seen,
- which is attention, a competition really, for people's time and attention between
- developmental disabilities providers and aging services providers that I don't really know what
- to do about that, it needs to be addressed. Someone has to get billed, right? Someone needs to
- make their numbers. Classes have to be filled. So, ultimately, there's going to be that tension,
- and I've seen it in my work, suggesting ideas for programs and having to point out that it would
- 37 be great to offer this program through an Office for the Aging, directed at people with
- disabilities. But that local DD provider is not going to, they're in competition. And so, I guess I
- can't blame them, because you need the dollars to fund the program at the end. But yeah, so

- 1 that's what I was thinking about. I guess, the short version is that no, the materials are not
- 2 suitable, but they could be. And I guess I'll just say again, Lucy, I do appreciate the point about
- 3 the pushing back on the presumption that someone should retire at a certain age. I am trying
- 4 to, in small ways work on that. So, like involvement with the University Center for Excellence on
- 5 Developmental Disabilities, and I'm involved with getting them to think about their
- 6 employment pillar more broadly, and not just transition youth but looking at, you know, like
- 7 when someone does choose to not work, or do they want to keep working? If I have that
- 8 expectation that older adults shouldn't, we shouldn't be tied to that idea of, you know,
- 9 retirement at 65. If I have that feeling for the general population, I'd certainly don't have that
- same expectation for people with IDD. And I'll stop there. Thanks.

11

12

- Mary McCarron
- 13 Thank you very much. And again, some very critical and important points. Because oftentimes
- when people with IDD leave their workplace or the workshop or whatever they were doing,
- they often lose everything; the social connectedness, the community and everything else. So, I
- think that's really important. Okay, I'm going down to the very back, the lady that yes, great,
- 17 lovely. Thank you.

18

19

- Yumi Shirai
- 20 Hi, I'm Yumi Shirai. So, brain health. I just wanted to advocate the part of the role of arts,
- 21 creative arts and culture activities, because a lot of those can be expressed, address those brain
- health issues in combination with the motivation, right? That's motivating instead of just
- 23 prescribing the one aspect. But then it makes it very complicated for researchers because a lot
- of confounding factors in it. So, we really need to strengthen the arts-based research, as well as
- 25 how we can really navigate that messiness, community practitioner, advocates and family
- 26 members and researchers work together. So that's how we can really solve the issues. And also,
- 27 arts-based research can be the one that the general dementia and aging research can open up
- to our population. It may be easily accessible or adaptive. Because of the nature of
- communication. It's expressed communicative tool, which is not based on written or spoken
- words, often time, and how can we take better advantage of that, and that can address. And it
- also, one last point, those things need to happen earlier in the life, right? So, arts only works
- 32 when you really practice this as your part of life, throughout your life, then when you have
- issues with dementia or aging issues, that becomes an additional tool for maintaining your self
- 34 expression and communication, navigating life. So, we need to promote that activity earlier in
- all of our lives, including persons with disabilities. So that's my point.

36

37

Mary McCarron

- 1 Yeah, very important point as well. And yes, the role of the arts is really key, and I always feel,
- 2 for somebody who has been always interested in activity, in sport, leisure competence is a skill,
- and you need to be learned. For people with intellectual disability, they need to be supported
- 4 to maintain that skill and to learn that across the lifespan. So, it's a bit too late when they come
- to 50 or 60, or whatever, when they're at risk of change. So, thank you for that. Yona. And then
- 6 Dawna? Yeah, I'm not sure who was first there. Yeah.

7

8

- Dawna Mughal
- 9 There are programs... Beth Marks isn't here... but Beth Marks runs the Health Matters program.
- 10 Yeah, she has this program. I haven't used their website recently. But I know that it runs
- continual programs nutrition and physical activity. But Beth isn't here to speak. And then there
- are other programs, there's a New York based program that does the same thing. And they
- looked at your shows. Instructors, or dieticians doing physical activity virtually via TV, and the
- participants engage in it, and they do very well. In other words, there are programs, but my
- view is that they're kind of fragmented over there, over there, over there, you know,
- duplication, doing the same thing. And another point I want to make is that there is a website, I
- think it's NCHPAD [National Center on Health, Physical Activity and Disability]. And it deals only
- with physical activity for people with disabilities. Other examples of physical activities that can
- be done by clients or people with disabilities. So, I think it's important for people to know the
- 20 resources, because there are resources. The other thing is the social determinants of health, I
- 21 think we talked about this. Even for non-disabled population, we're not doing that. We do not
- 22 have the time to collect the data, right? And when we have the time, we do not use them. And
- 23 that is a big issue for healthcare and people without disabilities and is much more so in the
- 24 population with disabilities. So now, we're dealing with a lot of problems. Which ones can we
- do? Because we cannot do all of this. I mean, I don't know.

26

- 27 Mary McCarron
- 28 Well hopefully, we'll have more light on that by the end of the two days. Exactly, Yona Lunsky
- 29 and then Lucy Esralew.

- 31 Yona Lunsky
- 32 So, two quick points. One is around the physical activity, as well as the social, sort of
- engagement networks. One group we can look to, that sort of targets this but doesn't use the
- same language, because they're not thinking about aging yet, in the same way, would be the
- 35 Special Olympics on the international movement, because there's a huge emphasis on health.
- 36 But they have like strong minds, they don't have brain health, so a lot of the activities kind of

need a bit of tweaking, and also, they have issues around how they continue to support people 1 2 as they age. And also, they have a big inclusive sport program, which brings people in who don't have intellectual disabilities. But again, it's usually more with kids in school, young people. I've 3 been saying for years, if someone came up with something I could do with my sister, which was 4 5 like a low intensity sport thing, I would love that, I'd be all over it. I think a lot of older people 6 would. So just to look at them and their research combined with their policy, especially in the 7 States because they're very tied to the CDC. Right? And my other comment was just around the 8 issue of, someone said, I think about people's motivation to do some of these things. And I 9 think there's somewhere between like the big system and motivation that we have to keep in mind, so I'll just give a few fast examples. Like, someone going to the gym or even using their 10 iPod or their video thing, you know, when there's some kind of sensory issue, that's not quite 11 right for them. So, that needs an adjustment, right? We don't need to make them more 12 motivated, and we don't need to change the whole system, we have to make it work better. 13 14 Another example around sleep was someone I'd worked with years ago with Down syndrome, 15 who we were screening for depression, we found that they had sleep apnea, they got fitted, they got a CPAP machine, we followed them over time, and they still really could not be awake 16 17 and do things during the day, and they were missing work. But no one actually asked them if 18 they're wearing their CPAP machine, right? Which they had stopped doing at a certain point, for a particular reason and needed some new education and modification about it. And I was 19 20 thinking about the hearing issue as well. And, once you get, for example, a hearing aid, first of all, you can't lose it, you can't break it, they're very expensive. But also, you have to be able to 21 22 adjust it, so that you can hear just the right amount. So, if you can't do your own self adjusting 23 what happens? Or like, your shoes that you're wearing for mobility, and they're not comfortable. So, there are so many things we can do to help people, but we have to understand 24 why are they not motivated to do X? And then how do we make accommodations or adapt 25 things kind of like the reasonable adjustments, but not at the physician's office, just in their 26 own home or wherever? Just to keep that in mind. And for their carers, so the carer might not 27 28 have good shoes, or they're not willing to do the activity because of these other little things. So, we have to understand what their barriers are too. 29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36 37

Dawna Mughal

Can I add something? Remember Special Olympics? Special Olympics is doing a good job, right? It has different disciplines. I've been volunteering with Special Olympics in Pennsylvania since 2014. I'm a clinical coordinator. So, I see the athletes perform and they show me the medals, they're so proud of them. And then the parents or the staff are there. It's a global public health program, Special Olympics is a global public health program, and it delivers. So yeah, there are programs out there.

Mary McCarron 1

- 2 Yeah, there are, and it is about making the reasonable adjustments, as Yona said to some of
- those programs. And we ran a great program. And Alyt is here with the group in Rotterdam, 3
- 4 running what was called the P-PALs program, which my colleagues at the Trinity Center ran.
- And that was training people with an intellectual disability to be physical activity leaders within 5
- 6 their own community. They were highly motivated to engage in that program and we've rolled
- 7 that out now, right across different areas. So, there are things we can do and that was making
- 8 an adjustment to an already existing program but training people and empowering people and
- 9 giving them the autonomy and motivation to run that program for their peers, rather than us
- 10 running it. So, there are some interesting things we can do. Great. Is there a further comment?
- Oh, lovely. Great. 11

12

13

Seth Keller

- Yeah, it was wonderful that you brought up Special Olympics; I'm actually part of Special 14
- Olympics Aging Task Force. The program that they have is called Healthy Athletes program eye 15
- 16 screening, foot screening, dental screening, they have another, Strong Minds. All these
- relevancies for healthy screening are not for older people. And then the competition, which is 17
- really it's a competitive sport organization, that's really their doctrine is competitive sport, it's 18
- all for young people. So, they don't really decide and work for aging populations. So, I had 19
- worked with the Special Olympics of Alaska and other States in the country about creating 20
- 21 what's called the Aging Task Force, and is trying to really create in Special Olympics, older
- 22 related issues related to competition, and the Healthy Athletes program. So, they can do
- 23 screening, essentially a lot of what they do, they screen out. And definitely for early onset
- 24 Alzheimer's, they're not seeing it; they're not seeing because they're not really noticing it. And
- then a lot of people in Special Olympics, they drop out. So, the average age is your like, early 25
- 26 20s. So, a lot of people age out of Special Olympics for various reasons. So, we wanted to look
- at the Aging Task Force at how do you bring people back in to be, not only competitive, but 27
- 28 really health promotion, because it's a competitive organization. So, we actually had to go back
- and forth, and we had to find different program that gets support, and a lot of programs are 29
- supported by money. So, if you want to actually be able to have an aging related issue, you 30
- have to have an organization that can support. So, for Opening Eyes, which is the ocular one, its 31
- 32 The Lions Association, or I don't know if I got their name right. So that's an evolving thing right
- now, but it's really appropriate for Special Olympics, both nationally and internationally to get 33
- involved in this kind of dancing backward, but the pandemic kind of put a fork in it. But I'm glad 34
- 35 you brought that up.

36

37

Mary McCarron

1 Great. Really interesting and important. Yeah, lovely. Thanks for that.

2

3

- Unidentified
- 4 A lot of what I've put down has already been said, but certainly we need to look at what gets in
- 5 the way for people with intellectual disabilities in terms of promoting a healthy lifestyle that
- 6 would promote brain health and education and knowledge of both the individual, but also their
- 7 caregivers is key. I mean, the people that we support are so reliant on their caregivers, and the
- 8 support to go and access those services. One of the things that we haven't mentioned, is
- 9 poverty. And poverty is such a significant issue for people with ID, especially living
- independently or semi-independently, just in terms of access to healthy food. Even if someone
- 11 has the knowledge of what to eat, they may not have the resources to purchase that. Same
- with access to exercise, when we think about, we've talked a lot about walking and others and
- 13 yes, those are low cost, but maybe not low cost if you don't have the right footwear, or you
- want to buy a bike, you don't have the money to buy a bike, you certainly can't join a gym. So,
- there's a lot of financial barriers that get in the way for people with ID in order to accept and
- adopt a healthy lifestyle that would promote brain health.

17

- 18 Mary McCarron
- 19 Very helpful, really important. Yeah. And looking at the whole area of poverty and I think the
- 20 poverty scales that are out there at the moment to look at poverty, you know, we really need
- 21 to relook at those because they're not terribly applicable to this population as well. And I think
- there are a lot of different issues, but we should work with people with IDD to redevelop some
- 23 of those. Okay, Nancy.

24

- Nancy Jokinen
- 26 Just a couple of things to bring us back a little bit. When we talked about cognitive training and
- 27 something else. I was just wondering, in an older adult population, I often hear the terms
- 28 lifelong learning, and what happened to lifelong learning as a concept that can be employed
- 29 with people with intellectual disability versus cognitive training. It would seem that we don't
- 30 need to change the language to get people engaged in activities that stimulate the mind and
- 31 have social engagement and those kinds of benefits, without necessarily having a trainer, so to
- 32 speak. And there are two other points that I want to make. I know I spoke with Janice about
- this, and the role of support staff in terms of not enforcing choices to be living healthier, but to
- model it. Because I think staff have an influence that they don't necessarily realize they have, in
- 35 terms of how they live their lives and how they present themselves. That could be very useful, I
- think, both for the staff in terms of their own health, as well as for the people that they

- support. And I guess the final point that I want to make is, I hear a lot about brain health and 1
- 2 healthy aging, starting when people are young, we need to start when we're really young, I
- 3 want us to really remember that it's never too late to start. In other words, you can be 50 years
- 4 old, you can be 60 years old, and you can make some lifestyle changes, relatively simple ones
- 5 that can enhance your health. So don't look at the older person and say, "Oh, wow, see ya later,
- 6 there's not much I can do". I think we owe it to an older population of people with intellectual
- 7 disability, to celebrate their age, but to include them in various activities that would enhance
- 8 their health, too. And I think they will in turn, be an influence on younger people with
- 9 intellectual disability. So, I think we can do a lot more. I'm worried sometimes when we say, Oh,
- it's a lifespan thing. Oh, you have to do it when you're early, to get any benefit. I'm afraid we're 10
- going to dismiss, or some people will dismiss an older age population. 11

12

13 Mary McCarron

- I think that's an important point. You know, it's always better, of course, if we develop these 14
- 15 skills and competences and we're younger, but it doesn't mean that it's too late to introduce
- 16 some of those things to our lives as we get older. Oh, lovely. Another comment. Great.

17

31

Lucy Esralew 18

- 19 So, I just wanted to clarify something in terms of lifespan and just kind of point out, what I
- 20 mean is continuously throughout the life, not either early or late. Because I think for all of us,
- we are on individual trajectories of aging. And with the people that we support, who have 21
- intellectual disabilities, they will have their own individual trajectory and natural history of their 22
- aging and may have different challenges along the way to which they have to make 23
- 24 accommodations, adjust, adapt, whatever. And so, if we could think about it more flexibly, not
- just kind of an age specific kind of thing, especially because neurodevelopmental disorders are 25
- lifelong conditions, as we would tend to think of them just broadly. Another thought about 26
- lifelong learning, and I agree with you, Nancy, the problem is that very often, that is given an 27
- 28 academic kind of flavor to it, like taking continuing education courses, or whatever. If we can
- work with people to think about what activities, whether it's learning a new hobby, or whatever 29
- it is, being involved in some way that continues their learning and is cognitively stimulating, but 30

doesn't have the kind of baggage of it being, you know, like, for me, I will be going to school for

- the rest of my life. That's a choice that I make. But not everybody sees themselves as a lifelong 32
- 33 learner in that way, particularly individuals with intellectual disabilities who may have struggled
- 34 in school. And that was not among their better experiences. So, you know, some way for us to
- 35 kind of open up what do we mean by lifelong learning and be clear. And then the last kind of a
- 36 comment, and I'm not even sure where my thinking is with it, other than to kind of note that
- 37 when I'm working with families, or with professional caregivers, very often there's a push to a
- 38 more reductionistic, rather than a more complex way of looking at things. So, they want an

- answer. Is it this or that? And I get that on one level. On another level, I'm like, it's a this and it's
- a that and it's a this, and it's a that, and it's much more complex than, you know, it can't be
- 3 bifurcated as okay, it's either this or that or nothing. And so how do we keep people open to,
- 4 for instance, I'm thinking and back to the comment about, well maybe, the person can't afford
- to get a good pair of shoes? Well, maybe the person has a pair of shoes, and their feet hurt,
- they don't fit well. And that's the reason they're not walking, not because they're not interested
- 7 in walking per se. But that never comes to light. Because all we know is we asked John, do you
- 8 want to go for a walk? And he goes, "...no, I don't want to go for a walk." We don't ask why?
- 9 Well, it's his choice. He said, "No, I don't want to go for a walk." So, we miss the fact that the
- 10 guy needs another pair of shoes that fit better, and then he'd be more willing to engage in
- some kind of lifestyle activity. So, to me it has to do with, can we open up and think more
- complexly about people rather than in a reductionistic way?

13

- 14 Mary McCarron
- 15 Yeah, yeah. And it's certainly not black and white. And you'll be really glad to hear that as part
- of wave five in our study, we included an objective measure of foot health. So, I'll send you the
- findings, and there were really not pretty, in terms of people's foot health. So, that was
- interesting, really not pretty. Okay, so Matt. Great. I think it's you, Matt, is it?

19

- 20 Matthew Janicki
- 21 Just a quick comment, kind of segue to a little bit different thought. How do we design the
- 22 interventions that enable people, who are our target population to accept some of these
- 23 notions of healthy living and lifestyle changes, etc.? And I'm thinking in terms of the social
- 24 environment, where do they live? Who affects them the most, who they're who their role
- 25 models are? Is it in a family setting where there's adoption of those kinds of healthy eating
- 26 notions and exercise and discussions and social engagement? Or is it an environment that may
- 27 be deprived of those things? And, if the individuals who are in that social environment, the
- 28 people that influence the person's behavior, don't practice those, is probably not going to take
- very strongly with the person that you're trying to say, oh, you should be doing this. And so, the
- 30 pickup is something we need to take a look at and see how do we modify those factors in the
- 31 social environment to enable people who we're targeting for this intervention, to accept and
- adopt these principles of better health and whatever we're looking for in terms of brain health.

- 34 Mary McCarron
- Yeah, I think that just came up a few times today and it is just so important that the role that
- 36 staff carers or family carers or whoever and the social environment in which people are living,

and the influence that that has, because whatever interventions we come up with, unless we 1 2 can really work with their social environment that people are in, they're probably very unlikely 3 to gain much traction. 4 Shahin Shooshtari 5 6 Matthew Janicki said what I wanted to say in terms of social environment, supporting social 7 environment, but also beyond just family and direct support staff. for example, for people to 8 feel safe to take part in physical activity programs in the community in an inclusive way to feel 9 safe to actually go and participate. And also, we talk about the use of technology for promoting 10 healthy lifestyles, to be mindful of the safety. So, safety, supportive social environments, they 11 go back to social determinants of health that was mentioned. 12 Mary McCarron 13 14 Yeah. Great. Thank you. 15 Vikram Palanisamy (?) 16 17 Hearing about all the things that we have spoken today, one thing that comes up quite a number of times is about making choices. And in a very medical model, sometimes we get very 18 19 much fixated on looking at how to get things better, how do we fix this problem kind of thing. 20 And in that regard, I think we should always think about informed choices that a person might 21 be able to make when it comes to brain health. I may not want to exercise; I am just as happy 22 as I am. But then being able to make that informed choice, I think is really important. And how 23 do we facilitate that in this population. The second thing is about, we heard about how mental 24 health can also influence brain health in the long term, as well as physical health issues. And 25 within that, I think there's a huge role that primary care providers can actually help in 26 promoting brain health. So, I think in this whole discussion, we should also try to look at the 27 role of primary care providers, both family physicians, nurses, other community providers, not 28 only raising awareness, but also trying to look at early detection of physical health issues and 29 treatment. 30 31 Mary McCarron Yeah. All really, really important. I think that word of choice has come up to both sessions 32 today. So, I think that it's really important. Eimear, you wanted to come back in and then Yona. 33

1 Eimear McGlinchey

- 2 Thanks so much, it's kind of following up on the idea of choice. And I think it's, again, thinking
- about the messaging around brain health, and that it isn't seen as a list of things that people
- 4 can do and then this will lead to better brain health. Because I think that can lead to some
- 5 people might see a list and, Okay, the person I'm caring for, they are already going for walks,
- 6 they already meet up with people, and I'm ticking off these boxes, whereas the messaging is
- 7 around, it's around engagement, and it's around how do you either maintain engagement or
- 8 increase that across all these different activities, and I think choice comes into that in a big way
- 9 as well. So, if we think about what the mechanism is, by which each of these different activities
- can support brain health, so when we talk about social connectedness, the way in which that
- can contribute to brain health is beyond, say, activities, people being involved in activities
- without the choice of being involved in those activities. So, while that may tick a box of being
- socially active, or they're doing social activities, the meaning behind what they're doing is going
- to impact whether that can then influence brain health. So, I think, again, it's just thinking
- about the messaging and how we're speaking about it, and that it's not, it's not a tick box
- 16 exercise.

17

18

Mary McCarron

- 19 It's not a tick box exercise. And I think if it is, Eimear, you're absolutely right, it will not be
- sustained. And it will probably bring very little benefit and a lot of stress as well to people. So,
- 21 we do need to be mindful of that. Yona?

22

23 Yona Lunsky

- 24 Just a quick point, because this population ages prematurely, even if the people working with
- 25 them, whether it's like, in my case, as a sibling or as staff, they're generally not in those
- situations yet. So, they don't know what certain things feel like. They don't know why it might
- 27 be hard to do a certain activity. They don't feel the same motivation for why something is
- 28 important. They haven't had a particular healthcare experience yet. So just thinking about how
- 29 we explain or engage either younger staff or same age staff, because it's as though they're
- 30 younger or younger siblings. Because again, the parents who maybe went through it, maybe
- 31 aren't even around when we're trying to promote these things, where they can't do those
- 32 things themselves. So, just thinking about how we help people understand those things who
- are in that person's life.

34

35

Mary McCarron

- 1 Yeah, I think that is a huge issue. I was just talking to my niece the other night. She's a nurse,
- 2 but she works across the disability sector in Ireland, and she was just talking about her
- 3 experience in working in two houses, a mile apart. And so, the first house she went and worked
- 4 in was a very health-focused house and the staff are all that way. The people who were living
- 5 there seemed to be really engaged, there was all of these good choices, and really interesting
- 6 things to do. And then she moved to another house, literally a half mile down the road. And
- 7 none of the staff or people were interested in that way of life. And they had a takeaway four
- 8 nights a week. And she was told, well, that's people's choice. So, there's such a dependence on
- 9 the education and the support and the staff who are working are supporting people. I think it is
- a cultural thing. It really is a cultural shift; I think that we need to see happening in
- 11 organizations as well.

12

13

- Seth Keller
- 14 I just wanted to add one additional comment about the change of resiliency with age. So, when
- 15 I'm losing my vision, my hearing, my balance, I can compensate, and I can still maintain a level
- of ability to function. So, I'm still independent. People with intellectual and developmental
- disabilities, as the age, as we know, they are already functioning at a certain kind of level, but a
- smaller decline to them may have a larger impact for them to be able to continue to maintain
- their level of support or at least need for independence. So that's another aspect to appreciate
- 20 how important it is for wellness and health promotion for them. And to have adaption, I
- suppose, to enable them to care and be able to have a higher level of independence or such,
- because if they lose that, they're becoming more and more dependent upon others, whether
- that's pathologic or not.

24

- 25 Mary McCarron
- Yeah, absolutely. So, any small change can have a tipping effect or a major effect. Yeah, so
- that's true. And I think Leslie and Kathy want to both come in there.

- 29 Leslie Udell
- 30 I just wanted to expand a bit on Yona's comment. In one of the focus groups that we did with
- 31 family caregivers, we asked the question, "What have you found helpful in supporting your
- 32 family member with dementia?". And one parent, who was in her 80s, said, I found it really
- 33 helpful to reflect on my own aging process and that has helped me to try and understand
- what's happening with my daughter, that might be an aging process, as opposed to the early
- 35 signs of dementia. And what struck me is that we tend, again, to disregard the perspectives of
- older caregivers, and what a wonderful resource if that mom could sit down and share with the

- paid staff, her perspectives on growing older. So, that's a whole resource that we don't tap into,
- 2 because, number one, we tend to disregard parents to start out with, and if you're an older
- 3 parent, you know, what are they got to contribute? But she saw her aging process as so helpful
- 4 in her ability to support her daughter.

5

- 6 Mary McCarron
- 7 Great, thank you. Absolutely, really important. Thank you, Leslie.

8

- 9 Kathy Service
- 10 I wanted to echo that, and I even though I'm a Gerontological Nurse Practitioner, you could
- read everything in the book, but until you start to experience those normal changes of aging,
- 12 you have no idea what it means to the quality of your life and the adjustments. We can make
- rationale and understand, oh, you know, I'm having a hard time getting a bed and you get it oh,
- it's blah, blah, blah. But for people who have intellectual disabilities to understand that and
- then when you're working with younger staff, they don't really kind of get some of those
- 16 nuances, you know, lighting, things like that, you know, going into somebody's house and
- there's hardly any lighting and I can't see myself and it's like, how do you get the message to
- people about what's a healthy environment for people in a positive that will enable people to
- be the best they can and do what they can do? It's a different kind of mindset. And unless you
- 20 have a sense about what it means to be getting older, in terms of the normal changes of aging,
- 21 many of the young staff have no idea. So, how can we help them to kind of understand these
- 22 kinds of things. Even in terms of their running around, you know, people with ID, older people
- are freezing in their chairs, waiting to go out to day program, and the staff is running around,
- they're hot. But it's just how did they get understand these kinds of things? And I think we
- really have to support people in those kinds of understanding of the world when you get older.

26

- 27 Mary McCarron
- 28 Yeah, absolutely and thank you for that. Five minutes left, I'm not sure if it's Ivan or Evelyn, at
- the end.

- 31 Ivan Brown
- Yeah, when it goes around from person to person, I forget what I was originally going to say.
- And I want to pick up on some of the other comments. Actually, what I was wanting to kind of
- add to the conversation is, I think we need to not forget that the kinds of physical activities

- 1 should do with the learning activities that we promote, in order to promote brain health,
- 2 change very much over time, they're dynamic. And when I think of my own life, for example,
- 3 which has changed quite a lot, I used to jog when I was young. I used to ski every weekend. And
- 4 I used to play tennis. But I lost interest in tennis after about five years. And as I grew older, I
- 5 had a hip replacement, so I can't ski and I can't jog, but I can go to the gym. And when we're
- 6 dealing with people with intellectual disabilities, we often forget to encourage
- 7 them, to show us what they continue to like and what they might like to do next. I have another
- 8 example of a man I have known for 30 years, and he has a mild intellectual disability, and he's
- 9 blind. And I discovered recently that he loves watching nature shows about wild animals. And
- 10 I'd say all these years, I never knew he was interested in this. Why? I didn't ask. When I was at
- 11 his place, I never turned the TV on to those programs. My point is, we have to keep giving
- people with intellectual disabilities, opportunities to check out if they're interested, if they have
- difficulty telling us. If they don't have difficulty telling us, we need to listen, because their
- interests do change. And if we're going to do activities that affect brain health, we need to
- 15 know what the activities are that are meaningful to them, and that are going to be adopted by
- them and continued over some time.

17

- 18 Mary McCarron
- 19 Yeah, I think it's so important. Yeah, things change. And oftentimes, I think for people with an
- 20 intellectual disability, their horizons are often limited by what they know and what they have
- 21 experienced. And we have to open up that menu of life enhancing pursuits, I call them and give
- 22 people tasters and give them that type of exposure, in order to be able to enjoy.

23

24

Colleen Hatcher

- 25 Ivan, I appreciate what you said about listening. I think it's also watching, and I know we've said
- it, right. Like if people, their gait is changing, maybe their shoes are not comfortable. I was
- doing a tour a local Down syndrome organization where they have a facility, and they do adult
- 28 programming. And after COVID, sort of subsided a little bit. They were able to get back in the
- 29 building and they noticed an adult with Down syndrome, who was in her 40s was like really
- 30 petrified of walking down a specific hallway. And so of course the staff is getting frustrated.
- 31 Why is she not walking down this hallway? This makes no sense. She's going everywhere else.
- 32 It's this one hallway. Well, they realized because she wasn't able to communicate why, they
- realized that her vision had changed. And the pattern in the floor was becoming like an optical
- 34 illusion for her and it was very scary, and she didn't want to like take that step because it looked
- like she was going to fall or whatever. But the staff had to realize like okay, she's not able to
- 36 communicate, what are her actions, what are her behaviors telling us? And I think that's really
- important, especially so many people with IDD, especially individuals with Down syndrome,

- 1 maybe not be able to communicate verbally, but they're communicating to their support staff
- 2 and to the people around them in a different way. It's just not verbally communicated.

3

- 4 Mary McCarron
- 5 Yeah, I think that is just so important is to be able to look at the meaning behind some of the
- 6 responsive behaviors, as we would call them, or that we see in dementia. I think we have run
- 7 out of time. And I just want Oh, Dawna Mughal has her hand up. Apologies, one more.

8

- 9 Dawna Mughal
- 10 I just would like to add comments to Matt's comment regarding social environment. And that is
- the role of role modeling or modeling good behaviors. The family members model good
- behaviors for people with IDD or younger people to emulate, that can help with behavioral
- change. Nutrition is a family affair. So, ask the children to help prepare, or the clients help
- prepare food in the kitchen, and teach them simple lessons at the same time. And the other
- point I'll make, and then I'll shut up, the point regarding asking them to tell you what they like,
- the power of storytelling. Have them tell a story, rather than asking them questions like a
- 17 questionnaire. I used to supervise students develop their skills in teaching. And instead of
- asking questions on your questionnaire, have them tell their story. You'll get the information in
- a different way, but in a more personal level. Storytelling is very powerful and there's literature
- 20 on it. I'm done.

21

- 22 Mary McCarron
- 23 Lovely, thank you very much. Thank you. And thanks to everyone for their participation. I think
- 24 this has been a really good discussion and lots of useful, I think food for thought really. I want
- 25 to thank Philip for co-designing and working together with me on this particular workshop and
- 26 everybody else who has been involved. So, look forward to the next level of this and getting our
- thoughts together from this really fruitful discussion. So, thank you, everyone.

- 29 Sandy Stemp
- 30 That was awesome. That was a great topic too. And great leadership there by Mary McCarron
- and Phil McCallion. So, thank you very much for all of that. Great discussion by everybody.
- 32 How's everybody doing everybody? Do we want to take five minutes before we dive into
- number three? Let's do that. So, please help yourself to coffee or run on the spot or maybe, you
- know, raise your arms and yell or you know, maybe a quick jog around the building.

1

- 2 Sandy Stemp
- 3 So, without further ado, I'm going to pass this to Lucy, who's going to take us through for group
- 4 three.

- 6 Lucy Esralew
- 7 Yeah, so hi. So, the way Vikram Palanisamy and I are going to do this is I'm going to take you
- 8 through a certain portion of the presentation, then Vikram is going to pick up and then I'll come
- 9 back, we're just going to trade back and forth. So, we have the privilege of working with group
- three. And our task was to understand concurrent, lifelong, neurodiverse conditions and their
- additive features to raising the risk for dementia. Thank you, Nancy Jokinen, for assigning us to
- that. We had quite a time kind of understanding what neurodiverse was, we really kind of
- struggled with that a little bit. But one of the things I want to say, just as a preface is that, it
- 14 gives us a chance to think about the heterogeneity of the population of individuals with ID and
- the fact that there are many people who do not have Down syndrome, and we don't really
- know if they're at a heightened risk for dementia. And we don't know if they were at a
- 17 heightened risk for dementia, if it is Alzheimer's disease, or some other form of neurocognitive
- dementia. So, it gave us a chance to at least begin that inquiry among ourselves. So, we had
- 19 several guiding statements. Among them, what's the connection between select neuroatypical
- 20 or neurodiverse conditions of intellectual disability and increased risk for dementia? What are
- 21 the difficulties in assessing population of individuals, adults with intellectual disability who
- 22 might have concurrent neuroatypical and neurodiverse conditions? And what are the best
- 23 practices in addressing intervention and support for these individuals. And in your packet, there
- 24 are two things I wanted to direct you to; one, is we have printed out a reference list, a select
- 25 reference list on seizures and ID, that I think can be very informative. The other thing is, both
- 26 Ivan and Matt worked on, kind of an introduction to what will be hopefully our post-summit
- document. But I think that it is a very good framing, for thinking about what we might mean by
- 28 neurodiverse, and what we might mean, even by the term intellectual disability, which we've
- 29 been using pretty freely throughout this. So, I suggest that you take a look. We had a very
- 30 illustrious group of individuals who are with me, the participants are listed here. And Vikram
- was my co-lead on this group. So, I want to give a particular shout out to people. Indeed, both
- Yona and Ariana helped us in terms of language and terminology, particularly with regards to
- individuals with autism and the variety of ways we might refer. And also, how we may refer to
- 34 individuals who have intellectual disability, because there are differences among countries, and
- 35 what language is used to refer or differences among groups, and how self advocates choose to
- 36 be identified, as well. So, our process we met twice, once in the beginning to kind of get what
- our task was and identify what we were going to be doing. And then we met, kind of towards
- the middle, to just check in with one another, and generate the material that we generated.

And I also want to thank again, Ivan Brown and Matt Janicki, because they did a lot of the heavy 1 lifting with regards to synthesizing the background information, and getting it posted on the 2 NTG website. So here we are in terms of how we divided ourselves up as subject matter 3 experts. And there were essentially four sub-topics that we focused on. One was autism, both 4 5 with ID and without ID. And one was Fragile X syndrome. Another was seizures. And the last 6 was mental illness. I also want to suggest for those of you who might not have had the chance 7 to look at the report that was generated by members of the NTG, having to do with 8 neuroatypical conditions. A lot of our thinking and examining neuroatypical conditions, a lot of 9 our thinking about what might be neurodiversity and increasing risk of dementia was guided by some of the thinking within that document. And that is listed and has been listed among the 10 resources for you to look at. So, in our initial discussion, as I said, we had guite a bit to say to 11 12 one another about terminology. And one of the things that I think guided us was thinking in 13 what ways might the literature in general and thinking, tell us that brain changes with aging, 14 and certain conditions that might kind of raise our suspicion about the possibility of aging into 15 dementia. And so that's how we kind of arrived at several of the subtopics that I just 16 mentioned. However, I should point out, there were many other areas we could have gone into but did not go into. For instance, a big one was TBI [traumatic brain injury], because the 17 literature for the general population suggests an increased risk with people who have had a 18 19 history of traumatic brain injury. We did not go into that area, we did not go into the area of cerebral palsy, there has been some suggestion. There has also been suggestion there are other 20 genetic disorders that may raise the risk for individuals who have those disorders. So, I just 21 22 want to invite you to think about the possibilities of specific conditions, as they may increase 23 risk, and just come along with us for the ride, in terms of what we found out. So, we were interested in dementia occurring in people with intellectual disability. We talked about what 24 about developmental disability that did not involve ID, and we kind of arrived at we can't do 25 everything, we are going to focus more on individuals who have specific conditions associated 26 27 with ID. And some of the things that we came up with are actually cross cutting. You can have 28 seizures and have a number of other conditions. So, seizures itself, or epilepsy is kind of one of those cross-cutting conditions. You can have intellectual disability, obviously, and have a 29 30 number of specific conditions. You can be diagnosed with autism, with or without intellectual 31 disability. You can be diagnosed with Down syndrome and autism. And so there are a number 32 of concurrently concurrent neurodevelopmental disorders and we really have virtually no idea 33 how individuals age with those conditions, let alone how they may age into dementia or not, with those conditions. However, this gave us a chance to at least ask the question. And then the 34 35 other thing that I just have to say is, we didn't really discuss the terminology of dementia. So, you could arguably say dementia is a neurodiverse condition for aging. You know, so it is a brain 36 37 change, something that one develops into, it's not lifelong. However, one could argue about 38 that and what do you mean by dementia? Do you mean Alzheimer's disease only? Do you mean any of the neurocognitive disorders? What do you mean, dementia is not a diagnosis? It is a 39 descriptor or category, in which we talk about significant change from baseline cognition and 40 41 adaptive functioning. And so, as I proceed, I'm going to talk about specific conditions with ID

- and you know, these are the conditions that we focused on in group three in terms of autism,
- 2 Fragile X, seizures and mental illness.

3

- Lucy Esralew
- 5 So, we were looking for this specific condition, whether it increased, what are the factors if, in
- 6 fact, there was an increased risk of that? And what could we say specifically about assessment
- 7 or treatment and support, with regards to this? So, the group that was facilitated by Matthew
- 8 Janicki on autism, did quite a bit of research in terms of what does the literature say about
- 9 possible connections between autism spectrum disorder and dementia? So, there was a
- 10 question about the possibility of shared genetics and neurobiological factors. And that came up
- particularly with Frontotemporal dementia. So, that was an interesting thing for us to think
- about. We also paid attention to lifestyle factors, including limited physical activity, social
- isolation and sub optimal diet, as possibly increasing the risk of dementia for this group of
- individuals. And then, what about preexisting cognitive and adaptive skills in terms of looking at
- further decline? Did that put people, the fact that they had preexisting deficits, at greater
- vulnerability for decline as they aged? So, neurobiology, chronic inflammation associated with
- oxidative stress, what Kathy Service has mentioned before as weathering, with the increase in
- allostatic load and mitochondrial dysfunction. All of that kind of brought up the possibility of a
- common pathway, shared by aging with autism within the autism spectrum, and with
- 20 Alzheimer's disease. So, if you looked at the background material, you've seen literature that
- supports a higher risk and literature that said there really is no particular higher risk for
- individuals. So, what about assessment? Well, we thought that we had to have assessments,
- 23 which we currently do not have, that really take into account the particular sensory issues of
- 24 individuals with ASD, and the likelihood of heightened anxiety, which is very commonly found
- among individuals with ASD. And the tools needed to be specifically designed for the
- population, not necessarily something that we are applying that was developed for another
- population and standardized data for this group. That would be essential for us to address the
- 28 challenges effectively. We also have to think, given the heightened likelihood of communication
- 29 problems or challenges, alternative communication methods that we would use in assessment,
- 30 such as the use of visual aids, augmentative and alternative communication systems. How do
- 31 you conduct and incorporate information from behavioral observation? And how do you
- 32 provide the benefit of a multidisciplinary, whole person, holistic assessment that definitely keys
- 33 on collateral information provided by family and professional caregivers, who know the
- individual, and can supplement what is observed and what the individual can tell and
- demonstrate themselves. In terms of treatment and supports, we thought about person-
- 36 centered care, coordinated by the multidisciplinary team. And I'm just going to refer to our
- and saying, in addition to person-centered care, we should be thinking about
- relationship-centered care, as a focus for how we develop our treatment and supports. We
- definitely wanted to key in on the Six Pillars of Brain Health, so that this would apply for this

- 1 population and for all individuals. Communication strategies that increased functional
- 2 communication. Behavioral interventions behavioral issues are very commonly a reason that
- 3 individuals on the spectrum lose their placements in the community. And to the extent that we
- 4 can support individuals, in terms of safe behaviors, we're more likely to help them age in place,
- 5 rather than have them lose their placements. And continuous monitoring of functional change
- 6 that has implication for support and treatment. What is helpful is to establish a baseline early
- on, you know that as a member of NTG, I'm going to say utilize the NTG-EDSD. But one of the
- 8 things it helps us do is to establish a baseline from which we can then note departures from
- 9 baseline. And that is very important for us in terms of continuous monitoring. Legal and
- 10 financial planning is important, as are respite and support services. I know from the state of
- 11 California that is very much the most frequent request by families. And very often we do not
- have the resources to provide. And of course, end of life care and planning.

13

14

Lucy Esralew

- So now, after having given you this rapid overview, and I do hope that you had a chance to look
- at the wonderful material that the group on autism and ID and dementia had assembled. I'm
- going to take you over to Fragile X. I want to make a comment. When I said that I would do this,
- this is after multiple decades of working with people who have Fragile X, but never really
- 19 considering how they might have a heightened risk for developing dementia as they age. So, it
- was very interesting to me as a clinician because clinically, I have not had people present to me
- with Fragile X as somebody who might be developing dementia. And I was glad to hear from
- 22 Vikram Palanisamy that he has a case that he's going to be following, that just presented to him
- 23 in the clinic recently. We have to write up these cases, because the clinical literature does not
- 24 have these vignettes available to us. But we do know from neuropsychological profiles, that
- 25 there is a change in IQ over time, among many people who have been serially evaluated with IQ
- tests, reason to believe that there may be a decrease of IQ, measured IQ, as well as a change in
- 27 working memory. So that should raise our suspicion about possibilities of cognitive and
- adaptive decline among people with Fragile X. So, you know, Fragile X syndrome is one part of
- 29 an array of changes that can happen with a Fragile X gene. And this somewhat confusing slide,
- 30 what I want you to just get from this is that the development of Fragile X syndrome, comes
- 31 after multiple generations, successive generations of the Fragile X gene being varied and
- transmitted. So, somebody's grandparent may be, what they call a "carrier". They have pre
- 33 mutation of Fragile X, they themselves do not demonstrate Fragile X syndrome. However, they
- may be at higher risk for FXTAS, which is the Fragile X-associated tremor/ataxia syndrome,
- 35 which is associated with dementia. However, their grandchild might have Fragile X, because
- 36 with each successive generation, there are more repeats of the CGG sequence, which is
- 37 associated with changes in the Fragile X gene. The protein that is produced essentially shuts
- down, it is not produced, and that protein is very important for brain functioning, brain health.
- 39 So much for that confusing slide. So, a little bit about the connections between Fragile X and a

possible dementia. We know that individuals with Fragile X, according to the literature, may 1 2 have a process that they undergo that's not dissimilar to individuals with Down syndrome, as 3 far as deposition of APOE. So, the APP, the protein may be deposited in excess and there may be some cellular changes that overlap with what has been seen in Down syndrome. So that's 4 5 kind of the recent thinking of some researchers. And we know that in terms of assessment, it's 6 very important for us to know about individuals who may be carriers and are in the pre 7 mutation stage and that they're in at risk for having dementia, or FXTAS. And then we know the possibility of successive generations. And of course, there are ethical considerations about 8 9 whether people want to undergo genetic testing, there is a lot involved with that. But it would help us understand a little bit more about the progression of the variation in the Fragile X gene, 10 if we were able to get that information, particularly among people above the age of 50, who 11 12 begin manifesting unexplained movement disorder. Because Parkinson's disease is very highly correlated with individuals who are carriers and have that pre mutation of the Fragile X gene. 13 14 And also, if there's some unexplained personality or neurological changes, it would be helpful. 15 And that, we can attribute to the work of Hagerman in California, in which she helped to identify the connection between grandparents who had the Fragile X tremor, and ataxia 16 17 syndrome, and then their grandchildren who had Fragile X syndrome. So, treatment and 18 support. I think it looks a lot like what we would talk about in terms of treatment and support for people on the autism spectrum. But we might want to pay particular attention to the value 19 20 of occupational therapy and speech therapy early on, behavior intervention, because individuals with Fragile X are at higher risk for behavioral challenges, and also in terms of 21 22 sensory sensitivities. By the way, Fragile X is the leading, inheritable cause of intellectual disability that we know about, and it is highly associated with autism spectrum disorder. So, 23 there are certainly individuals that are diagnosed with autism, as well as fragile X syndrome. 24 Early intervention services can definitely be of value to individuals who have this Fragile X issue. 25 And I'm going to turn this over to Vikram, who's going to talk about seizures and talk about 26 mental illness. 27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

Vikram Palanisamy

Thank you, Lucy. We looked at two conditions, autism and Fragile X syndrome. So, moving on to the third condition, seizures. So, what's the connection? Again, looking at three areas, what's the connection? What are the issues that we need to look at when you're assessing and what sort of treatment and support interventions need to be modified? One, what's the connection? There is a bi-directional relationship, so people with intellectual disability and epilepsy. There is an increased incidence of dementia, up to tenfold. And then we know that people with intellectual disability, when they develop dementia, are more likely to have seizures. The exact mechanism is not well understood, but likely to be related to seizures, underlying costs of seizures, intellectual disability, and other biological factors like genetic predisposition, neurotransmitter dysfunction, abnormal neural circuitry, and neuroinflammation. So, you can

see that some of the things are shared across the different groups, as discussed before. So 1 then, what are the assessment aspects that one needs to consider when somebody with 2 intellectual disability, who has lifelong seizures develops cognitive problems? One is certain 3 types of seizures or complex partial seizures, or non convulsive seizures might present with 4 5 symptoms such as stereotyping moments or confusion or altered consciousness, which might 6 lead to confusion with dementia, like presentation. So, that's something we need to keep in 7 mind. Then, in those situations, we often use EEG and imaging techniques to help us understand what's going on. And people with intellectual disability have some difficulty going 8 9 through some complex EEG. It might not be just a short period of monitoring, it might be 24 hours monitoring, 48 hours monitoring or MRI which is quite phobic. So then, when they 10 develop cognitive problems or dementia, then there's all these difficulties compounded. Then, 11 some of the comorbid conditions. A perfect example is sleep apnea and REM behavior disorder. 12 13 So, they might present with symptoms that could be quite confusing as to whether these are 14 seizures or whether these are memory problems, particularly people with intellectual disability. 15 Then, most people with lifelong seizures are on polypharmacy, not just for seizures, but for 16 other conditions as well. And then when they develop cognitive difficulties, it's very important to tease out what's the effect of polypharmacy on cognition? What is the effect of seizures and 17 whether this is an additional factor like dementia? Lastly, what are the treatment and support 18 19 modifications that one needs to consider? The best practice in managing epilepsy and people with intellectual disability applies here as well. So, very important that we track seizure data on 20 an ongoing basis. And then, appreciating what are the ultimate goals? It's the balance between 21 22 complete cure of epilepsy versus quality of life? And how do we achieve that balance? 23 Important to choose medications, particularly anti seizure medications that are likely to have less impact on cognition, mood, behavioral aspects. And then having a clear action plan and 24 educating caregivers, healthcare professionals, starting from what's the first time? What is the 25 intervention that one needs to deliver in the community? And then when do you call an 26 27 emergency? So, these principles are applicable in anybody with intellectual disability with 28 chronic seizures, but when they have cognitive difficulties or dementia, then you need to make sure that these are ironed out because you don't want somebody with dementia, to rush out to 29 30 emergency when you can manage that in the community. Understanding what are the standard 31 policies that are available to treat epilepsy in different areas, both in our country and across the 32 country is quite important. And again, while looking at treatment aspects, the polypharmacy 33 and the side effects the medication becomes very important, particularly when people with intellectual disability and seizures develop additional cognitive difficulties. Now, the last group, 34 35 mental illness. So, we decided to focus on severe mental illness. The National Institute of Mental Health surveyed people and then came up with some sort of definition of severe mental 36 illness or psychiatric condition that have significant functional impairment. And in practice, 37 usually we consider bipolar affective disorder, schizophrenia, and severe depression, to be 38 fitting into this group. So then, what are the connections? In people without intellectual 39 disability, there is evidence that people with severe mental illness are at a higher risk of 40 developing dementia. So, you would assume that that will be the case in people with 41

intellectual disability as well. But the actual research in this area is quite sparse. Possible 1 2 mechanisms, biopsychosocial model, biological neurobiological vulnerabilities, genetic factors, 3 medication side effects, and comorbid medical conditions that are over prevalent in people with severe mental illness are likely to be related to the increased risk. Health disparities, 4 5 lifestyle factors that are associated with serious mental illness and social factors that you would 6 see in somebody with severe schizophrenia, bipolar, other conditions are likely to contribute to 7 the risk of dementia as well. What are the assessment factors that you need to consider for this 8 particular population? Just like in other people with intellectual disability, establishing baseline 9 is very important. So, in addition to establishing baseline, it's very important that you do serial assessments, particularly given the long-term nature of comorbid, serious mental illness. Then, 10 establishing that there is a deterioration. Is this deterioration because of serious mental illness? 11 12 Or is it on top of the serious mental illness? So, that's a factor that's going to be difficult, but that's important. And then, if there is a deterioration, is this a significant deterioration that will 13 14 meet the criteria for dementia or not? And what would qualify as significant deterioration? 15 There is not enough evidence that we could find in research, it's still left for clinical judgment. In this population, it's very important to differentiate whether this deterioration is because of 16 associated conditions, and especially serious mental illness. Is this because of relapsing and 17 remitting condition, like bipolar affective disorder? Or is it because of progression of 18 schizophrenia? Or is it because of a new condition, dementia that's developing? So, those sorts 19 of issues need to be teased out when you're doing an assessment, particularly psychiatric 20 assessment. Moving on, what are the treatment and support adaptations that you need to do? 21 22 One, is principles of managing severe mental illness in people with intellectual disability? Good 23 practice guidelines of managing dementia in people with intellectual disability all apply for this 24 population. So, principles for management of severe mental illness as well as principles of managing dementia applies in this group. The second aspect for us to think about is assessing 25 the impact of specific interventions that are delivered to treat those conditions. So, for 26 example, interventions that are delivered to treat the primary mental illness, interventions that 27 28 are delivered to treat dementia. How do we assess the effectiveness of those interventions for those conditions and balance it against the risks of those interventions on the other condition? 29 30 A good example is antipsychotics prescribed for people with schizophrenia. We know that antipsychotics are important for treatment of schizophrenia, but what happens when they 31 32 develop dementia? We know antipsychotic use in dementia is associated with increased risk of stroke, falls and chest infection. So how do we balance? That's a very difficult situation, and not 33 enough guidance and research, that's very hard to focus on coming up with consensus 34 35 statement.

36

37

Lucy Esralew

So just want to point out something with mental illness and why we thought severe mental illness was worth our attention. One is that, in the general population, we've learned that there

are structural brain changes that can be associated with bipolar disorder, schizophrenia, and 1 some have argued also, major depression. The other thing is, we know there's a high 2 coincidence of people with ID and mental health concerns. Now, that's not the case that there 3 necessarily is a high percentage of people with severe mental illness. But we do know that 4 5 there is a high co-prevalence of mental health concerns for individuals with intellectual 6 disability. We also know that, particularly bipolar disorder, and schizophrenia, are essentially 7 lifelong disorders. They tend to be illnesses that are diagnosed fairly early in life and continue. So, those were among other concerns that we had. So, just some summary for our thinking, 8 9 what does this say about increased risk for dementia among people with specific conditions? Well, we had mixed results regarding support for increased risk among individuals with autism. 10 As you'll see from the background literature, some research supports that notion, other 11 12 research does not support that notion. There were mixed results regarding support for increased risk for individuals with Fragile X. There really isn't anything in the literature clinically, 13 14 that suggests that individuals age into a dementia risk with Fragile X. However, new research 15 suggests that there is a mechanism by which we would suspect that that could very well be the case. There is a known association between late onset myoclonic seizures, Down syndrome and 16 17 Alzheimer's disease. But it's less clear about increased risk, for instance, for individuals with lifelong epilepsy and ID. So, literature is not necessarily clear with that. We do hear that there 18 19 may be a common pathway, neuro-biologically, in terms of autism, bipolar disorder, schizophrenia, with some non-AD neurocognitive disorders such as frontotemporal dementia. 20 Changes within the frontal lobe or frontotemporal region may be associated with increased risk 21 22 for the population, for that form of neurocognitive disorder. Among the things that struck us is, 23 one, we don't know a lot about aging and ID with special conditions. And it's very hard to talk about people departing from some baseline, if we don't know what baseline is for individuals. 24 One area for research, I think, is becoming more conversant with how people age with these 25 specific conditions, the ones that we mentioned, and others. And also, because of the 26 heterogeneity of the population of people with intellectual disability. Most people with 27 28 intellectual disability have unknown etiology. We just don't know what results in lower IQ, in terms of their measured IQ. However, it can't be necessarily assumed with confidence that the 29 30 same assessment will be valuable for anybody with an intellectual disability. What do we have 31 to consider particularly about conditions that would lead us to assess and support people in 32 different ways? So, I'm going to leave you with that. We had a bunch of discussion questions, 33 but I think we're going to let people take us where their ideas are, about what they want to learn more about. What I'm going to suggest, Vikram Palanisamy is going to call on people, but 34 35 perhaps the facilitators for our sub-topic groups would like to respond to any questions that come up, as they do. So, thank you. 36

37

38

39

Vikram Palanisamy

Okay, thank you, Lucy. Let's start with Seth Keller.

1

2

Seth Keller

- 3 Thank you very much. It's an honor to be part of this group. I appreciate the overview. One
- 4 comment I'm just going to make about autism specifically, and I was involved in the seizure-
- 5 focus one is being very careful and thinking about the trajectory of people with autism. And I
- 6 appreciate the word heterogenicity, and I think that's hugely essential when you think about
- 7 autism, or you think about CP, is what are we talking about? So, it's almost like comparing
- 8 Fragile X as they get older, to tourists grows as complex? as they get older, Down syndrome as
- 9 they get older, but they all can have autism. So, what are you following? And do you feel
- reliable that their aging is manifested similarly, when it's the underlying neurodevelopmental
- cause, genetic or others that really might be essential to what their aging trajectory be. So, we
- have to be very careful, as much as it's important to think about autism when they get older
- and what to expect, and we all want to understand that. But sometimes it's apples and oranges.
- So, you have to be really careful and hard to make some predictability unless you have a
- uniform situation like Down syndrome. We know that Down syndrome, we understand that we
- 16 can look at it and think about that. I think that I just wanted to make that point. And definitely
- 17 from the seizure standpoint, I'm looking forward to other conversations on that, because that's
- 18 what I treat on a regular basis, so I look forward to more talking on that.

19

- 20 Vikram Palanisamy
- 21 Thank you, Seth. Lucy, you mentioned intellectual disability being a varied condition. So, autism
- 22 as well is a varied condition with different...

23

24

- Lucy Esralew
- With or without intellectual disability, which, by the way, is a change in our thinking about
- autism spectrum. I know when I came up in my training, I was taught, and of course, we then
- 27 had Asperger's as a separate diagnosis, that everybody pretty much who was on the autism
- spectrum had some form, albeit it might have been mild, form of intellectual disability. Now,
- 29 we know that the reverse is true, that most individuals on the autism spectrum have average
- 30 IQ. So, that has been a little bit of a change with that. And to your point about co-occurring
- 31 neurodevelopmental disorders. How do you understand somebody who has both Down
- 32 syndrome and autism? What may be contributing to their aging trajectory? It's very hard to
- parse out, until we know more, about different conditions and the natural history of various
- conditions. It's going to be very difficult for us to figure that out.

35

36

Vikram Palanisamy

1 Thank you. So, is that Leslie or Kathy? Yes.

2

3

Kathy Service

Hi, thanks. Well, one notion that I really think we need to consider with these syndromes is this 4 5 notion of secondary conditions. And secondary conditions, I first read about that in a booklet that came out by the Institute of Medicine in 1991 or something. There were firm proceedings 6 7 on people with cerebral palsy and Spina Bifida. And we do know that many of these syndromes, 8 or other kinds of entities, do have accompanying characteristics. And I think they really have a 9 lot of implications for us in terms of assessment and in terms of supporting and managing. For instance, I'm reading things about the microbiome and the gut issues for people with autism. 10 What does that mean for us in terms of when we're supporting people? And does that make 11 12 people at a higher risk, in terms of some of the brain health? And then, I forgot about Fragile X has connective tissue disorders. So, I think about, for instance, are these people because of the 13 ligamentous laxity and things? Are they in pain? What about this whole notion of pain when 14 we're working in supporting people, whether with regards to diagnosis and assessment, or with 15 regards to treating people. People with Down syndrome have a lot of secondary conditions, and 16 17 one that I think about that has implications is orthostatic hypotension. And I've tried to tell people, if you have somebody who has Down syndrome and they have a fall, it's really 18 important to really diagnose the details, not just say, Oh, they fell because of a seizure, they fell 19 because of this. Because the treatment for people with orthostatic hypotension versus seizures 20 21 are very different. And a lot of people don't know that. So, there are many things that, I think, 22 we need to be aware of. And I've even seen where we've had people with these little odd 23 syndromes that don't age, you know, they haven't aged in place. And people will come to us 24 because of changes in behavior, and they get the diagnosis of dementia. But when you start to 25 look at what are associated secondary conditions with them, they could be very well part of the 26 secondary conditions and not dementia. So, there's a lot of things that a careful assessment and 27 a careful understanding about, if we know about some of these syndromes and some of these 28 entities, how we can assess and then support people throughout their lifespan. Thank you.

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

Mary McCarron

Thank you and find this fascinating and so timely. Firstly, I think for the longitudinal study that we're running, we found that those who have Down syndrome are about 10 times greater risk of developing dementia than the general ID population. So that's 10 years on. Okay, so that was useful. But the group that we see now coming to the memory clinic, the National ID Memory Clinic in Ireland. I think we know a good bit about the presentation of dementia in the Downs population, but I feel we know very little about the presentation in the non-Downs population, particularly the various subtypes of dementia that we we're particularly looking into. So, these are really complex. And we struggle a lot as well with, you know, these people are coming in

- with hyper polypharmacy, we don't really understand the brain and people with lifelong history
- of mental health. We talk a lot about this now in our clinic, because we have an ID psychiatrist
- there as well. And we do talk a lot to this huge, huge need, for a greater understanding of this.
- 4 And also, we're using a lot of neuroimaging and what we really don't fully understand is the
- 5 brain structure is different in many of these people. So, when you're looking at the scans,
- 6 what's the scans really telling you? Because the baseline for that person's brain is different to
- start with. So, I'm really glad to see this topic being discussed, because I think there's so much
- 8 we just don't know.

9

- 10 Vikram Palanisamy
- 11 These were exact conversations that we had when we were trying to tease out the issues. Yeah,
- so we know, to some extent what's happening with Down syndrome. But if you move beyond
- 13 Down syndrome, very limited, right?

14

- 15 Yona Lunsky
- Just to build on Mary's comment, so we know that we don't know these things, but we've
- 17 gotten really good at measuring many of these things with people with Down syndrome. And
- 18 for many of the people we've been talking about here, there may be some adaptations we can
- make. But when we think about assessment, if we want to get more baseline information, we
- 20 already have ideas about how to do those assessments that probably could apply to many of
- 21 the people we're talking about. So, it's not that hard to kind of extend that. The other piece is
- 22 that we also know, with Down syndrome, that we need to start looking at those things early.
- 23 We know there's early aging, generally, we don't know if there's early dementia, quite as much.
- 24 We just know that there's higher rates of dementia in people with these other conditions.
- Right? But could we at least consider doing more early screening, right? And using assessments
- that already seem to work? Like why aren't we doing that more broadly?

27

- Mary McCarron
- 29 I think just coming back on that, we are using the battery of test instruments that has been
- developed for the Downs population in the memory clinic. So, for people with ID from other
- etiology. We are using that. And certainly, there's the international recommendations back in
- 32 2005, I think recommended that we did a baseline screen for people with ID from other
- etiologies over the age of 55. And that's a long time published, in my head. But a lot of the issue
- here is a resource issue, in terms of actually being able to follow that cohort. But I just think
- 35 that there's so much that we don't know about these other syndromes, and particularly the
- 36 brain, and what has happened. Yeah.

1

2 Yona Lunsky

- 3 Maybe I should say it differently, then. We have guidance. It's expensive. We don't necessarily
- 4 do it, but also, we don't publish on it. Right? So, what we've done with Down syndrome is not
- 5 only do we follow it, we also can read studies, and there's groups of people working together
- 6 with similar protocols. So, we have protocols we can use, but we haven't read, you know, and
- 7 that will help us I think, answer these questions.

8

9

Phil McCallion

- 10 Yeah, you know, we're behind in terms of looking at these groups. But increasingly, over the
- last year, I've been looking much more at what may be available to us retrospectively, in terms
- of electronic health records and other kinds of administrative datasets. We've just accumulated
- a population of people with autism, for example, over age 60. And one of the things that's
- interesting, going back to a remark that Seth Keller made about sort of what drives health care
- in the United States, is that there was a period where Alzheimer's diagnosis, you didn't get paid
- for that, because it wasn't seen as a curable disease. And so, what physicians became very
- adept at was documenting the other diagnoses. So as a result, even just a preliminary look at
- this data, we're seeing that there's a lot of information on other diagnoses. I would say the
- same would be true for a serious mental illness. So, I think that there are things that we can do
- 20 fairly quickly, to really establish what dementia looks like in these populations. And currently,
- we're just beginning to look at can we track onset of at least diagnosis. Fortunately, in the data
- set that we're looking at, often we've got the primary care data as well as the hospital data. So,
- 23 we know when some of these things are happening. And we're interested in looking at that.
- 24 And I just think that, rather than starting, you know, I think Matt Janicki, like sort of the first
- 25 meetings that you organized, were mid- 90s, and here we are now, sort of 30 years later,
- looking at people, still talking about what we need to discover about people with Down
- 27 syndrome. We can't spend another 30 years doing this for the groups that you've identified,
- 28 who are clearly important groups. We should be doing some primary data collection,
- absolutely. But I think we're in a different era. There is secondary data analysis that's possible.
- 30 And so, let's exploit that to at least get a preliminary picture.

31

32

Lucy Esralew

- 33 A couple of things. One is, I'm going to have my clinician hat on for the moment and think about
- 34 people who come to me and that I might assess. So, the assessment that I might do, would be
- very different if I'm looking at whether somebody is cognitively declining, as opposed to the
- type of assessment that has usually been done in the population. For instance, for eligibility,
- 37 typically, you would have an IQ test and adaptive behavior scale. That's typically what's done to

1	establish whether somebody meets the criteria for a particular benefit under a disability. So, I
2	have people coming to me who are in their 50s, in their 60s, etc., who have not had an IQ test,
3	since they were found eligible. So, we're talking about not being tested for several decades,
4	okay. And that the information that I can extrapolate from that, it gives me at least some sense
5	of where did they start out? Or what caused people to think that they had a developmental
6	disorder of some kind? But it doesn't help me understand the kind of cognitive changes or
7	adaptive changes over time that may have occurred. Most people are not getting tested, unless
8	there is a problem that has been identified. So, for a long period of time, within the State
9	system, they used to do IQ tests and adaptive behavior tests every three years. So, when I
10	worked in a developmental center, every three years, we did a reassessment IQ and adaptive
11	behavior scale. But in the community, unless there's a particular reason for you to come in and
12	be assessed, you're not going to be assessed. So, to a large swathe of individuals, there's no
13	baseline data. Then it becomes hard to say, well, what are we looking at here? Yeah. So, that's
14	basically what I wanted to say about it. And that the kind of testing that we do, people think
15	testing equals IQ test, and adaptive behavior scale. They're not looking at attention, they're not
16	looking at memory, they're not looking at a variety of other skills that we would really want to
17	look at, in terms of understanding if there's been some cognitive decline.
18	
19	Vikram Palanisamy
20	Thank you, Lucy.
21	
22	Laura LaChance
23 24 25 26 27 28	Thank you. This is a question not specifically about conditions other than Down syndrome. But I'm just curious, Phil and Mary, you know, you talk about it being 30 years ago that some of this work was done. Would you know, I'm very aware of sort of this lump of Down syndrome, but there are different phenotypes within Down syndrome, translocation, mosaicism. Does any of the research support any increased prevalence of dementia development within those variants of Down syndrome, other than Trisomy 21?
29	
30	Mary McCarron
31 32	My understanding is that those were Trisomy 21 really carry the risk, but those with mosaicism and some of the other forms, we're not seeing that same expression of Alzheimer's risk.
33	
34	Kathy Service

- 1 And I agree with that, because Brian Chicoine wrote one case study about an 80-something
- 2 year old woman with Down syndrome, who died with cardiac issues and she had no evidence of
- dementia, but she was mosaic Down syndrome.

4

- 5 Seth Keller
- 6 But there also are those subtypes with trisomy that are more progressive, rapidly progressive
- 7 and including even myoclonic epilepsy has very poor prognostic sign of more rapid progression,
- 8 poor outcome. So, there are some subsets that are worse, then worse, then worse. I think, one
- 9 of the saving graces was the assessment part that we're talking about. So, one of the saving
- 10 graces, and I'm being rhetorical in my comment here, is the annual wellness visit in the United
- 11 States. So, this was part of the primary care visit, and we think, they would now have time, and
- they're actually being paid for a complex health visit, to be able to sit down and talk, as many of
- office visits go, it's crisis management. You go to see your primary doctor for what's
- immediately crisis involved, and you take two seconds, you patch them up. But because of
- dementia, is often very indolent, it's not like an acute, abrupt onset, because that's not
- dementia. It could be a stroke; it could be a urinary tract infection. It can be whatever it could
- be, but it's indolent, so it's not really recognized. So, the annual wellness visit theoretically
- would be set up. But the problem is, we don't have the assessments for this neuroatypical
- 19 population. So, it does go back to the clear reality of focus following and tracking change over
- 20 time, and also to avoid diagnostic overshadowing. There's many of these people are not being
- seen because it's so Oh, they've got this nonspecific IDD scenario. And yes, this is what they do
- when they change, so it's not really being picked up. I think a lot of people with ID, non-Down
- 23 syndrome IDD, are really being missed as functional change, when it might be cognitive decline,
- and not really being seen. And then finally, what's the impact of long-term use of the
- 25 medication we provide? So, I talked about autism and all the neuroleptics. They're used for
- their behavior, what's the relative impact upon them and they age and what's going to happen
- to their every shape and form to them when they get older?

28

29

- Sandy Stemp
- 30 I was just wondering if people want to take a few minutes break because our break was actually
- at 3:30pm. So, they have put out some sweets and different things like that. How do you feel?
- 32 Do you want to power through, or do you want to grab your break and come back and keep
- 33 going? A five-minute break, the boss says. Okay.

34

BREAK

36

- 1 Sandy Stemp
- 2 Okay, if everybody could take their seats. And I'm not sure if it's Vikram or Lucy, who wants to
- 3 come back up to continue the conversation. And I think what we're going to ask is just at the
- 4 conclusion today, if the leads from the groups wouldn't mind staying back, just for five minutes
- 5 or so. And then that way we can talk about the agenda for tomorrow.

6

- 7 Vikram Palanisamy
- 8 Okay, so shall we continue the conversation? We can finish early, and we can leave early.

9

- 10 Lucy Esralew
- 11 Vikram, I do have a comment that I wanted to make. In the conversation before the break,
- about mosaicism, mosaic version of Down syndrome. I did want to point out that there is a
- similar kind of situation with Fragile X, where there are individuals that have a mosaic pattern.
- And it looks like they also are less affected than those individuals with a full mutation. So again,
- with that Fragile X gene, there is an array of conditions, going from individuals who are carriers,
- and what we would consider pre mutation and individuals who have kind of a mosaicism. And
- then individuals who have the full expression of the change in the Fragile X gene and are most
- likely to have Fragile X syndrome. So, I just wanted to point out that that occurs as well.

19

- 20 Matthew Janicki
- 21 Can I just make a comment on that, if that's okay? Lucy is raising an interesting point, because
- 22 what we're finding in the States is an issue raised around access to these new Alzheimer's
- 23 medications that are being approved by our Food and Drug Administration. And there's two
- 24 medications that are approved and one will probably be approved early next year. And there's
- 25 exclusionary clauses in some of the prescribing criteria that talk about people with Down
- syndrome not being eligible. And the point about mosaicism is a very important one, because
- there is a low probability of folks may have Alzheimer's disease with that condition. If you just
- simply use Down syndrome as an exclusionary criterion, you're eliminating potential individuals
- 29 who probably wouldn't benefit from the medication if they don't have the condition, the
- disease. But it's unfair to just use that particular term without specifying which ones that you're
- 31 talking about.

- 33 Vikram Palanisamy
- 34 That's very interesting. Yeah, thank you for that.

1

2

Matthew Janicki

- 3 I'm just going to go back to the point I was going to make before the break. I wanted to talk
- 4 about, going back to a broader topic, on neuroatypical conditions and emergent conditions.
- 5 And that's all the issue of, one of the things that Seth Keller brought up was the annual wellness
- 6 visit. We have this provision of the Affordable Care Act, which is the driving force that created
- 7 somewhat equitable health care access in the United States after many, many years of not
- 8 having it. And one of the requirements is that there's an annual, potentially cognitive
- 9 impairment wellness kind of check, for individuals who are eligible, and that is for people that
- are eligible for what we call Medicare, which is anyone over 65. So, they're able to get this
- annual wellness check without having to pay for it. But the issue is, what screen do you use?
- 12 And the kinds of instruments that have been recommended for screening by general
- practitioners or primary care practitioner physicians are the ones that are applicable to the
- 14 general population. And consequently, there's always a challenge when you're trying to do that
- with people who don't present the same way that someone from the general population
- maybe, because it's different presentations. And maybe they're sight impaired or hearing
- impaired or have a pre-existing cognitive impairment or have an emotional issue that blocks
- their ability to navigate the discussion around cognitive impairment with a physician. And so,
- that kind of skews how those individuals are then assessed, either adversely or in favor of
- 20 recognizing that there's a potential disease bearing cognitive impairment occurring. So that's
- something that was raised in that NAC report that we did, but it's something that we may want
- to consider also. So, my question really, is in terms of national practices, are there are there any
- 23 kind of guidelines that other countries have developed, in terms of the screening or the
- assessment of individuals who show atypically, in terms of the cognitive presentation? So, just
- throwing that out.

26

27 Vikram Palanisamy

- 28 Mary, we were talking about, or you mentioned, brain and body check, instead of baseline
- 29 screening.

30

31

Mary McCarron

- 32 Yeah. Oh, yeah. We moved away from calling it a dementia baseline screen. Because we felt
- 33 that dementia was a loaded term, and that for young families and young people with Down
- 34 syndrome themselves, it was very stressful. It's harsh. And you're putting this into their head.
- 35 So, we have moved to calling that a brain and body health check. We got rid of the word
- 36 dementia in its totality. But for that brain and body health check, and Eimear McGlinchey is
- 37 very involved in that, we would do, many of the other neuropsychological tests that we're using

- for the Down population in terms of, we are using their, the DSQIID is one that we use at
- 2 baseline. We do it, we find it, and the nurses do that to see whether people will be eligible to
- 3 come to the brain health clinic or whether people will be eligible come to the memory clinic. So,
- 4 we do that as the first screening instrument. And the nurses do that. And then people are
- 5 triaged to whichever clinic. But then when they come to the brain health clinic, we use the
- 6 CAMCOG or the CAMDEX- DS. Eimear, do you want to add anything to that?

7

- 8 Eimear McGlinchey
- 9 No, I don't think there's anything else to add, really, I think, as you said, having that as an
- opportunity to be able to proactively discuss brain health and recording a personal prevention
- 11 plan with people, I think has been effective.

12

13

- Seth Keller
- 14 Can I just add one comment to that? I think the challenge of doing these early assessments is,
- who's going to do the assessments? And I think that most assessments that are done are non-
- specialists, non-IDD specialists. They're done by general practitioners around the country. I
- think that's really the majority, there's not enough specialists around whether it's a Down
- syndrome clinic or a neurologist, or a specialized practitioner. So, the problem is the
- assessment tool has to be a neuroatypical-appropriate, easily performed, that's really what it's
- 20 got to be. It's got to be this easy, recognized tool that primary care providers could use.
- Otherwise, you say, oh, we will then refer that person to a specialist. Where's that specialist?
- 22 Where are they going to see that specialist? And I think that's really where the challenge is,
- 23 where they're going to go unless you have a tool that is so easily recognizable by a generalist
- 24 that they can use, but then the result of it then has to be then sent to the next level of care.
- 25 And that's where the problem is always going to be.

26

- 27 Vikram Palanisamy
- 28 So, I don't know, I was having a conversation with somebody this morning. It's very important
- 29 that the tool that we come up with is easy to use by the primary care practitioner. It's also
- important that there is an action following the outcome of this tool. Most general practitioners
- or other primary care physicians may not be comfortable about interpreting, so maybe some
- 32 steps, depending on what the outcome of the tool, will certainly help having those
- conversations. But that wouldn't address the limitations of specialists available to go to get this
- 34 assessment for them.

1 Frode Larsen

- 2 In Norway, we have two national guidelines. That's our normative. One is for dementia, where
- 3 you should do the assessment for dementia with people with intellectual disability. We didn't
- 4 have all the instruments before, because now we have these CAMDEX instruments. So, this will
- 5 be followed up in this one. But there is also another one that's normative, that says that the
- 6 assessment of people with intellectual disability should be done in the special health care. We
- 7 have built up special health care in every hospital around in the country, where we have
- 8 specialists, and they should do this. It is very clear in the national guidelines. Now we use also
- 9 this CAMDEX, CAMCOG. And we have other tools, the ABDQ, that is more of a screening
- instrument. And then they also have other instruments, and other health checks.

11

12

Evelyn Reilly

- 13 Thank you, just to give a little bit more detail on what Mary was talking about at the national
- memory clinic. So, it's a nurse-led service. They're ID-trained nurses who have a master's in
- dementia and advanced Nurse Practice under subscribing. And we do a full physical health
- 16 check, including ECG. We particularly look, as Kathy Service alluded to earlier on, postural
- 17 hypertension, because there's so many people coming into the clinic with the assumption that
- somebody has epilepsy. And this is easily managed. And we provide an awful lot of training and
- education to families and to services who come and visit the clinic. But it is, as I said, it is a
- 20 nurse led clinic. Once they have had their initial screening, then it's a full assessment, then the
- 21 report is written up. It's discussed at MDT with the full team. Consensus diagnosis is made
- 22 thereafter and a plan of care and follow through over the next couple of years is made for that
- person. So, we keep on track, we keep in contact with them, we will invite them into the clinic,
- we will make phone calls and see how they're doing. We do find, as the dementia progresses,
- 25 that myoclonic epilepsy is more difficult to manage. And they can become resistant to the drugs
- that we are recommending. So, they need more careful monitoring at that point in time. And I
- 20 that we are recommending, 50, they need more careful monitoring at that point in time. This
- just wanted to talk about as well, the mental health issues. Very new to me is we are seeing
- 28 people now coming into our clinic, who are homeless, people with mild intellectual disability,
- who have no homes. They're being referred to their GP by social workers, generally speaking.
- 30 And I was quite nervous with the first lady who came into my clinic because I didn't know how
- 31 she was going to present. She was absolutely gorgeous. I loved her. But she definitely had a
- 32 cognitive impairment, though we had no baseline to compare it to. So, she is due to come in
- now to see the team. And they'll do a full workup on her as well. But I think it's an issue that we
- haven't really touched on yet. But I think it is going to become a problem in the coming years as
- 35 well.

36

37

Vikram Palanisamy

1 Thank you for that.

2

3

Phil McCallion

So, I want to address a couple of things. This issue about the lack of a baseline assessment. I 4 5 think there are baseline assessments available. It's a question about whether we can access 6 them or not. I think in most jurisdictions, the school districts are doing those assessments. But 7 they have traditionally not been linked to other data. But those assessments exist. Families can 8 request them, at least in the United States, families can request them. I would assume that 9 that's possible in other jurisdictions as well. And I think that we have to start encouraging a practice of obtaining that information. So that a genuine baseline actually is available. But also 10 want to deal with this issue of screening. I'm very clear and very contrary about this issue. I will 11 12 admit that screening is a short instrument, it is not something as long as the CAMCOG; it's not going to be done, not during a wellness visit. And, you know, we continue to insist on 13 something much longer and more detailed. But what's the point if it's not going to be done? 14 And you know, Mary just passed me a note saying that GPs don't do screenings anymore, and I 15 think that that's probably for that reason. And so, I think that it's incumbent on us, and I know 16 17 Matt's sitting here and he's thinking he said this 30 years ago and he hasn't given up. And I haven't, because I'm really concerned that we, who are the research leaders in this area, we're 18 not doing what we need to do, if people are not going to get screened. So, I think, the example 19 that was given of the wellness visit, it's a benefit, people are using it, the rates of utilization are 20 21 incredibly high for the wellness visit. For the general population, people are getting screened. 22 Screening does not mean you have dementia; it means that you need to be assessed further. 23 Seth Keller raises a really important point about who's going to do that assessment? But if it's 24 under the radar, nobody's going to do it, nobody's going to be asked to do it, nobody's going to 25 be reimbursed to do it. Data have got to drive change. And so, I think that we need to be 26 looking at how do we ensure that screenings are done for people, not just with intellectual 27 disabilities, but people with autism, people with mental health issues? That's how we're going 28 to change what's happening.

29

30

Lucy Esralew

Well, you know, as somebody who also has passed the age for wellness visits, I have to say that 31 I have not had more than two wellness checks, in terms of cognitive. I guess, they assume, 32 33 because I have a doctorate in psychology, that I am not showing any cognitive decline, although 34 I think contrary to that. So, it may be on the books, and people may report it. But I even wonder 35 for the general population, how well implemented it is. I think your point about needing to get 36 a usable screen is extraordinarily important. And it has to be something that can be in the 37 electronic medical record. Because it won't be helpful to the primary care physician, otherwise. 38 So, we're very challenged by that. The other thing we're challenged by is that people who are

- on Medicare, who have intellectual disability, can't get that wellness check, if they're going to
- 2 get it, until they're 65. But we already know that people with ID age more quickly. So, I used to
- 3 work with somebody who used to say whatever the person's age is, add 10 or 15 years, if
- 4 they're intellectually disabled, and that's probably where they are in terms of their overall
- 5 health cognitively and physically. So, you know, how do we get a wellness check that's a
- 6 screen? Also, how do we get it paid for in the States, for somebody who is younger than 65 but
- 7 we know may, in fact, be at higher risk for cognitive changes?

8

- 9 Vikram Palanisamy
- 10 I won't respond to your comment about, the tests are already there, but we are not able to
- access that. That's very true. So, I work with adults, but people come through child system. In
- BC, we have a process whereby when people graduate, become adults, the MCFD which deals
- with their needs, transfers their care to CLBC [Community Living British Columbia], which deals
- with adults. And at that point, the documents are handed over to CLBC, which is so helpful,
- 15 because I've had so much difficulty getting that from the school system. But if I don't
- 16 necessarily access that for dementia purposes, but quite often the person with significant
- mental health challenges, very atypical. So, I don't know whether this is a new onset or whether
- this has been the case. So, that's very helpful. Yeah, thank you.

19

20

Matthew Janicki

- 21 I was just going to suggest something that we think about, as we dig deeper into this particular
- topic area. The discussion on screening is a useful one, because it enables us to think about
- 23 what instruments out there are the first instance indications that there's something, perhaps a
- 24 miss, in someone who's already disadvantaged cognitively. But a bit bigger than that, is this
- 25 new emerging requirement to have more accurate diagnoses, documentation for access to new
- 26 Alzheimer's medications. There's something that we hadn't thought about, up until maybe just
- 27 now, at least in the States. One country that I know where they've approved one of these
- 28 medications is Japan, some of you must correct me on that. And the requirements are quite
- 29 interesting because they require, from the general population to have a recognized screening
- 30 assessment instrument to document the fact that someone is showing either MCI or early-stage
- 31 Alzheimer's disease. These are for Alzheimer's disease at this point. In the area of intellectual
- disabilities, most of the State prescribing criteria are silent, specifically on any adaptations. And
- in some cases, as I mentioned before, they exclude Down syndrome as one of the eligible
- 34 conditions to be prescribed, which we're working closely with our federal authorities to have
- 35 that changed. The point being, is that there needs to be, from our field, some recommended
- instruments that are the equivalencies of those that are used by the general population. In the
- 37 States, the instrument that's usually listed is the MOCA, which is the Montreal Cognitive
- 38 Assessment, and the MMSE. And you know, those are not really down deep diagnostic

- instruments, they are sort of like screening instruments. So, we need to come up with an
- 2 equivalent for intellectual disabilities, or other conditions, neuroatypical conditions, where
- there is a cognitive issue, in terms of blending with either disease-based neuropathology, or
- 4 something that has been evolving in an individual over a lifetime. So, those are the things we
- 5 have to think about too, when we talk about this whole issue of the neurodivergent conditions,
- 6 neurotypical conditions. What's coming down the pipe is more specificity in diagnostics and
- 7 assessment, and potentially screening from our field. So that practitioners that are working
- 8 with individuals like Seth Keller mentioned, is the GP and the primary care practitioner who's
- 9 going to see someone, and they're going to be asked to do this assessment of someone. And it
- 10 potentially may even be the prescriber for Alzheimer's medication, disease modifying
- treatment drug. So, we have to be precise in what we're looking for. I'm hoping that out of this
- entity, we have discussion around this topic and may be able to come up with some thoughts
- and recommendations, or at least cautions, in terms of what this means for the general
- population and what it means for people with neurodivergent conditions.

15

- 16 Vikram Palanisamy
- 17 Seth Keller and then Mary McCarron.

18

- 19 Seth Keller
- 20 One, real quick, is that the annual wellness visit, the assessment tools that they use are direct
- 21 tests on the patient by the office staff. But what would be more essential for our population, is
- 22 pre-testing, like the informant based, like the EDSD. I don't have any you do, you're off that you
- 23 go to your doctor and online, you fill out your forms before your visit. Well, if it's the same
- 24 thing, so, what's so hard about that? You do a digitalized version of the EDSD beforehand, and
- all the doctor does, he pulls it up and he's actually trying to then interpret it, then that's kind of
- 26 what it is. And that's all that these assessment tools are done, they're screeners. Whether it's a
- 27 MOCA, or MMSE, they don't diagnosis anything, they just set the discussion toward, leading
- toward the differential workup, the next step. And ultimately in this population is a biomarker,
- 29 so it's really going to decide excluding other reversible causes, etc., comorbidity issues, like
- 30 behavioral things, sleep apnea, hearing loss, and then you're going to get a biomarker and the
- 31 biomarker is going to drive it. And the thing that you said Matt that is so essential is that these
- 32 therapeutics, the anti-amyloid drugs, for now and in the future, they're always going to be for
- 33 MCI and mild AD. So, if we drag this out, and you're talking about dragging it out for months or
- however long it takes to get that diagnosis, it's too late. So, you might actually be able to do
- 35 that diagnosis, be there out of the window of a therapeutic that they might one day be eligible
- 36 for. So, timing is essential.

1 Matthew Janicki

- 2 What we're talking about in this situation is really about Alzheimer's disease, and that's what
- the drugs have been designed for at this point, to mitigate the amyloid build up in the brain. So,
- 4 the theory is that if you've dropped down the amyloid build up, the cognitive decline should
- 5 basically be mitigated to some effect. But it's not for all the other dementias. So that's
- 6 something that we have to think about also is the differentials, if we want to get that way. But
- 7 the prescribing is limited to Alzheimer's disease.

8

9

- Mary McCarron
- 10 Completely agree with the issue for short screen. I mean, from my experience over many years,
- at this stage, really the only way you can diagnose dementia this population is you're able to
- understand decline from somebody's previous level of functioning. And I think in this
- population, because you have so many changes to staff, you have so many different caregivers,
- people are slipping through the net, and they will not benefit from the disease modifying
- agents because there will be a nearly mid stage dementia before they're actually diagnosed.
- And we need to do objective testing of memory as well, of function when they when they come
- to the clinic. It is useful having the informant-based and we still get the informant-based, but
- 18 from our experience, you really need to do objective testing with the individual, to really
- 19 understand where people are at and measure change.

20

21

Matthew Janicki

- Let me just clarify one other point. The authority to prescribe is based on a complex component
- 23 and aggregation of different things. One of them which is a biomarker. And the critical
- biomarker being that there is amyloid in the brain. And it shows up in either the blood or spinal
- 25 fluid. It's not just the paper and pencil test or the psychology, the neuropsych test, it's actually
- 26 confirmation from a biomarker and then substantiated with impairment, that's resulting from
- 27 the amyloid presence. And that's where the testing comes in. So, I just wanted to clarify that for
- those of you not following this. Right now, it's kind of a U.S. thing, but I'm hoping that we can
- 29 translate that to other places as well.

30

31

Kathy Service

- 32 I just wanted to tell people that I'm a part of the group, the Down Syndrome Medical Interest
- 33 Group, and we're looking at this tool, like a dementia screen. And it's almost like algorithm, it's
- very graphic and following things in terms of, at least people for Down syndrome. And we're
- 35 still in the midst, it's taken a long time to get the group together to work on it. But that might
- be something that we could bring forth to people and see. It's a screen, it's just a screen and it

- 1 goes into some of the other, the rollout correctables, part of the differential diagnosis. But
- again, it's for primary care, to kind of follow. Real brief, really easy to read, etc.

3

- 4 Lucy Esralew
- 5 Yeah, that wouldn't be valuable to kind of, to hear that. Just a couple of comments. One, is
- 6 about this idea of observation of change from baseline. So, although you know, Phil, I think
- 7 your point is well taken there, as long as somebody is in school, they have been tracked. Once
- 8 they leave school, there is really no consistent tracking of the individual, in terms of how
- 9 they're functioning or performing. And you know, somebody's coming to me, and they're 50, 60
- 10 years old, although it's interesting to me how they did up till age 21, it has limited utility for me
- to understand if change has occurred, is that change likely to be due to a dementing process
- one or another? As Mary McCarron has indicated, there are a number of things that happen to
- adults with ID that can cause changes. So that's why, as useful as that is, it's not particularly
- 14 helpful when you're looking to see if somebody's changes are attributable to a neurocognitive
- disorder. Because it's not just change per se. It's meaningful change, significant change along
- the lines we think is consistent with a dementia. The other thing is, I'm hoping that our group
- will, towards the end of our time together, come forward with this desire to learn more about
- aging with intellectual disability. And our ability to wrap our minds around, whether it's
- 19 clinically through vignettes or via research, qualitative and quantitative. What does that look
- 20 like? And what does that look like when you have specific conditions? I mean, is aging with
- 21 Fragile X, the same as aging without Fragile X syndrome? So, what does that look like? And also,
- 22 our interest in other neurocognitive disorders. Now we know by far and away, Alzheimer's
- 23 disease is the leading cause of neurocognitive disorder among individuals. But that doesn't
- 24 mean that other forms of neurocognitive disorder do not exist in our population of people with
- 25 ID. I haven't seen that much, I'm sure there is stuff in the literature, about individuals with
- 26 Down syndrome and multi-infarct dementia. Given the fact that there is a higher risk for stroke
- 27 or certain kinds of cardiovascular events among individuals with Down syndrome, you would
- 28 think that there would be more of a play on to what extent does that influence the aging
- 29 trajectory of somebody with Down syndrome? So, I think our kind of really intense focus, and
- 30 I'm not saying it was not good for us to focus in this way. But I'm hoping we can expand out our
- 31 research agenda and also our clinical curiosity to these other conditions, that at least deserve a
- nod in terms of our attention.

33

- 34 Vikram Palanisamy
- So, there are a couple of people. How are doing for time, Sandy? Okay, sorry, I don't know your
- 36 name.

- 1 Alyt Oppewal
- 2 To respond to that. After this meeting in Toronto, I'm visiting a colleague in Las Vegas, and we
- are actually looking into aging in people with Down syndrome, specifically, within our Healthy
- 4 Aging study that we have. The group of Down syndrome is big enough to have a specific look at,
- 5 not only focusing on dementia, also just broadly the aging in that group. So, we are working on
- 6 it. For other syndromes, it's a bit more difficult, because the groups are usually quite small that
- 7 we have in our cohort. But I think it's a really interesting topic to address more. Yeah.

8

- 9 Vikram Palanisamy
- 10 Ashok, did you have something to say?

- 12 Ashok Krishnamoorthy
- So again, a couple of things. I want to talk about the screening. But obviously, we have
- discussed enough about screening. So, I don't have anything to add to that. But I really want to
- impress on the idea of until somebody gets into a moderate stage of dementia, we don't
- necessarily establish that diagnosis. So, the challenge of observational change in measurement
- takes time, while the other parts of dementia care, which I'm involved in as well, including the
- 18 mild cognitive impairment due to Alzheimer's disease and other things, have progressed
- 19 significantly in terms of either lumbar puncture based amyloid tests, amyloid imaging, SPECT,
- 20 FDG-PET, dopamine transporter scan. All these things would help to, not only diagnose earlier
- 21 Alzheimer's, but also Frontotemporal dementia and other types of dementia including Lewy
- body dementia. So, there are good standards of practice expected in other dementias in a non-
- 23 ID population. I don't think it is too much to think about importing those recommendations into
- 24 helping with early diagnosis, as much as possible, if it is possible to do. In the province I
- 25 practice, British Columbia, if there is a mild cognitive impairment suspicion, the lumbar
- 26 puncture amyloid, Ab42 ratio test is covered under public health care. I don't do LP, but you
- wouldn't believe, even in a rural setting, my anesthesia colleague, our emergency department
- 28 physician would do it for me and get it done. I have done about five of those in a rural setting,
- where there are no specialists and I'm the only psychiatrist who's visiting there. So, it's still
- where there are no specialists and riff the only payernatrist who a visiting there. 30, it a set
- 30 possible to do and I'm just trying to impress upon whether we can use some of the
- 31 recommendations which are otherwise available for early diagnosis of dementia, could be
- transported and utilized in this situation as well. Especially when we are talking about other
- 33 non-Alzheimer's type of dementias, because the numbers could be small. But at the same time,
- neuroimaging could have a really good specificity. That is one idea, including amyloid imaging. If
- we are not able to do LP for taking the amyloid ratio, can we do amyloid imaging, which is not
- widely available in Canada? I don't know in the U.S., you might be able to do that. This is
- 37 especially important in Downs population when we are talking about Alzheimer's disease. The

second thing is, while there was a really interesting slide deck here put for severe mental 1 2 illness, that the sufficient number of intellectually disabled population, also staff, started using 3 substances. And then substance use, because I run a program for substance use-related cognitive disorders, without intellectual disability. So, if you add the intellectual disability and 4 5 substance use and the possibility of severe mental illness, where it does lead to some 6 significant cognitive deterioration, whether you want to call it as a neurodegenerative change 7 or whether it is directly related to severe mental illness and substance use, is going to be 8 another cohort of people we're going to have or see more in future. So, we cannot distance 9 ourselves from that. If you want to think about what we do now, going to be valid for five years, 10 years. Or, if you want to be really forward looking, we need to think about comorbid, 10 concomitant cocaine, crystal meth, all those things will have a significant neurotoxicity and 11 12 impact on cognitive functions. 13 14 Vikram Palanisamy 15 Thank you, Ashok. We're going to have a discussion tomorrow. So maybe we should pick on 16 medical imaging. 17 18 Seth Keller 19 I apologize, I just wanted to pick up on what you're talking about, in terms of thinking about 20 where we're going to be. I really think, and I don't think it's a science fiction really, is that the biomarker availability is going to be there. And I think what's going to really happen, and I do 21 believe this, is that we're going to be able to make a pre-clinical diagnosis of AD and those with 22 Down syndrome. So, you're talking about a 30-year-old with Down syndrome that you're going 23 24 to, most likely, be able to diagnose that they have the preclinical makings of it. It's not if, it's when, and that's going to change everything, will it not? It'll change everything, in terms of how 25 we think about it, or the treatment of it. And that's going to be very interesting in how we, our 26 care practice and how we think about it. 27 28 29 Ashok Krishnamoorthy 30 Absolutely. So, in an ideal situation, while we talk about lots of screeners and yearly annual

31 health check, if at some point in time, if you're able to do some kind of a biomarker testing at 32 around third decade, it will give you a very good indication for robust advanced care planning with a lot of intention. And then, even demanding mandatory annual review of things, including 33 physical health, sensory deficits and cognitive functions and communication issues. 34

- 1 Kathy Service
- 2 Even right now, there's going to be at home testing. So, what does that mean for us, and
- 3 healthcare providers, and then the ethics of all that. So, I think that's something that we really
- 4 need to kind of address and kind of figure out too.

5

- 6 Vikram Palanisamy
- 7 I'm aware of the time, so Dawna Mughal and Mary McCarron for the final comments.

8

- 9 Dawna Mughal
- 10 This discussion reminds me of the problem in nutrition, we have difficulty defining malnutrition,
- the measures were different. I can tell you, there may be 11 screening tools for nutrition; some
- are long, some are short. And the short ones are given to the practitioners because they're
- easier to do. If the toll is burdensome, they won't do it. They don't have the resources to do it.
- And then when somebody is identified as being at risk for malnutrition, that client or patient is
- referred for assessment. That's the next step, which is more in depth. I have experiences in
- both screening for people with ID and group homes, maybe I had 90 participants way back
- when, and I use a long form. It's not perfect. And they are all at level three. Level one, level two,
- level three. Because the form includes diet, observations, functioning status, and I believe lab
- values. But the facility could not use those, but I use them for research. But I can tell you, from
- 20 a nutrition standpoint, using that form, they are malnourished, right? Or yeah, they're
- 21 malnourished, but then you assess the ADLs. Correct? So, we include that in our assessment.
- 22 Putting all this together, you can identify people who really need attention. But some clinicians
- say, you do not need a lot of that, you are really over killing it. So, if you have somebody who
- 24 has poor food intake, poor appetite, has diarrhea, has weight loss, that person needs attention.
- 25 So, the goal is to create something that people can use, otherwise they do not use it.

26

- Mary McCarron
- Yeah, and just a final comment. I mean, we've talked in the field for a long time about both
- 29 diagnostic overshadowing and under shadowing, and people are excited by the fact that we
- 30 may have blood biomarkers and that they are very near been here. And I suppose we just need
- 31 to be careful, like we understand that people with Down syndrome will have the
- 32 neuropathology of dementia, so they're likely to show positive on these biomarkers without
- 33 clinical, which may not mean clinical dementia. So, I do think that we need to be very careful in
- 34 terms of the related demand for this, be the hysteria that it may cause as well, and I just feel we
- do need to think those things through because there is an ethics the whole thing.

1

- 2 Sandy Stemp
- 3 So, we made it! Thank you very, very much. That was very interesting, Lucy and Vikram. Many
- 4 thanks to you for taking the lead for group three and to your group, but also to everyone here
- 5 today for participating and providing such rich discussion. That was amazing. So, we made it
- 6 through the day!

- 8 END OF DAY 1
- 9 **V.12/19/23**
- 10 -30-